## ONLINE DEPOSITORY

Online Depository Table A.
Long-term repeatability of BH-nNO, OE-R-nNO and TB-nNO.

|  |  | Median (range) number of <br> months between occasions | Mean <br> difference <br> $(\mathrm{ppb})$ | SD | Upper limit of <br> agreement <br> $(\mathrm{ppb})$ | Lower limit of <br> agreement <br> $(\mathrm{ppb})$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| BH-nNO | $(\mathrm{N}=50)$ | $3.0(0.3$ to 48.0$)$ | -5.1 | 285.5 | 280.4 | -290.6 |
| OE-R-nNO | $(\mathrm{N}=12)$ | $12.6(0.6$ to 24.3$)$ | -20.3 | 200.5 | 180.3 | -220.8 |
| TB-nNO | $(\mathrm{N}=36)$ | $12.4(0.5$ to 27.6$)$ | 0.4 | 332.9 | 332.5 | -331.8 |

Online Depository Table B.
Cut-off values, sensitivity, specificity and AUC calculated from ROC curves for BH-nNO, OE-R-nNO and TB-nNO.

|  | Cut-off, ppb | Sensitivity, \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Specificity, } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | AUC | SE | 95\% CI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { BH-nNO } \\ \text { (PCD: }=49, \mathrm{HC}: \mathrm{N}=45) \end{array}$ | 175 | 91.1 | 100 | 0.95 | 0.022 | 0.888 to 0.986 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { OE-R-nNO } \\ \text { (PCD: } \mathrm{N}=35, \mathrm{HC}: \mathrm{N}=30 \text { ) } \end{array}$ | 242 | 94.3 | 100 | 0.97 | 0.023 | 0.895 to 0.996 |
| TB-nNO (PCD: N=54, HC: N=52) | 158 | 94.4 | 100 | 0.97 | 0.019 | 0.910 to 0.991 |

Online Depository Table C.
Consecutive referrals. Comparison of median ( $95 \%$ CI for the median)) levels of BH-nNO, OE-R-nNO and TB-nNO in discrimination between PCD and non-PCD among 117 patients consecutively referred for PCD work. Numbers are unequal because of different demands to cooperation.

|  | Referrals without PCD | Referrals with PCD | P-value, <br> two-tailed <br> (Wilcoxon rank test) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BH-nNO | $681(115$ to 1287) ppb, $\mathrm{n}=46$ | $53(10$ to 540$) \mathrm{ppb}, \mathrm{n}=12$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.0001$ |
| OE-R-nNO | $502(416$ to 626$) \mathrm{ppb}, \quad \mathrm{n}=30$ | $21(4$ to 168$) \mathrm{ppb}, \quad \mathrm{n}=6$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| TB-nNO | $269(17$ to 1282) ppb, $\mathrm{n}=83$ | $41(6$ to 355$) \mathrm{ppb}, \quad \mathrm{n}=14$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.0001$ |

## Online Depository Table D.

Consecutive referrals. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) when applying cut-off values derived from sub-study 2, in the 117 patients referred for PCD work up. Numbers are unequal because of different demands to cooperation.

|  | Cut off <br> $(\mathrm{ppb})$ | False <br> positive <br> rate | False <br> negative <br> rate | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BH-nNO (N=58) | 175 | $2 / 58$ <br> $(3.4 \%)$ | $1 / 58$ <br> $(1.7 \%)$ | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.85 | 0.98 |
| OE-R-nNO (N=37) | 242 | $2 / 37$ <br> $(8.9 \%)$ | $0 / 37$ <br> $(0 \%)$ | 1.0 | 0.94 | 0.75 | 1.0 |
| TB-nNO (N=97) | 158 | $17 / 97$ <br> $(17.5 \%)$ | $1 / 97$ <br> $(1.0 \%)$ | 0.93 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.99 |

