Upfront triple combination therapy in pulmonary arterial hypertension: a pilot study
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ABSTRACT Patients with severe pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) in New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class (FC) III/IV have a poor prognosis, despite survival benefits being demonstrated with intravenous epoprostenol. In this pilot study, the efficacy and safety of a triple combination therapy regimen in patients with severe PAH was investigated.

Data from newly diagnosed NYHA FC III/IV PAH patients (n=19) initiated on upfront triple combination therapy (intravenous epoprostenol, bosentan and sildenafil) were collected retrospectively from a prospective registry.

Significant improvements in 6-min walk distance and haemodynamics were observed after 4 months’ triple combination therapy in 18 patients (p<0.01); 17 patients had improved to NYHA FC I or II. One patient was not included in the month 4 assessment (due to an emergency lung transplant in month 3). At the final evaluation (mean ± SD 32 ± 19 months), all 18 patients had sustained clinical and haemodynamic improvement. Overall survival estimates for the triple combination cohort were 100% at 1, 2 and 3 years. Expected survival calculated from the French equation was 75% (95% CI 68–82%), 60% (95% CI 50–70%) and 49% (95% CI 38–60%) at 1, 2 and 3 years, respectively.

This pilot study provides preliminary evidence of the long-term benefits of upfront triple combination therapy in patients with severe PAH.
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Introduction
Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a progressive and devastating disease characterised by a progressive increase in pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR). The complex pathogenesis of PAH involves dysfunction of three key pathways: the endothelin pathway, the prostacyclin pathway and the nitric oxide pathway [1]. This dysfunction results in increased cellular proliferation, remodelling of the pulmonary vasculature and vasoconstriction, which leads increased PVR and ultimately right heart failure and death [1]. In the modern treatment era, better understanding of the pathophysiology of PAH and the availability of PAH-specific therapies have improved survival of PAH patients; however, despite these advances, PAH remains incurable [2].

PAH severity is classified according to guidance provided by the New York Heart Association (NYHA), which links the symptoms of PAH with activity limitation and ranges from functional class (FC) I, where symptoms are minimal and do not limit physical activity, to NYHA FC IV, where symptoms are severe and result in an inability to carry out any physical activity [3]. Current guidelines for the management of PAH recommend that prostacyclins, such as epoprostenol, as first-line therapy in patients in FC III or IV [3]. Although epoprostenol has been shown to improve survival in patients with idiopathic PAH in a randomised control study [4], patients in NYHA FC IV, and those in FC III with a poor cardiac output, still have an extremely poor prognosis [2, 5–7].

Initiation of combination therapy, where an additional PAH-specific therapy is added to background medication, is recommended for patients not responding adequately to monotherapy [3]. However, this sequential approach to combining established PAH therapies has had limited success [8–13]. Additionally, the results of randomised controlled trials with sequential combination therapy were not uniform, with the primary end-point (which was the change in 6-min walk distance (6MWD) in all of these trials) being met in only two out of five studies [8–10, 12, 13].

It has been postulated that upfront combination therapy targeting the different pathways involved in the pathogenesis of PAH may be beneficial to patients with NYHA FC III/IV, and this has been studied in a randomised controlled trial and a recent observational study, with some improvements in clinical characteristics being reported [14, 15].

The current study investigated the efficacy of a triple combination regimen consisting of epoprostenol, bosentan and sildenafil in PAH patients in NYHA FC III/IV with severe haemodynamic impairment, and also determined whether triple combination therapy provides enhanced survival benefits in patients with severe PAH.

Methods
This retrospective study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. Although French law does not require ethics committee approval or informed consent for retrospective data collection, the data were anonymised and complied according to the requirements of the Commission Nationale Informatique et Liberté, the organisation dedicated to privacy, information technology and civil rights in France. The committee approved the methods used to collect and analyse data on May 24, 2003 (approval number 842063).

Study design
In this retrospective analysis, data from consecutive newly diagnosed PAH patients (incident cases) with severe symptoms admitted to the French reference centre and some regional centres for evaluation of PAH, and initiated on upfront triple combination therapy consisting of epoprostenol (intravenous), bosentan and sildenafil were collected. Patients meeting the inclusion criteria were enrolled between December 2007 and July 2012. The observational period ended in July 2013, 1 year after enrolment of the final patient.

Patients
Adult patients (18–65 years of age) with idiopathic, heritable or anorexigen-associated PAH in NYHA FC III/IV and with severe haemodynamic impairment were eligible for triple combination therapy and included in the study. Severe haemodynamic impairment was defined as cardiac index $<$2.0 L·min$^{-1}$·m$^{-2}$ and/or mean right atrial pressure $>$20 mmHg and/or PVR $>$1000 dyn·s·cm$^{-5}$.

Patients with PAH associated with connective tissue diseases, congenital heart disease, HIV infection or portal hypertension were excluded. Patients with non-group 1 pulmonary hypertension were also excluded.

Treatment regimen
Epoprostenol
Epoprostenol was infused continuously using a portable infusion pump (CADD-Plus Legacy, Sims Deltec Inc, St Paul, MN, USA). Venous access for the infusion of epoprostenol was obtained by insertion of a
permanent, tunnelled Groshong catheter (Bard Access System, Salt Lake City, UT, USA) into a subclavian vein. Epoprostenol therapy (1 ng·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) was initiated while patients were in-patients at the expert centre and the dose increased every 12 h. On day 5, once a dose of 10 ng·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ had been attained, the patient was discharged. The dose was further up-titrated by 1 ng·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ every 2 weeks to a maximum of 16 ng·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, depending on the patient’s tolerance.

**Bosentan**

Bosentan was started concomitantly with epoprostenol therapy at a dose of 62.5 mg twice daily. After 4 weeks, this was increased to 125 mg twice daily for the remainder of the study. Liver function tests were performed every 2 weeks during the first 2 months and monthly thereafter. If liver enzymes were elevated (more than three times the upper limit of normal (ULN)), then bosentan was stopped or the dose adjusted accordingly.

**Sildenafil**

Sildenafil was started on study day 5, at the end of the first epoprostenol titration period. Sildenafil was initiated and maintained at a dose of 20 mg thrice daily.

**Study assessments**

Variables assessed at baseline, 4 months after initiation of triple combination therapy and once each year until the study end included NYHA FC, 6MWD and pulmonary haemodynamics (assessed by right heart catheterisation (RHC)). An acute pulmonary vasoreactivity test with inhaled nitric oxide was performed in all patients at the time of the first haemodynamic evaluation. If patients were unable to perform the 6-min walk test at baseline or at any of the follow-up visits, a 6MWD of zero was recorded. The final visit was defined as the time point where a complete evaluation (including RHC) had been conducted. Adverse events were recorded throughout the study period.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were stored in a personal computer-based data spreadsheet. Analysis was performed using the StatEL statistical package in Microsoft Excel 2007 (Ad Science, Paris, France). All data are expressed as mean ± SD. Comparison of 6MWD and haemodynamic variables obtained at baseline, month 4 and final evaluation visits was made using one-way ANOVA for paired groups for normally distributed variables and the nonparametric Friedman test for the non-normally distributed variables. *Post hoc* comparisons were made using Newman and Keuls test or nonparametric Wilcoxon test, as appropriate. The Chi-squared test for independence was used to compare differences between NYHA FC assessed at the baseline, month 4 and final evaluation visits. A *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Analysis of overall survival was performed using an intention-to-treat approach. For the survival analysis, the date of diagnostic RHC (<1 week before commencement of the treatment) was used as the start point to determine length of survival. The cut-off date was July 31, 2013. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate overall survival at each interval. Patients who underwent lung transplantation were considered as censored at the date of transplantation. Expected survival was calculated using a survival prediction equation (commonly referred as the French equation) using three variables, sex, 6MWD at diagnosis and cardiac output at diagnosis, as previously described [16].

**Results**

**Baseline patient characteristics**

During the study period, 19 patients were identified as having received the upfront triple combination treatment regimen and included in the analysis. Two additional patients were also considered eligible to receive this treatment regimen but died before therapy was started.

Baseline demographics, exercise capacity and haemodynamics are shown in table 1. Of the 13 patients who underwent genetic testing, 10 were bone morphogenetic protein receptor type II (*BMPR2*) mutation carriers and were considered as having heritable PAH. Six patients were unable to perform the 6-min walk test at baseline. No patients demonstrated a positive response to acute pulmonary vasoreactivity testing using current criteria: mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) decreased from 67.7±15.8 mmHg (range 47–103 mmHg) to 66.1±17.5 mmHg (range 45–100 mmHg).

**Treatment dosing**

The mean doses of epoprostenol at baseline, month 4 and the final visit are shown in table 2. Adjustment of bosentan dose during the study was not required by any of the patients, although bosentan therapy was withdrawn after 11.5 and 32 months in two patients due to liver enzyme elevation.
Month 4 and long-term follow-up assessments

Of the 19 patients who initiated the up-front triple combination regimen, 18 experienced significant clinical and haemodynamic improvements after 4 months’ therapy (p<0.01) and 17 were in NYHA FC I/II (fig. 1 and table 2). One patient initiated on upfront triple combination therapy was not included in the month 4 assessment. This 20-year old female with idiopathic PAH and severe clinical and haemodynamic impairment at baseline (NYHA FC IV, 6MWD 0 m; mPAP 103 mmHg, cardiac index 1.40 L min\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-2}\) and PVR 3404 dyn s cm\(^{-5}\)) did not improve on therapy and successfully underwent an urgent heart–lung transplantation at month 3.

All 18 patients assessed at month 4 were followed up (follow-up time: mean 41.2±13.4 months; median 39.2 months; range 13.7–69.4 months). The final complete evaluation, including RHC, was performed after 32.3±19.4 months (range 8.5–73.3 months). Two patients discontinued bosentan due to liver enzyme elevation, but continued on epoprostenol and sildenafil dual therapy. Changes in NYHA FC, 6MWD and haemodynamics during treatment are shown in table 2. All patients had a sustained clinical and haemodynamic improvement. A significant increase in 6MWD was observed between month 4 and the final follow-up visit (p<0.01). As of September 1, 2013, all 18 patients were alive and in NYHA FC I/II.

### TABLE 1 Patients’ baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age years (range)</td>
<td>39.4±14.2 (18.1–63.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>17 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic/heritable/anorexigen-associated PAH</td>
<td>9/10/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMPR2 mutation carrier n/n tested (%)</td>
<td>10/13 (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA functional class III/IV</td>
<td>8 (42)/11 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-min walk distance m</td>
<td>215±174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Haemodynamics

- Right atrial pressure mmHg: 12.2±5.2
- Mean pulmonary arterial pressure mmHg: 67.7±15.8
- Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure mmHg: 8.3±3.4
- Cardiac output L min\(^{-1}\) | 2.83±0.77 |
- Cardiac index L min\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-2}\): 1.64±0.34
- Pulmonary vascular resistance dyn s cm\(^{-5}\): 1807±722
- Mean pulmonary pressure mmHg: 91.7±12.2
- Heart rate beats per min: 92.3±10.7
- Mixed venous oxygen saturation %: 50.1±9.0

Data are presented as n, mean±SD or n (%), unless otherwise stated. PAH: pulmonary arterial hypertension; BMPR2: bone morphogenetic protein receptor type II; NYHA: New York Heart Association.

### TABLE 2 Functional class, 6-min walk distance (6MWD) and haemodynamics at the baseline, month 4 and final follow-up visits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Month 4 visit</th>
<th>Final follow-up visit#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NYHA FC I/II/III/IV n</td>
<td>0/0/8/10</td>
<td>1/16/1/0**</td>
<td>4/14/0/0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWD m</td>
<td>227±171</td>
<td>463±94**</td>
<td>514±105**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemodynamics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAP mmHg</td>
<td>11.9±5.2</td>
<td>4.9±4.9**</td>
<td>5.2±3.5**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP mmHg</td>
<td>65.8±13.7</td>
<td>45.7±14.0**</td>
<td>44.4±13.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCWP mmHg</td>
<td>8.4±3.5</td>
<td>6.7±3.2</td>
<td>7.9±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac index L min(^{-1}) m(^{-2})</td>
<td>1.66±0.35</td>
<td>3.49±0.69**</td>
<td>3.64±0.65**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR dyn s cm(^{-5})</td>
<td>1718±627</td>
<td>566±260**</td>
<td>492±209**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BP mmHg</td>
<td>92.1±12.5</td>
<td>80.1±11.7**</td>
<td>84.9±19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR beats per min</td>
<td>92.3±10.7</td>
<td>83.9±9.8**</td>
<td>79.9±13.4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SvO2 %</td>
<td>51.0±8.5</td>
<td>69.7±5.2**</td>
<td>72.2±4.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of epoprostenol achieved ng kg(^{-1}) min(^{-1})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.9±1.9</td>
<td>19.6±6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SD unless otherwise stated. n=18. NYHA: New York Heart Association; FC: functional class; 6MWD: 6-minute walk distance; RAP: right atrial pressure; mPAP: mean pulmonary arterial pressure; PCWP: pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; PVR: pulmonary vascular resistance; BP: blood pressure; HR: heart rate; SvO2: mixed venous oxygen saturation. #: follow-up time 32±19 months. **: p<0.01 versus baseline; *: p<0.01 versus month 4 visit.
Survival analysis
All patients initiated with upfront triple combination therapy were still alive after a mean follow up of 41.2 ± 13.4 months. Overall survival estimates were 100%, 100% and 100% at 1, 2 and 3 years, and respective transplant-free survival estimates were 94%, 94% and 94%. Expected survival calculated from the French equation was 75% (95% CI 68–82%), 60% (95% CI 50–70%) and 49% (95% CI 38–60%) at 1, 2 and 3 years, respectively.

Safety
Most adverse events were typical of epoprostenol therapy (jaw pain, manageable headache, diarrhoea or flushing). Two patients experienced asymptomatic liver enzyme elevation (more than eight times the ULN), needing bosentan withdrawal after 11.5 and 31.5 months on triple combination therapy. No clinical or haemodynamic deterioration was observed after a follow-up of 32 and 17.5 months in these patients.

Discussion
Our study describes an alternative treatment strategy to that currently recommended [3] for patients with severe idiopathic and heritable PAH. Patients who received upfront triple combination therapy with epoprostenol, bosentan and sildenafil experienced improvements in FC, exercise capacity and cardiopulmonary haemodynamics. Furthermore, the survival prospects were better in these patients on upfront triple combination therapy than could have been expected based on the equation derived from the French Registry.

The patient population evaluated in the current study represents an incident population with particularly severe PAH as evidenced by the high proportion of patients in NYHA FC IV; the degree of their haemodynamic impairment, in particular their very low cardiac output; and the high proportion of patients with the BMPR2 mutation, which has been shown to be associated with more severe haemodynamic compromise at diagnosis [17, 18]. With the exception of one patient, all those who were treated with triple combination therapy with epoprostenol, bosentan and sildenafil experienced improvements in FC, exercise capacity and cardiopulmonary haemodynamics. Furthermore, the survival prospects were better in these patients on upfront triple combination therapy than could have been expected based on the equation derived from the French Registry.
combination therapy improved from NYHA FC III/IV to at least FC II after 4 months of treatment and this functional improvement was sustained during long-term follow-up. Achieving NYHA FC II following the initiation of therapy has been shown to be an indicator of better prognosis in patients with PAH and, as such, is considered to be a treatment target for patients with PAH [3, 5, 7]. In addition, initial improvements in haemodynamic variables were maintained to the final visit (mean 32 months) with a further significant increase in 6MWD from month 4 to the final visit being reported. Although two patients discontinued bosentan during the long-term follow-up phase, they were maintained in FC II or lower using a combined regimen of epoprostenol and sildenafil.

The haemodynamic improvements of an increase in cardiac index and a fall in PVR reported in these patients probably contributed to the observed survival benefit following treatment with triple combination therapy. These haemodynamic indices have previously been identified as independent prognostic factors in PAH [5, 7, 19, 20]. It is interesting to note that pulmonary pressures also fell significantly (by an average of >20 mmHg after 4 months) with upfront triple combination therapy, which is very unusual with all other PAH-targeted therapy regimens. For PAH patients who respond to vasodilators acutely and receive calcium channel blockers, a fall in mPAP associated with a marked increase in cardiac output/index is strongly associated with a long-term survival [21]. One may postulate that observation of this type of haemodynamic response with PAH-targeted therapies may also result in a survival benefit.

A recent study of a similar cohort of patients by Kemp et al. [15] showed that upfront dual therapy with bosentan and epoprostenol improved patients' haemodynamics, FC, exercise capacity and clinical status at month 4. They found that after 4 months' treatment, PVR was significantly improved in patients on dual therapy (-48 ± 17%) compared with patients on epoprostenol monotherapy (-29 ± 17%). Although it is not appropriate to compare results from different studies directly, these data appear to be consistent with the triple combination therapy analysis presented here; change in PVR from baseline to month 4 was -67 ± 10%. With regard to overall survival estimates, not all patients in the study by Kemp et al. [15] achieved the same level of treatment success reported here with upfront triple combination therapy. Specifically, overall survival estimates for upfront epoprostenol and bosentan combination therapy were 100%, 94%, 94% and 74% at 1, 2, 3 and 4 years, respectively, compared with 87%, 77%, 67% and 62% in the epoprostenol monotherapy group in the study by Kemp et al. [15]; this represented only a trend in overall survival. There was no significant difference in the rate of transplant-free survival, with three patients in the dual therapy group requiring lung transplantation within the first 34 months of therapy [15]. In the study by Kemp et al. [15], analysis of the baseline characteristics showed that the patients who failed on dual therapy had worse baseline values than patients who were treated successfully. Interestingly, the baseline characteristics of patients in the current study were, in general, similar to the patients not benefiting from upfront dual combination therapy in the study by Kemp et al. [15].

In the current analysis of a relatively small number of patients, the greater proportion of whom had more severe disease, survival with triple combination therapy was 100% at 3 years. In addition, only one of the 19 patients who received triple combination therapy did not improve at month 4 and required heart–lung transplantation; as described in the Results section, she had severe clinical and haemodynamic impairment at baseline. These findings are particularly relevant given that, despite increasing awareness, the majority of PAH patients are in NYHA FC III/IV at diagnosis, with a significant proportion being in NYHA FC IV [2, 22]. Survival rates for these patients, even in the modern treatment era, remain poor; in the French Network on Pulmonary Hypertension, for example, estimated survival in incident patients (66% NYHA FC III and 12.5% NYHA FC IV) at 1, 2 and 3 years was 83.7%, 69.6% and 54.9%, respectively, and was particularly poor for patients in NYHA FC IV [2].

The potential of upfront dual combination therapy has been investigated in a single randomised controlled study involving epoprostenol and bosentan in patients with severe PAH [14]. Compared with the relatively long-term follow-up of patients in the observational investigations described here and in the dual combination therapy analysis [15], the BREATHE-2 study investigated the efficacy and safety of the combination for 16 weeks. The statistically nonsignificant trend towards an enhanced benefit of the combination regimen over epoprostenol monotherapy provided a rationale for studying upfront combination therapy further, but not enough evidence for this treatment regimen to be adopted in treatment guidelines. However, combination therapy where PAH-specific therapy is initiated sequentially is becoming part of normal clinical management of PAH patients, despite the lack of robust clinical data. The analysis of upfront dual therapy and the current investigation of upfront triple combination therapy provide preliminary evidence that there may be a role for an upfront combination regimen, particularly in patients with severe PAH.

The findings of this study are limited by the small number of patients, who were highly selected in terms of disease severity and aetiology. Moreover, this was not a randomised controlled trial and, as such, these results cannot be directly compared with either a monotherapy approach with epoprostenol or a sequential...
dual therapy approach with epoprostenol and sildenafil or epoprostenol and bosantan. Large, randomised controlled trials are required to provide further long-term data about the survival/prognosis of patients with severe PAH treated with upfront combination therapy. This study was performed in patients with idiopathic or heritable PAH; further trials will also help physicians to determine the optimum combinations of treatments for different patient cohorts. The findings of this pilot study provide preliminary evidence supporting the long-term benefits of upfront triple combination therapy in patients with severe PAH.
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