The geographic diversity of nontuberculous mycobacteria isolated from pulmonary samples:
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ABSTRACT

A significant knowledge gap exists concerning the geographical distribution of nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) isolation worldwide.

To provide a snapshot of NTM species distribution, global partners in the NTM-NET framework (www.ntm-net.org), a branch of TBNET, provided identification results of the total number of patients in 2008 in whom NTM were isolated from pulmonary samples. From these data, we visualized the relative distribution of the different NTM found per continent and country.

We received species identification data for 20182 patients, from 62 laboratories in 30 countries across six continents. Ninety-one different NTM species were isolated. *Mycobacterium avium* complex (MAC) bacteria predominated in most countries, followed by *M. gordonae* and *M. xenopi*. Important differences in geographical distribution of MAC species as well as *M. xenopi*, *M. kansasii*, and rapid growing mycobacteria were observed.

This snapshot demonstrates that the species distribution among NTM isolates from pulmonary specimens in the year 2008 differed by continent and differs by countries within these continents. These differences in species distribution may partly determine the frequency and manifestations of pulmonary NTM disease in each geographical location.
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INTRODUCTION

Disease caused by nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) has gained increased attention, in part because of an assumed increase in its incidence [1,2]. With the emergence of case reports and series from diverse countries and regions, it has become clear that the distribution of NTM species that are isolated from clinical samples differs strongly by region [3]. Yet, this geographic diversity has never been systemically studied. Increased understanding of this diversity is important, as it can provide important clues on the impact of geographical or climatic differences on NTM distribution and observed discrepancies in clinical relevance and treatment outcome [3,4]. In this study we have collected pulmonary NTM isolation and identification results from laboratories in different regions in the world, collaborating within the NTM-NET network (www.ntm-net.org, a branch of TBNET), from the same time period, to gain further insight on the geographical distribution of NTM species cultured from respiratory samples at a single time point.
METHODS

Global partners in the NTM-NET framework were contacted and invited to provide data of the total number of patients from whom NTM were isolated from pulmonary samples in their hospital, regional or reference laboratory in the year 2008, as well as the species identification results and details of the identification methods used. Partners were eligible to contribute to the database if the number of patients with pulmonary NTM isolates per year exceeded 30 to ensure sufficient experience and interpretability of results; one isolate per species per patient was eligible for analysis. We have chosen pulmonary samples in an effort to minimize selection bias. Isolation of NTM from normally sterile sites such as blood or lymph nodes usually indicates definite disease and this may present a strong selection bias, as not all species are equally capable of causing such diseases.

We calculated total number of mycobacteria per continent, the relative contribution of the Mycobacterium avium complex for each continent or country, and studied the differences in the relative contribution of other NTM between countries and continents by generating pie-charts. Data of the respondents were plotted on a world map. Since most NTM-NET members are located in Europe, data from European participants were assessed in greater detail, with a focus on north-south differences; we considered Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Finland, the Netherlands, Belgium, Germany, England, Ireland and Poland as Northern Europe; all countries to the south of these countries were considered Southern Europe.

Within this study we did not assess the clinical relevance of these isolates. Ethical approval was waived for this retrospective laboratory database study.
RESULTS

Overview

Sixty-two centres from 30 countries across six continents participated in this study. 17 national reference laboratories provided data representative for their whole country. For other countries, data was provided by a certain number of labs not covering the whole country (see online supplement for details). A total of 20182 patients had NTM species cultured from pulmonary samples in these centers in 2008; 91.3% (n= 18418) of the isolates were identified to species/complex level; the remaining 1765 isolates (8.7%) were not identified beyond Mycobacterium species other than M. tuberculosis complex. A total of 91 different NTM species were encountered in this survey. The most commonly used identification assays were the GenoType CM/AS (n=28; Hain Lifescience, Nehren, Germany), AccuProbe assays (n=9; Gen-Probe, San Diego, USA), hsp65 PRA (n=6), Inno-LiPA Mycobacteria v2 (n=3; Innogenetics, Ghent, Belgium), in-house methods (n=6) or combinations thereof; mostly, these were supplemented by 16S rDNA sequencing. The six most frequently isolated NTM were M. avium complex (9421 isolates; 47%), M. gordonae (2170; 11%), M. xenopi (1605; 8%), M. fortuitum complex (1322; 7%), M. abscessus (664; 3%), and M. kansasii (720; 4%) (figure 1). These six species accounted for 80% of all mycobacteria identified.

A complete overview of all species isolated in all regions is provided in the online Supplement.

Species isolation worldwide

In this section, the isolation of different NTM is presented. Table 1 shows pie charts for M. avium complex and other common NTM per continent. Figure 1 presents the distribution of the most common NTM on a world map.

Mycobacterium avium complex
The *M. avium* complex (MAC) species accounted for 9421 (47%) of the 20182 isolates in the study. The highest relative contribution of MAC per continent was found in Australia (71%), the lowest in South America (31%). Per country, this figure differed from 79% in Japan to 16% in Hungary. Fifty-nine out of 62 laboratories were able to identify MAC isolates to species level; the relative frequency of *M. intracellulare* versus *M. avium* in different parts of the world is shown in Table 1. The most striking difference is the relative predominance, within the participating sites, of *M. avium* in North- and South America. In contrast, *M. intracellulare* was most frequent in Australia-Queensland (57% of all mycobacteria cultured; 80% of the MAC), and South Africa (40%; 77.5%). MAC isolates that were not identifiable to (sub)species level were common in participating laboratories in Asia and Europe (21% and 15% of all MAC isolates, respectively) but relatively rare in the participating laboratories in North America (8%).

**Mycobacterium gordonae**

*M. gordonae* is the second most isolated NTM worldwide in this study mainly due to a high isolation rate found in Europe where *M. gordonae* was the second most isolated NTM. On all other continents, *M. gordonae* ranked third (North America, South America, Africa), or fourth (Asia, Australia).

**Mycobacterium xenopi**

After MAC and *M. gordonae*, *M. xenopi* was the third most frequently isolated species in the survey, though its isolation was limited to distinct geographical regions, mainly in Europe and Ontario, eastern Canada (but not Alberta, western Canada). In Hungary, *M. xenopi* is the predominant NTM isolate comprising 49% of all the NTM in this country. In Croatia *M. xenopi* was the second most frequent isolated NTM after *M. gordonae*. Furthermore, *M. xenopi* was prevalent in the English Channel region, being the second most frequent NTM isolate in Belgium and south-east England and ranked third in France after MAC and *M. gordonae*. Differences within countries were also observed: *M. xenopi* was the predominant
NTM isolate in the Barcelona area but only ranked third after MAC and *M. fortuitum* in the Madrid area. *M. xenopi* was not isolated in the participating centers from Asia, Australia and South America.

*Mycobacterium kansasii*

Overall, *M. kansasii* was the sixth most frequently isolated NTM. In South America *M. kansasii* was the second most isolated NTM after MAC accounting for 19.8% of all NTM isolated. In Europe, Slovakia, Poland, and the UK had the highest *M. kansasii* isolation rates of 36%, 35%, and 11% respectively, compared to a mean isolation of 5% in Europe. In the Paris region of France, *M. kansasii* was the third most isolated NTM after MAC. In the participating laboratory in Japan, *M. kansasii* ranked fourth after MAC, *M. gordonae* and *M. abscessus*. In South Africa, *M. kansasii* ranked sixth overall. In a center with a large miners community in the Johannesburg region however, *M. kansasii* was the second most frequent NTM isolated.

*Rapid growers*

*M. abscessus* and *M. fortuitum* were the most frequently isolated rapidly growing mycobacteria (RGM) worldwide. Other RGM were only sporadically isolated.

Among the RGM, important geographical differences were observed. RGM were highly prevalent isolates in the participating centers in East Asia, where they make up 27% of all NTM isolates in comparison to isolation frequencies of 17.9%, 16%, and 14% in the participating centers in North America, South America and Europe, respectively. However, important differences in frequency of RGM isolation among countries within Asia were also noted. In Tokyo, Japan, rapid growers accounted for only 6.6% of all isolates, in contrast to the participating centers in Taiwan (50%) and South Korea (28.7%). Furthermore, in Taiwan, *M. fortuitum* and *M. abscessus* were the second and third most frequently isolated NTM species, while in South Korea, *M. abscessus* was the second most frequently isolated NTM after MAC.
Rare and geographically restricted NTM species

*M. malmoense* was found more often in Northern Europe (total of 80 of 3107 isolates; 2.6%) in comparison with Southern Europe (21 of 3696 isolates; 0.6%). In South Africa, 43 *M. malmoense* isolates were found (43 of 5646; 0.76%). Five *M. malmoense* isolates from the participating laboratories from North America were reported (5 of 4913 isolates; 0.1%). *M. malmoense* was not found in Queensland-Australia, Asia, and South America. *M. simiae* was found worldwide except Asia. A total of 97 patients were found in this study with an *M. simiae* isolate (0.5% of all 20182 NTM isolated). *M. scrofulaceum* was the second most isolated NTM in South Africa (383 isolates of 5646; 6.8%). *M. lentiflavum* was found in several labs worldwide (generally below 1% of all NTM isolated per lab) but was found more often in Portugal (6%) and Finland (5.8%). The majority of the 91 different NTM species in this study were infrequently isolated, e.g. *M. smegmatis* (n=5; 0.03%) and *M. interjectum* (n=36; 0.2%) (see Supplementary file).

Focus on Europe

Because of a substantial number of participating countries in Europe, this continent was studied in more detail, with a focus on north-south differences. We collected data of 3107 isolates from Northern Europe and 3696 from Southern Europe. In figure 2, the pie-charts of species diversity in all participating countries in Europe are shown. MAC was isolated more frequently in Northern Europe (44% of all mycobacteria) than in Southern Europe (31%). In both north and south Europe, *M. avium* was the most frequent isolated subspecies of the MAC.

*M. xenopi* was more frequently isolated in Southern (778 of 3697 isolates; 21%) compared to Northern Europe (190 of 3107 isolates; 6%) partly due to substantial contribution of *M. xenopi* isolated in a single country (Hungary). On the other hand, *M. bohemicum* was especially found in Northern Europe, mainly Finland, in contrast to only 1 isolate from
Southern Europe and no isolate from any participating laboratory in other regions of the world.

**DISCUSSION**

For the first time, we provide a snapshot of the worldwide distribution of NTM species isolated from pulmonary samples. This snapshot illustrates that the species distribution among NTM isolated from pulmonary specimens in the year 2008 differs by region and differs by country within these regions. For many regions or countries that participated in this study, these are the first data covering this topic. The NTM species distribution in a country or region may have profound impact on the frequencies and manifestations of pulmonary NTM disease. It is now generally accepted that NTM species differ in their ability to cause lung disease in humans [3,4,5,6] and that the clinical relevance of a particular species can differ in different parts of the world [7,8]. The species distribution presented in this study can help in identifying factors associated with human NTM infection like climate differences, population density, or host factors. In the past, it has been suggested that differences in NTM species distribution may also affect the efficacy of BCG vaccination [9].

The two studies that approached this subject were published by Martin-Casabona and colleagues in 2004 [2] and by Marras and Daley in 2002 [3]; the former reported on NTM isolation over three decades, ending in 1996. The spread of molecular tools for identification in the years between 1996 and 2008 make the comparison of the two surveys difficult. The Martin-Casabona study only incorporated laboratories from Europe, Turkey, Iran and Brazil and sampling was not systematic as different laboratories produced data from different time periods. The review by Marras and Daley adds more historical published data and is not a survey. Still, both showed the predominance of MAC isolation worldwide together with the characteristic geographical distribution of *M. xenopi*, *M. kansasii*, and *M. malmoense*. The
review by Marras and Daley also showed that differences in species distribution may occur over time [3].

In this study, the members of the *M. avium* complex predominated in most regions, though *M. xenopi* predominated in Hungary and *M. kansasii* in Poland and Slovakia. The relative distribution of the various members of MAC again reveals geographic differences. While *M. avium* predominated in the participating centers in North and South America and Europe, *M. intracellulare* was most frequently isolated in South Africa and Australia. In Australia a significant increase in the isolation of this species has been reported before [5]. Few laboratories were able to reliably distinguish the novel MAC members, such as *M. colombiense* and *M. chimaera* [10,11].

*Mycobacterium xenopi* was particularly prevalent in the region covering Hungary, Croatia, Northern Italy, in Ontario-Canada and in the areas bordering the English Channel; the latter two are in line with earlier data [1,2]. The frequently stated link to coastal areas [1,2] does not hold true in the light of the observed predominance in Hungary and absence in coastal regions outside Europe. Nonetheless, presence of a specific environmental niche for *M. xenopi* is a likely explanation. Other factors to be considered are differences in the potential to colonize or infect human airways between *M. xenopi* strains and host factors.

The geographical distribution of *M. kansasii* has been the subject of previous studies. In our study, *M. kansasii* was frequently isolated in South America, Eastern Europe and metropolitan centers Paris, London and Tokyo and the Johannesburg region of South Africa. These findings match previously published data from those regions [2,8] Its isolation has been related to mining activities [13,14,15] as well as urbanization and may be related to working and living conditions [16]. In Northern Europe, isolation frequencies of *M. kansasii* have been in decline for the past three decades [17], but the underlying causes are unknown. The high isolation frequency of *M. scrofulaceum* in South Africa is in accordance with the literature and probably related to mining activities [15].

*M. simiae* was traditionally thought to be confined to the Southern USA, Cuba and Israel [7], but in this study we demonstrate that this species has a global distribution (except Asia),
making up 0.67% of all isolates in this study, with the highest isolation of 1.1% found in Northern Europe. *M. malmoense* was found especially in Northern Europe, which is in accordance with the literature [8], but also in South Africa. *M. malmoense* isolation from clinical specimens from this continent has never been reported before, although its presence in soil was [18].

Rapid growers made up 10-20% of all NTM isolates worldwide, although they proved more prevalent in East Asia where they compose up to 50% (Taiwan) of all NTM isolated from pulmonary samples. The high isolation frequency of RGM, particularly *M. abscessus*, was also noted in previous studies, focusing on this region [19,20,21]. The reason for the high isolation rate of RGM in Asian countries remains unclear, though geographical or climatic factors, host and laboratory factors have been suggested [20]. An important reason to perform studies on geographical distribution of NTM is to help identify factors associated with differences in worldwide isolation patterns of specific species. Studies focusing on species-specific environmental niches and subsequent transmission to humans are not systematically performed. Yet, these can offer important clues that aid to prevent (re)infection of susceptible patients.

In the current study, a multitude of molecular identification techniques was used. It is well known that these techniques can produce discrepant results [22,23]. Yet, this is likely to influence a minority of isolates and will not affect the snapshot of the geographic diversity in NTM species isolated from pulmonary samples, as presented in this study. The current study revealed the occasional presence of many rare species (see supplementary data). For many of these species no data other than reports of single cases of disease were available. Often such case reports or novel species descriptions seem to suggest a very limited geographic spread of the bacterium and, as they mostly concern cases of true disease, overestimate the clinical relevance of these rare species. We hope that the current study also provides a reference to clinicians and microbiologists faced with rare NTM species.
An important shortcoming of this study is the low number of participating laboratories, especially outside Europe. Inclusion of more data from different laboratories will probably reveal other isolation patterns. Follow-up studies covering different time periods together with more participating laboratories may reveal additional clues on changing NTM pattern over time and geographic differences of NTM distribution on the national scale.

We have chosen pulmonary samples specifically because these best reflect the distribution of species in local environments. Nevertheless, the presence and persistence within the human airways is also likely to represent a selection bias, as does the fact that we collected identification results of cultured isolates. The low isolation frequency of the majority of the 91 different NTM species may reflect an inability to persist in human airways or scarce environmental presence.

In summary, this snapshot illustrates that the species distribution among NTM isolated from pulmonary specimens in the year 2008 differs by region and differs by country within these regions. MAC predominates in most settings, but the frequency of isolation of rapid growers, or slow growers like *M. xenopi, M. kansasii* and *M. malmoense* differs per setting. These differences in species distribution may determine, in part, the frequency and manifestations of pulmonary NTM disease in each region. Future studies need to address species-specific environmental niches as a cause of differences in worldwide isolation patterns.
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Table 1: Continental distribution of respiratory nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) isolates.

MAC: *M. avium* complex; RGM: rapid growing mycobacteria; SGM: slow growing mycobacteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>Number of labs</th>
<th>Number of patients with NTM isolated</th>
<th>Distribution of NTM</th>
<th>Number of MAC isolates (% of all NTM)</th>
<th>Distribution of MAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6804</td>
<td></td>
<td>2500 (36.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4913</td>
<td></td>
<td>2553 (52)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>393</td>
<td></td>
<td>123 (31.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia (Queensland)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>453</td>
<td></td>
<td>322 (71.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td></td>
<td>1062 (53.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5646</td>
<td></td>
<td>2849 (50.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>20183</td>
<td>6572 (45.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14% 11% 16% 1% 8% 3%
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**Figure 1:** Worldwide distribution of different nontuberculous mycobacteria from pulmonary samples in 2008. A: *M. avium* complex; B: *M. kansasii*; C: *M. xenopi*; D: *M. malmoense*; E: *M. abscessus*; F: *M. fortuitum*.

Note: the data presented in this figure are not per se representative for each country. Species diversity may differ per country. The exact, per center data can be found in the supplement.

**Figure 2:** Distribution of different nontuberculous mycobacteria from pulmonary samples in 2008 in Europe. MAC: *M. avium* complex; RGM: rapid growing mycobacteria; SGM: slow growing mycobacteria.