New potential diagnostic biomarkers for pulmonary hypertension
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ABSTRACT This study aimed to determine whether the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) family members soluble VEGF receptor 1 (also called soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt-1)) and placental growth factor (PlGF) could be used as biomarkers for pulmonary hypertension (PH).

Consecutive patients undergoing right heart catheterisation were enrolled (those with mean pulmonary arterial pressure $\geq 25$ mmHg were classed as having PH; those with mean pulmonary arterial pressure $<25$ mmHg acted as non-PH controls). Plasma from the time of PH diagnosis was analysed for PlGF and sFlt-1 using enzyme immunoassays.

In total, 247 patients with PH were enrolled: 62 with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension (IPAH), 14 with associated pulmonary arterial hypertension (APAH), 21 with collagen vascular disease (CVD), 26 with pulmonary venous hypertension, 67 with lung disease-associated PH and 57 with chronic thromboembolic PH. The non-PH control group consisted of 40 patients. sFlt-1 plasma levels were significantly higher in patients with IPAH, APAH, CVD and lung disease-associated PH versus non-PH controls. In summary, sFlt-1 or PlGF and haemodynamic parameters, 6-min walking distance or survival.

In summary, PlGF and sFlt-1 are promising diagnostic biomarkers for PH.

@ERSpublications
This study shows a high potential for VEGF family members as diagnostic biomarkers for pulmonary hypertension http://ow.ly/P5GCW
Introduction

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is defined as resting mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mean PAP) \( \geq 25 \text{ mmHg} \) [1]. Different PH aetiologies have resulted in a classification of PH into five groups, but regardless of aetiology, PH involves vasoconstriction, media hypertrophy, and \textit{in situ} thrombosis, leading to an increase in pulmonary arterial pressure, ultimately resulting in right heart failure [2].

Early diagnosis and monitoring of disease progression are critical for therapy decisions. Right heart catheterisation remains the gold standard for diagnosing PH and managing those patients who are receiving PH therapy [1, 3]. Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) is an established prognostic biomarker to monitor right heart failure in PH [4], and reflects myocardial stress. There is, nevertheless, still a need for other non-invasive PH biomarkers that mirror pathological alterations that occur in the pulmonary vasculature and which also help to diagnose PH.

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) signalling is known to be associated with PH pathogenesis, particularly in vascular remodelling [5]. VEGF expression can be induced by hypoxia through upregulation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1\( \alpha \) (HIF-1\( \alpha \)) [6]. Moreover, VEGF and VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR-2) are overexpressed in plexiform lesions of patients with PH [7] and plasma VEGF levels are elevated in patients with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension (IPAH) [8].

VEGF receptors are membrane-bound receptor tyrosine kinases. The soluble form of the VEGF receptor 1 (also called soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt-1)) results from alternative splicing or cleavage of the full-length receptor, Flt-1 [9]. The VEGF family member placental growth factor (PlGF) binds exclusively to Flt-1 [10], which leads to pro-angiogenic signalling through several mechanisms, including direct intracellular activation of Flt-1 and downstream target genes, and transphosphorylation of VEGFR-2 by activated Flt-1, thereby increasing the response to VEGF [11]. Moreover, the PlGF/VEGF-A heterodimer can bind and activate Flt-1 and induce Flt-1/VEGFR-2 dimerisation [12].

PlGF and sFlt-1 have shown diagnostic and prognostic potential in hypoxia-associated preeclampsia [13, 14], in sickle cell disease-associated PH [8, 15] and in peripheral and coronary artery disease [16]. In a recent study, MALHOTRA et al. [17] showed significantly upregulated sFlt-1 serum levels in pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) patients. Serum sFlt-1 levels were associated with increased New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class and predict survival.

We hypothesise that Flt-1 and PlGF represent important factors in PH and can be used as biomarkers.

Methods

\textit{Patients and biomarker study design}

Plasma levels of PlGF and sFlt-1 were measured in patients with five different subtypes of PH and compared with a non-PH control group, all undergoing right heart catheterisation. Furthermore, plasma levels were correlated with haemodynamics, NYHA functional class and survival.

For this case–control study, consecutive patients with suspicion of PH, undergoing right heart catheterisation at the Giessen PH referral centre (Germany), were enrolled in the study. Blood samples were taken at the baseline visit during right heart catheterisation. The baseline visit was the first right heart catheter and the diagnosis or exclusion of PH for all patients. Patients with mean PAP \( \geq 25 \text{ mmHg} \) were defined as having PH. Patients undergoing right heart catheterisation with mean PAP \( <25 \text{ mmHg} \) were defined as non-PH controls. The non-PH controls underwent right heart catheterisation because symptoms led to suspicion of PH, which was excluded by right heart catheterisation. PH Patients were classified into aetiological groups according to current guidelines [3]. Plasma was collected using EDTA as an anticoagulant followed by centrifugation at 3000\( \times \)g for 10 min and samples were then frozen at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) until analysis. The approval of the Local Research Ethics Committee at the University Hospital of Giessen was obtained. All patients gave written, informed consent for use and storage of plasma and future biomarker analyses on the day the samples were obtained.

\textit{Biomarker measurements}

PlGF and sFlt-1 were measured with commercially available enzyme immunoassays (Quantikine, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Samples were assayed in duplicate according to manufacturer’s instructions.

\textit{Statistical analysis}

Baseline characteristics are presented as mean\(\pm\)SD or median (interquartile range) where appropriate. Kaplan–Meier curves were constructed and log-rank tests performed to compare survival distributions. The association of biomarker concentrations with survival was tested using univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses. PlGF and sFlt-1 plasma levels were expressed as mean\(\pm\)SEM. One-way ANOVA,
Tukey post hoc test, Chi-squared test, or Kruskal–Wallis test were used for comparisons between groups, as appropriate. In order to evaluate the performance of sFlt-1 and PlGF as predictors for mortality rates, the area under the curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve was calculated. Correlation analyses were done with Pearson’s or Spearman’s co-efficient, as appropriate. A p-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Demographic characteristics

The demographic characteristics of all patients enrolled in the main biomarker study are shown in table 1. In total, 247 patients with PH were enrolled: 62 patients with IPAH, 14 with associated PAH (APAH, i.e. Eisenmenger’s syndrome, HIV, portopulmonary hypertension), 21 with collagen vascular disease (CVD), 26 with pulmonary venous hypertension (PVH), 67 with lung disease associated pulmonary hypertension (LD-PH) and 57 with chronic thromboembolic PH (CTEPH). Non-PH controls consisted of 40 patients with invasive exclusion of PH due to similar symptoms as PH patients.

Circulating sFlt-1 and PlGF in patients with PH

Blood samples were taken from all patients at the baseline visit, and sFlt-1 and PlGF levels measured. Figure 1 shows sFlt-1 (figure 1a) and PlGF (figure 1b) plasma levels from patients with PH and the non-PH control group. Mean levels of sFlt-1 were markedly elevated in all PAH subgroups (IPAH, APAH and CVD). Furthermore, sFlt-1 was also significantly elevated in patients with LD-PH. No statistically significant difference was detected between either the CTEPH or the PVH groups and the non-PH control group. Actual mean plasma sFlt-1 concentrations and p-values for PH groups in comparison with the non-PH control group (mean sFlt-1 plasma concentration 3091.6±246.5 pg·mL$^{-1}$) were as follows: IPAH 5049.2±460.3 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p=0.045); APAH 6906.1±1022.1 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p=0.003); CVD 7174.4±1056.1 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p<0.001); PVH 3945.1±628.9 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p=0.940); LD-PH 5338.2±390 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p=0.009); and CTEPH 3701.4±353 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p=0.969). sFlt-1 plasma levels were significantly higher in patients with APAH (p=0.016) or CVD (p=0.001) compared with CTEPH patients, as well as in patients with CVD versus those with PVH (p=0.012).

PlGF levels were elevated significantly in all PH subgroups compared with the non-PH control group (fig. 1b). Actual mean plasma PlGF concentrations and p-values for PH groups in comparison with the non-PH control group (mean PlGF plasma concentration 21.8±1.5 pg·mL$^{-1}$) were as follows: IPAH 45.6±3.6 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p<0.001); APAH 54.3±9.1 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p<0.001); CVD 56.3±4 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p<0.001); PVH 40±3.7 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p=0.030); LD-PH 53.4±3.4 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p<0.001); and CTEPH 39.3±3.1 pg·mL$^{-1}$ (p=0.005).

### TABLE 1 Demographics for enrolled patients with a diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IPAH</th>
<th>APAH</th>
<th>CVD</th>
<th>PVH</th>
<th>LD-PH</th>
<th>CTEPH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients n</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age years</td>
<td>48.6±16.1</td>
<td>50.4±11</td>
<td>58.7±14.2</td>
<td>64.3±14</td>
<td>65.6±9.3</td>
<td>64.6±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex %</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA functional class %</td>
<td>II 11.7</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III 71.7</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>60.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV 16.7</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD m</td>
<td>381.4±103.1</td>
<td>395.6±113.8</td>
<td>265.±147.6</td>
<td>306.±134.4</td>
<td>233.±109.1</td>
<td>290.±137.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine mg·dL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1.2±0.3</td>
<td>1.2±0.2</td>
<td>1.6±0.7</td>
<td>1.3±0.5</td>
<td>1.2±0.3</td>
<td>1.3±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PAP mmHg</td>
<td>56.5±17.6</td>
<td>50.7±14.3</td>
<td>43±10.3</td>
<td>40.7±15.1</td>
<td>36.8±13.4</td>
<td>42.7±14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCWP mmHg</td>
<td>7.8±2.9</td>
<td>7.9±2.9</td>
<td>8.3±5.5</td>
<td>19.5±7.7</td>
<td>8.0±3.3</td>
<td>9.8±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI L·min$^{-1}$·m$^{-2}$</td>
<td>2.2±0.6</td>
<td>2.7±1.0</td>
<td>2.3±0.8</td>
<td>2.6±0.6</td>
<td>2.4±0.6</td>
<td>2.2±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_0\text{O}_2$ %</td>
<td>61.1±9.1</td>
<td>65.9±11.2</td>
<td>59.7±11.3</td>
<td>63.8±8.5</td>
<td>64.8±7.1</td>
<td>61.4±9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SD or median (interquartile range), except sex and NYHA functional class (%), and BNP and PVR (expressed as median and interquartile range). IPAH: idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension; APAH: associated pulmonary arterial hypertension; CVD: collagen vascular disease; PVH: pulmonary venous hypertension; LD-PH: lung disease associated pulmonary hypertension; CTEPH: chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension; NYHA: New York Heart Association; 6MWD: 6-min walking distance; BNP: brain natriuretic peptide; mean PAP: mean pulmonary arterial pressure; PCWP: pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; CI: cardiac index; PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance; $S_0\text{O}_2$: mixed venous oxygen saturation.
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No significant differences in sFlt-1 and PlGF levels were detected between men and women. Mean plasma concentrations across all PH groups for men and women were as follows: sFlt-1 5071 and 4916 pg·mL$^{-1}$, respectively (p=0.733); and PlGF 48.6 and 45.8 pg·mL$^{-1}$, respectively (p=0.393). Mean plasma concentrations across PAH groups (i.e. IPAH, APAH and CVD) for men and women were as follows: sFlt-1 6389 and 5491 pg·mL$^{-1}$, respectively (p=0.307); and PlGF 53.3 and 48.1 pg·mL$^{-1}$, respectively (p=0.376).

sFlt-1 and PlGF as diagnostic markers for PH ROC analysis was performed and the best cut-off values chosen for sFlt-1 (5753 pg·mL$^{-1}$) with an AUC of 0.662 (95% CI 0.592–0.733, p=0.001) and for PlGF (29.2 pg·mL$^{-1}$) with an AUC of 0.847 (95% CI 0.797–0.898, p<0.001). Specificity was 100% for sFlt-1 and 85% for PlGF when using the aforementioned cut-off-values. Likewise, sensitivity values were 36% for sFlt-1 and 77% for PlGF. The combination of sFlt-1 and PlGF resulted in a sensitivity of 62.4% and specificity of 100% (Chi-squared p<0.001 for both).

For combination analysis, only patients with both biomarker values above or both below the cut-off were included. If ROC analysis were performed only for the PAH group (i.e. IPAH, APAH and CVD), the best cut-off value for sFlt-1 was 5753 pg·mL$^{-1}$ with an AUC of 0.765 (95% CI 0.681–0.848; p<0.001; figure 2) and the best cut-off value for PlGF was 29.2 pg·mL$^{-1}$ with an AUC of 0.905 (95% CI 0.849–0.960; p<0.001; figure 2). For the PAH group, the specificity was 100% for sFlt-1 and 85% for PlGF, whilst the sensitivity was 43.3% for sFlt-1 and 83.7% for PlGF. The combination of sFlt-1 and PlGF resulted in a sensitivity of 83.7% with specificity of 100% (Chi-squared p<0.001 for both).

BNP was added to the analysis for diagnostic accuracy. ROC analysis reveals an AUC of 0.574 with p=0.18 for BNP alone, and an AUC of 0.577 with p=0.16 when combined with PlGF and sFlt-1.
sFlt-1 and PlGF to estimate severity of disease

Neither sFlt-1 nor PlGF correlated with mean PAP, pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR), 6-min walking distance (6MWD) or BNP. Across all PH aetiologies the plasma concentration of sFlt-1 was significantly different between NYHA classes (p=0.015, Kruskal–Wallis), and PlGF borderline non-significant (p=0.064, Kruskal–Wallis).

No correlations for PlGF or sFlt-1 with age and creatinine plasma levels were found.

sFlt-1 and PlGF as prognostic markers

Mean survival time was 91 months, in total 130 of 247 patients died. Survival analysis showed no statistically significant difference between patients below and above cut-off concentrations or median concentrations of sFlt-1 or PlGF. These results were confirmed by Cox regression analysis. This was true for both the whole cohort and each aetiological group in isolation (results not shown).

Discussion

VEGF signalling is known to be involved in vascular remodelling in PH [18]. VEGF family members are overexpressed in lungs from PH patients and circulating VEGF is elevated in plasma of PH patients [8]. Inhibition of the VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 with the tyrosine kinase inhibitor SU5416 in combination with chronic hypoxia causes severe PAH in rats [19]. The role of VEGF signalling in PH development is not fully understood, but PlGF is thought to act pro-angiogenically by binding to Flt-1, whereas sFlt-1 can bind VEGF and thereby decrease its activity [11, 20].

In this study, sFlt-1 and PlGF plasma levels were measured in a population of 247 patients with PH of various aetiologies, and in 40 patients without PH who served as controls. Consecutive patients with suspicion of PH coming to the Giessen PH referral centre were included in the study. Right heart catheterisation was performed in every patient at baseline visit, in parallel with blood sample collection.

Plasma levels of sFlt-1 were significantly increased in patients with IPAH, APAH, CVD, and LD-PH compared with the non-PH controls, whereas PlGF levels were significantly increased in all PH groups. Use of PlGF for diagnosing PH resulted in a higher sensitivity than for sFlt-1, but sFlt-1 had an associated specificity of 100% for diagnosing PH in our patient cohort. When both parameters are combined the specificity still reaches 100% and sensitivity levels reach 62.4% for PH and 83.7% for PAH. These data show a high potential for PlGF and sFlt-1 as diagnostic biomarkers for PH.

The natriuretic peptides, BNP and its N-terminal fragment NT-proBNP, are established biomarkers for PH and are in routine use for the clinical management of patients with PH. BNP levels are elevated in different groups of PH [4, 21–23] and correlate with haemodynamic parameters [24], exercise capacity, WHO functional class [25] and are strong predictors of survival in PH [26, 27]. Also in direct comparison, BNP is a poor diagnostic tool in this study and should therefore be used differently than PlGF and sFlt-1. Whilst NT-proBNP and BNP can be used as prognostic biomarkers for patients with PH, and can also mirror patients’ therapeutic response, their plasma levels can also be normal in severely ill patients with PH, and thus cannot be used to exclude a PH diagnosis.

It is important to emphasise that this is in contrast with the results of the current study, where PlGF and sFlt-1 levels show no correlation with PH disease severity; thus, PlGF and sFlt-1 have no prognostic potential. Nevertheless, PlGF and sFlt-1 seem likely to be useful diagnostic biomarkers for PH.

With their moderate sensitivity and their high specificity for PH (and PAH respectively), sFlt-1 and PlGF are reasonably suitable screening tools; however, their main strength lies in their almost unfailing specificity. Therefore, in the decision making tree of diagnostic workup of patients with suspected PH, in cases of a negative test, confirmation by means of invasive haemodynamic assessment remains obligatory provided other non-invasive tests (e.g. echocardiography) remain suggestive of PH. In case of a positive test, sFlt-1 and PlGF are novel tools that confirm PH with high certainty already at an early stage of diagnostic evaluation. It must be kept in mind, however, that right heart catheterisation remains mandatory for purposes of diagnostic classification and assessment of current haemodynamic severity of the disease. In addition, if the majority of non-invasive diagnostic tools are suggestive for a low probability of having PH during early work-up of symptomatic patients, but the novel biomarkers are positive, this in itself may become a novel means by which the likelihood to oversee PH in symptomatic patients is substantially reduced.

Malihotra et al. [17] measured sFlt-1 serum levels in PAH patients, first degree IPAH and HPAH relatives and healthy controls. They found significantly increased sFlt-1 levels in PAH patients, with an AUC for diagnosing PAH that was comparable to this study. Furthermore, they found an association with increased NYHA and improved survival in patients below the median sFlt-1 level. We could confirm the association of sFlt-1 plasma levels with NYHA class in our study population, but we find no difference in survival time. Like in our study no correlation with hemodynamic parameters could be found.
The missing correlation of sFlt-1 and PI GF with mean PAP, PVR, 6MWD and BNP suggests a pathological role that is not directly linked to the increased pressure in the pulmonary vasculature and the resulting changes of the right ventricle. Immunohistochemical stains of lungs from patients with PAH and from healthy donor lungs showed that the VEGF family members Flt-1 and PI GF are expressed in pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells (see online supplement). As vascular remodelling in PH is mainly driven by smooth muscle cell proliferation, PI GF and Flt-1 may play a role in remodelling processes in PH. Moreover, as smooth muscle cells seem to be the main source of Flt-1 and PI GF in the PH lung, increased plasma levels of these molecules may mirror these changes in smooth muscle cells.

It is important to consider what pathophysiological mechanism might underlie the presence of PI GF and sFlt-1 as biomarkers for PH, firstly by examining the documented role of these molecules in other conditions. Elevated sFlt-1 levels cause endothelial dysfunction during pre-eclampsia by binding circulating VEGF and PI GF, thereby preventing their interaction with endothelial cell-surface receptors. Levels of sFlt-1 are also elevated in sickle cell disease-PH compared with patients who have sickle cell disease without PH, and a correlation with urinary albumin secretion was reported [15]. PI GF plasma levels are raised in sickle cell disease and higher levels associate with an increased incidence of vascular occlusive events [28].

Furthermore, in patients with peripheral and coronary artery disease, sFlt-1 plasma levels were lower than in healthy controls [16]. It is also notable that PI GF can be induced by HIF-1α after exposure to hypoxia, both in vitro and in vivo [29–31].

In conclusion to this section, the relevance of sFlt-1 and PI GF plasma levels to specific pathophysiological mechanisms is unclear, but certainly warrants further research.

The control group in this study consists of patients visiting our clinic with symptoms similar to that in PH patients, and therefore underwent right heart catheterisation. This is an adequate control group to evaluate potential diagnostic biomarkers as a physician wants to differentiate patients with and without PH presenting with similar symptoms rather than to differentiate PH patients from healthy people. We included patients from different groups of the World PH classification to test the diagnostic potential of PI GF and sFlt-1 for PH versus non-PH in patients showing the same symptoms. In the literature plasma levels in healthy controls are reported to be 94.8 pg·mL⁻¹ for sFlt-1 and 13.7 pg·mL⁻¹ for PI GF [32]. Our control group shows higher levels for both biomarkers, but still significantly lower levels than PH patients.

Moreover, as plasma was only tested at the time of PH diagnosis, this may represent an early window into a mechanism that, conceivably, may only be present at this early stage. As such, this study showed a high potential for PI GF and sFlt-1 as diagnostic biomarkers for PH. Both proteins were expressed in remodelled vessels of patients with PH, but increased plasma levels did not correlate with hemodynamic parameters, 6MWD and BNP.

This study focussed on the diagnostic potential of sFlt-1 and PI GF in PH patients, although the sensitivity was higher in PAH than for unselected PH patients. The decision, whether a patient should undergo invasive right heart catheterisation, is based on a variety of non-invasive measurements like echocardiography, imaging, clinical symptoms and circulating biomarkers, respectively. sFlt-1 and PI GF may become useful additional parameters which help to decide whether or not invasive assessment by means of right heart catheterisation is required.

Undoubtedly, further studies are necessary to understand the role of PI GF and sFlt-1 in PH, and greater numbers of patients may be needed to investigate correlations with disease parameters. We find comparable results to the study of MALHOTRA et al. [17], but confirmations in other patient cohorts are definitely needed. Furthermore, the investigation of patient cohorts over time is needed to reveal whether PI GF and sFlt-1 are only increased in the early phase of disease, and whether their plasma levels change in response to specific therapy.
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