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Conventional mechanical ventilation via endotracheal intubation or tracheostomy is a life-saving procedure in the treatment of acute respiratory failure (ARF); however, it exposes patients to severe complications linked either to the tracheal tube or tracheostomy, or to mechanical ventilation itself [1–3].

To reduce the need for endotracheal intubation, noninvasive ventilatory techniques have recently been used to treat ARF. Even though benefits of noninvasive ventilation compared with intubation with an artificial airway in ARF have not been firmly established, the use of noninvasive ventilatory support has many advantages, including the possibility of avoiding sedative agents, facilitating communication between patients and care providers, and preserving functions, such as swallowing and coughing. Noninvasive mechanical ventilatory techniques include the use of negative and positive pressure ventilators. Negative pressure ventilators, such as the “iron lung”, support ventilation by exposing the surface of the chest wall to subatmospheric pressure during inspiration; whereas, expiration occurs when the pressure around the chest wall increases and becomes atmospheric or greater than atmospheric. Positive pressure ventilators deliver pressure directly to the airway through a face or nasal mask. In this review, after a brief description of the historical development of negative pressure ventilation (NPV) and of the more advanced models of tank ventilators, we will focus on the clinical application of NPV in paediatric and adult medicine.

Historical notes

The use of a body-enclosing apparatus to produce ventilation is not a new idea. In 1832, Dalziel [4] described a bellows-operated box with a seal around the neck or shoulders, which was said to have produced breathing movements in a “drowned seaman”. In 1876 in Paris, Wollez [5] built what was probably the first workable tank ventilator. This apparatus called “Spirophore” (fig. 1) was strikingly similar both in design and concept to the respirator introduced in 1931 by Emerson [6] (fig. 2). The lack of electric power was a serious impediment and it was driven by hand-operated bellows. In 1889, Doe [8] described a box for resuscitating the newborn, developed by Braun in Vienna (fig. 3). In 1919 Chillingworth and Hopkins [10] reported the development and utilization of a dog plethysmograph, in which an electric pump could produce ventilation by rhythmic variations in pressure.

The first tank ventilator (fig. 4) to be of clinical value was that developed at Harvard University Medical School.
by Drinker and co-workers [11, 12] in 1928. This ventilator was a sheet metal cylinder sealed at one end. The other end had a flat lid to which was attached a rubber collar. The patient’s head and neck protruded through the collar and rested on an adjustable support attached to the outside of the tank. The sides of the cylinder had boat-type portholes for observing the patient and small holes for introducing manometers, blood pressure cuffs and stethoscopes. The electric pump ran continuously, and positive and negative pressure was fed to the tanks via a system of valves. Drinker designed several modified versions of his tank ventilator, and these were widely and successfully used during the poliomyelitis epidemics of the next 30 yrs. "In the late 1950s there were at the Massachusetts General Hospital so many polio patients using iron lung that an entire floor had to be gutted" [12]. The effort to improve design and technology of tank ventilators was weakened by clinical evidence that positive pressure ventilation, using an endotracheal tube or a tracheostomy, was more successful in poliomyelitic patients [13]. In the 1950s and the 1960s there were major technological advances in the manufacturing of positive pressure ventilators, causing a marked decrease of the clinical application of NPV. A few investigators, however, have continued to manage acute and chronic respiratory failure by using negative pressure ventilators.

**Modern negative pressure ventilators**

Most of the modern tank ventilators (fig. 5) are constructed of aluminium and plastic, and are, therefore,
lighter than the old models [14]. The patient's body rests on a thin mattress and the head protrudes through a port-hole at one end of the ventilator. A head and neck rest is provided in most designs to ensure comfort and to prevent obstruction of the upper airway. Most tank ventilators have windows that permit patient observation and port-holes through which catheters and monitor leads can be passed. Port-holes also allow access to the patient for procedures, such as arterial blood gas sampling. In some models, the patient's head can be raised so that the aspiration of material from the pharynx into the trachea may be prevented.

Negative pressure is generated by bellow pumps incorporated into the structure of the ventilator or by separate rotary pumps. These pumps are pressure-cycled, i.e. the ventilator continues to develop subatmospheric pressure until a predetermined level is reached. Most tank ventilators have controls in order to set the pressure to be delivered during inspiration and expiration independently, and also inspiratory (ti) and expiratory (te) times [15]. All negative pressure ventilators provide control mode; additionally, some provide assist and assist-control modes, whereby patient-generated negative pressure at the nares triggers the machine. Other devices utilize a thermistor at the nares to trigger a breath [16]. The data on the trigger sensitivity of negative pressure ventilators are very scarce [17]; this matter requires further studies.

At the present time, negative pressure ventilation can be delivered by four modes: 1) cyclical negative pressure; 2) negative/positive pressure; 3) continuous negative extrathoracic pressure (CNEP); and 4) negative pressure/CNEP.

**Cyclical negative pressure**

In this mode, the ventilator generates the preset subatmospheric pressure during inspiration, whereas expiration is passive.

**Negative/positive pressure**

This mode is a combination of negative pressure during inspiration with positive pressure during expiration. In patients with chest wall disorders, this combination has been found to increase tidal volume more than external negative pressure alone, and to reduce end-expiratory volume [18]. Some indirect evidence on how extrathoracic positive expiratory pressure works in obstructive diseases has been reported by CORMIER et al. [19], who studied the effects of the application of continuous positive extrathoracic pressure by iron lung in 11 asthmatic patients during acute induced bronchoconstriction. The authors reported that before and after methacholine challenge, which induced a moderate airway obstruction, functional residual capacity (FRC) decreased during the application of continuous positive extrathoracic pressure, reaching a plateau at 10 cmH2O [19]. On a theoretical basis, it is unlikely that positive pressure provided by tank ventilators during expiration can increase expiratory flow in flow-limited chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients. However, FABBRI et al. [20] have recently studied the effects of the addition of external positive expiratory pressure during NPV provided by iron lung in 10 COPD patients with severe airflow obstruction and hypercapnia. The authors reported that external positive expiratory pressure increased CO2 concentration in expired air, whereas minute ventilation did not; this suggests that external positive pressure can result in an increase of alveolar ventilation in flow-limited COPD patients during ventilation with iron lung [20].

**Negative pressure/CNEP**

During this mode, a constant subatmospheric pressure is provided by the ventilator throughout the respiratory cycle and the patient breathes spontaneously. The physiological effects of CNEP have been found to be equivalent to those associated with positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) provided by positive pressure ventilators in experimental animals [21].

**Continuous negative pressure**

During this mode, the ventilator is set to superimpose inspiratory cycles of increased negative pressure on CNEP.

The characteristics of some models of negative pressure ventilators are reported in table 1.

**Table 1. Characteristics of some iron lung models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Inspiratory pressure cmH2O</th>
<th>Expiratory pressure cmH2O</th>
<th>CNEP* cmH2O</th>
<th>ti s</th>
<th>te s</th>
<th>End-inspiratory expiratory pauses</th>
<th>Ventilation modes</th>
<th>Trigger</th>
<th>Head-up tilting</th>
<th>Alarms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emerson</td>
<td>Up to -60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>‡</td>
<td>‡</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Lung CA</td>
<td>0 to -60</td>
<td>0 to +80</td>
<td>0 to -20</td>
<td>0.4-6</td>
<td>0.4-6</td>
<td>0.3-1.9</td>
<td>C, A-C</td>
<td>Thermistor 0-5’</td>
<td>Pmin</td>
<td>MF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33-CR Emerson</td>
<td>Up to -90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Up to 5</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C, A/C, A</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porta-lung Life care NEV-100</td>
<td>-5 to -100</td>
<td>-30 to +30</td>
<td>0.5-5</td>
<td>§</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C, A/C, C+S</td>
<td>A/C+S</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pmin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dima Porta-lung</td>
<td>-8 to -80</td>
<td>0 to +80</td>
<td>-8 to -80</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>0-30%</td>
<td>C, A-C</td>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pmax</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‡: rate about 10–30 cycles·min⁻¹ in adult unit, I/E ratio 1/1; #: rate 0–49 cycles·min⁻¹, I/E ratio 1/3 to inverse ratio; §: rate 4–60 cycles·min⁻¹, I/E ratio 1/0.5 to 1/29.1; *: rate 5–50 cycles·min⁻¹, I/E ratio 1/99 to 5/1. CNEP: continuous negative extrathoracic pressure; ti: inspiratory time; te: expiratory time; I/E: inspiratory/expiratory. C: control; A/C: Assist/Control; C+S: Control + Sigh; A/C+S: Assist/Control + Sigh; Pmax: maximum pressure; Pmin: minimum pressure; MF: machine failure.
Physiological effects of NPV

Gas exchange

Tank ventilators are capable of maintaining normal arterial blood gas tensions even in patients with little or no spontaneous respiratory effort [14]. During mechanical ventilation with iron lung and airtight jacket, tidal volume and minute ventilation have been found to be related to the peak of the inspiratory negative pressure [22, 23]. Recently, it has been reported in an experimental model that tidal volume during NPV is related both to the peak of negative pressure and the pressure wave formed by the ventilator pump [24]; for the same peak of negative pressure a square wave produced a greater tidal volume than a half sine wave.

Respiratory muscles

The effects of NPV on respiratory muscle strength of COPD patients in ARF have been reported in only two studies [25, 26]. In these studies, an iron lung [25] and a poncho-wrap [26] were used, respectively, to administer NPV. In these reports, NPV, carried out continuously for 6 h [26], or intermittently for 7 days (8 h·day−1) [25], was found to be effective in improving respiratory muscle strength and in decreasing arterial carbon dioxide tension (Paco2). The effects of NPV on electromyographic activity (EMG) of respiratory muscles in patients with ARF have not been investigated. Several studies, however, have reported that EMG of the respiratory muscles is reduced during NPV in patients with chronic respiratory failure due both to COPD and neuromuscular and skeletal disorders [27–30]. RODENSTEIN and co-workers [31, 32] have studied the adaptation of normal subjects [31] and stable COPD patients [32] to mechanical ventilation by using a “Drinker tank respirator”. The respirator was used in control mode with a respiratory frequency of 15–20 cycles·min−1; however, no data were provided regarding the set of inspiratory/expiratory time ratio. Not surprisingly, the EMG activity of the diaphragm was reduced only after familiarization with the ventilator [31, 32]. More recently, GIGLIOTTI and co-workers [33, 34] studied the effects of NPV on EMG activity of the diaphragm and parasternal muscles in normal subjects [33] and in COPD patients [34]. In these studies, in which respiratory frequency, tI, and tE were adjusted to approximate the subject’s spontaneous timing components, NPV resulted in a substantial suppression of EMG activity of the inspiratory muscles [33–34]. In COPD patients, EMG activity of the diaphragm and parasternal muscles was reduced by 70 and 67%, respectively, during NPV in comparison to spontaneous breathing [33, 34].

Although, in patients with acute respiratory failure, NPV acts by sustaining ventilation and by reducing their efforts to breathe, the mechanism for sustained improvement of gas exchange in patients receiving NPV intermittently is not so clear. Several mechanisms have been proposed, including respiratory muscle rest [34–37], improvement in the physiological dead space/tidal volume (Vd/Vt) ratio [30], and reset of respiratory centre sensitivity to CO2 [30]. These hypotheses are not mutually exclusive and all could contribute to different degrees depending on the patient.

Upper airway

It has been reported that the application of NPV during sleep in normal subjects [38] and in patients with chronic respiratory failure due both to COPD [39] and neuromuscular disorders [40, 41] may result in the development of recurrent episodes of apnoea and hypopnoea, as well as altered sleep quality. In two controlled studies in normal subjects [38] and COPD patients [39], however, most obstructive events during NPV were associated with mild oxygen desaturation (<3%), and only one subject had marked desaturations [39]. Furthermore, a recent controlled study in a small number of patients with neuromuscular disorders has shown that night-time NPV resulted in a general improvement of sleep quality and oxygen saturation [42]. SANNA et al. [43] have recently studied the site of upper airway obstruction during NPV. They found that, in normal subjects during voluntary respiratory muscle relaxation, NPV caused a decrease in the calibre of the upper airway at the glottic or supraglottic level [43].

The mechanisms of the upper airway obstruction observed with NPV have not been determined. During spontaneous breathing, the activation of pharyngeal and laryngeal muscles precedes the activation of inspiratory muscles, resulting in stiffening of the upper airway walls. When NPV is applied during sleep or in completely relaxed subjects, this co-ordinated respiratory muscle activity may be abolished; as a consequence the sub-atmospheric pressure developed in the upper airway during inspiration may result in collapse of the upper airway [15, 38, 39, 43]. Recently, upper airway obstruction has been reported in two out of 10 patients with acute on chronic respiratory failure during treatment with NPV [44].

Lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS)

It has been reported that NPV may induce a LOS dysfunction in healthy subjects [45] and in COPD patients [46]. This dysfunction may cause regurgitation of stomach contents and, sometimes, their aspiration. However it has been shown that LOS dysfunction induced by NPV can be completely prevented by metoclopramide [46]. The administration of this drug should be considered when starting a patient on NPV, especially if any symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux occur [46].

Cardiovascular effects

Although the haemodynamic effects of NPV have not been extensively studied [47, 48], most clinicians believe that these effects are opposite to those of positive pressure ventilation (PPV), i.e. more physiological and more likely to maintain a normal cardiac output. As pointed out by MALONEY and WHITTENBERGER [48], however, the exposure of the entire body (except for the airway opening) to NPV would result in the same adverse haemodynamic effects as occurs with PPV. This is because intrathoracic pressure is actually raised relative to body surface pressure, thereby reducing the gradient for venous
return. Skabursis et al. [49] and Lockhat et al. [50] have shown that this is not the case when the application of NPV is confined to the thorax and upper abdomen by using cuirass or poncho-wrap. Unlike tank ventilator, these machines selectively decrease intrathoracic pressure, so that right atrial pressure becomes more negative (relative to the rest of body), potentially enhancing the gradient for the venous return. Skabursis et al. [49] compared the effects of NPV plus negative end-expiratory pressure (NEEP) with PPV plus PEEP in six anaesthetized dogs with oleic acid induced pulmonary oedema. The two ventilatory modes were carefully matched for inspired oxygen fraction ($F_{I,O_2}$), breathing frequency, tidal volume, and the increase in FRC. Whereas gas exchange did not differ significantly between the two modes, cardiac output was higher during NPV plus NEEP than during PPV plus PEEP [49]. Lockhat et al. [50] compared the effects of PPV plus PEEP, NPV plus NEEP applied by iron lung, and NPV plus NEEP applied only to the thorax and upper abdomen by a grid and wrap device in seven anaesthetized dogs. The ventilatory variables were carefully matched during the three modes of ventilation. In agreement with the suggestions of Maloney and Whittenberger [48], the cardiac output with NPV plus NEEP applied by iron lung was similar to that with PPV plus PEEP, and it was greater with NPV plus NEEP applied by grid and wrap compared to the other two modes of ventilation [50]. More recently, Ambrosino et al. [51] have reported that the application of NPV by poncho-wrap does not modify the cardiac output in stable COPD patients.

In conclusion, at the present time, few studies investigating the physiological effects of NPV in patients with ARF have been carried out. In particular, studies aimed at evaluating: 1) the real incidence of upper airway obstruction during NPV; and 2) the potential usefulness of assisted-controlled NPV in reducing this phenomenon would be useful. Further studies are needed to elucidate the effects of NPV on gas exchange and the cardiovascular system in patients with ARF.

### Clinical applications of NPV in acute respiratory failure

#### Paediatric diseases

The management of respiratory failure in infancy and childhood traditionally includes the administration of additional inspired oxygen and the use of positive pressure ventilation via endotracheal intubation. This can result, especially in neonates, in significant complications, such as tube placement problems, increased risk of lower respiratory tract infection [52], long-term sequelae and problems of tracheostomies [15], barotrauma [53], and adverse haemodynamic effects [47, 54]. In addition, the use of PPV may contribute to development of chronic lung disease, particularly in preterm infants [55]. In the 1970s, several uncontrolled [56-58] and controlled [59, 60] studies showed that NPV was effective in the management of the neonatal respiratory distress syndrome. However, the application of this technique was limited by technical problems such as upper airway obstruction, sores from the neck seal, difficulties in achieving access to the patients and maintaining a neutral thermal environment for newborn infants [60]. Furthermore, it was suggested that the collar could induce a garrotting effect responsible for the potentially harmful increase in endocranial pressure [61]. More recently, however, after substantial technical improvement [62], NPV has been reintroduced for the treatment of respiratory failure [63, 64]. Palmer et al. [65], using a new model of tank ventilator (fig. 6), which incorporates a newly developed latex neck seal designed to avoid compression, have reported no significant jugular venous occlusion linked to the neck seal.

Samuels and Southall [62] studied, in an uncontrolled clinical trial, the effects of NPV in 88 infants and young children (aged 1 day to 2 yrs) with respiratory failure principally due to bronchopulmonary dysplasia and neonatal distress syndrome. When NPV was initiated, 59
patients were receiving an $F_{1\text{O}_2}$ equal or more than 50%, whereas 40 patients were ventilated with PPV. After 2 h of ventilatory treatment carried out with NPV, 75 patients (85%) needed a lower $F_{1\text{O}_2}$ and a further decrease in $F_{1\text{O}_2}$ was achieved in 74 patients after 48 h. Of 40 patients intubated at the start of treatment, 28 were successfully extubated with the aid of negative extrathoracic pressure and 24 survived. Fifty four patients (61%) survived and were discharged; among these, six needed to be ventilated at home with a negative extra thoracic ventilatory support. No potential complications, such as fluid retention, intrathoracic air leak, gastro-oesophageal reflux with aspiration and neck soreness were reported. Upper airway obstruction due to subglottic stenosis after extubation became evident during NPV in only one infant, and required tracheostomy [62]. These results would suggest that the new negative extrathoracic pressure ventilator employed by SAMUELS and SOUTHALL [62] could be considered a safe and effective respiratory support in the management of ARF in infants and young children. The lack of a control group, however, weakened the conclusion of this interesting report.

Paediatric patients undergoing cardiac surgery for congenital heart disease are exposed to the risk of phrenic nerve palsy, that can cause respiratory failure necessitating prolonged mechanical ventilation via an endotracheal tube or a tracheostomy. Diaphragmatic plication is usually performed in the treatment of phrenic nerve palsy after the failure of conservative methods, and when the patient cannot be weaned from positive airway pressure ventilation or has a persistent oxygen requirement [66]. A recent uncontrolled study reported that NPV can facilitate the weaning from PPV via endotracheal tube, and reduce the need of diaphragmatic plication in patients with respiratory failure following phrenic nerve palsy after cardiac surgery [67].

Another recent application of NPV has been reported by HARTMANN et al. [68] in the treatment of central hypoventilation syndrome. This noninvasive ventilatory technique allowed seven of nine intubated patients (78%) to be weaned from PPV. Three patients needed nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) in association with NPV for upper airway obstruction after extubation, two of them for a short period (2 weeks and 7 days, respectively) and one permanently. All patients were discharged and continued to be treated with ventilatory support at home. Two of the seven patients treated with NPV became successively free from ventilator [68].

Infants with ARF who fail to respond to conventional ventilation are considered elective candidates for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). This technique, though it can be life-saving, is associated with significant complications [69] and high mortality rate [70]. A recent uncontrolled study, reported that the use of CNEP administered by a tank ventilator in conjunction with intermittent mandatory ventilation via endotracheal tube was successful in five newborn suffering from respiratory failure and persistent pulmonary hypertension, thus avoiding the use of ECMO [65]. All patients in this study were initially treated with intermittent mandatory ventilation incorporating PEEP, and were switched successively from PEEP to CNEP when the former ventilatory method and an of $F_{1\text{O}_2}$ of 100% failed to correct hypoxaemia [63]. The benefit of combining intermittent mandatory ventilation and CNEP in these patients has recently been confirmed by the same group in a prospective randomized study [71]. In this study, 30 consecutive neonates with severe hypoxaemia (arterial oxygen tension $P_a\text{O}_2$ 4.8±1.1 kPa (36±8 mmHg)) all receiving conventional PPV were randomly assigned to two different modes of ventilation: intermittent mandatory ventilation incorporating PEEP or intermittent mandatory ventilation combined with CNEP. The randomization occurred if conventional positive pressure ventilation failed to increase $P_a\text{O}_2$ level higher than 6.0 kPa (45 mmHg) while the patients were receiving 100% oxygen. Among the 30 infants, 23 fulfilled criteria for treatment with ECMO. Patients crossed over to the other mode of ventilation if the $P_a\text{O}_2$ remained <6.0 kPa (<45 mmHg) after at least 2 h of randomized therapy. A statistically significant difference was ascertained in the number of patients who crossed over from PEEP to CNEP (73%) in comparison with those who crossed over from CNEP to PEEP (13%). In the ECMO-qualifier subset of patients, nine (82%) crossed over from PEEP to CNEP, and only one (8%) crossed over from CNEP to PEEP. Thirty minutes after randomization, patients treated with CNEP showed a marked rise in $P_{a\text{O}_2}$ values 9.2±2.3 kPa (69±17 mmHg), which were statistically different in comparison with those observed in patients treated with PEEP ($P_{a\text{O}_2}$, 6.4±3.6 kPa (48±27 mmHg); p<0.05). The use of CNEP did not increase morbidity and the overall survival rate was 83% [71]. These data suggest that CNEP is a noninvasive and safe method for rescue of infants with severe hypoxaemia, and can be successfully employed in association with intermittent mandatory ventilation as initial therapy for infants who meet ECMO criteria.

At the present time, some uncontrolled studies suggest a potential therapeutic role for NPV in the treatment of ARF due to neonatal distress syndrome and bronchopulmonary dysplasia, and in the weaning from PPV in intubated patients. These points need to be confirmed by prospective and controlled studies. The employment of CNEP in association with intermittent mandatory ventilation in intubated patients has been found to be more efficacious than PEEP in patients who meet ECMO criteria. However, further studies confirming these results are needed before adopting this ventilatory modality as general practice in the clinical application of patients who meet ECMO criteria.

**ARF in COPD with chronic respiratory insufficiency**

Anecdotal cases concerning the successful application of iron lung in the treatment of ARF due to acute exacerbations of COPD were reported in the 1950s. BOUTOURLINE-YOUNG and WHITENBERGER [72] described two cases of pulmonary emphysema with hypercapnia and hypoxaemia treated in a body respirator; one patient died and the other survived and was able to return to his former occupation. STONE et al. [73] successfully treated by iron lung three patients with chronic pulmonary disease during ARF. LOVEJOY et al. [74] reported that, in two out of three patients with severe COPD in comatose state and with severe hypercapnia and respiratory acidosis, the use
of iron lung resulted in recovery. One of the two successful cases improved dramatically during mechanical ventilation after the positioning of an oropharyngeal airway [74]. In five out of seven severe COPD patients with respiratory acidosis, NPV, delivered in assist mode by a cuirass, increased alveolar ventilation with a mean decrease of $P_a,CO_2$ of 5.3 kPa (39.6 mmHg) [75]. In two of the three patients who died, the cause of death was related to extra respiratory complications [75].

In the 1960s, there were major technological advances in the manufacturing of positive pressure ventilators. Subsequently, COPD patients in ARF were generally managed with positive pressure ventilators by endotracheal methods. However, NPV has continued to be used in Europe and especially in Italy, as reported by uncontrolled studies [76, 77].

Even though mechanical ventilation via endotracheal intubation is considered the standard ventilatory treatment in COPD patients with ARF, numerous complications of this technique have been recognized [2]. For this reason, there is no wide consensus as to the best moment to start mechanical ventilation in patients with acute on chronic respiratory failure. PETTY et al. [78] and CURTIS and HUDSON [79] suggest that COPD patients with acute respiratory failure (ARF) who fail to show improvement with conservative management (controlled low-flow oxygen, skilful pharmacological intervention and intensive nursing) should be given ventilatory support. When endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation is mandatory, it is essential to reduce to a minimum the length of treatment, as negative prognostic implications and infective complications may occur from long-term application [80]. An increased mortality rate from the first (42%) to the seventh day (75%) of treatment has been reported in COPD patients undergoing mechanical ventilation [81]. Furthermore, the percentage of pulmonary infections in patients mechanically-ventilated was found to increase in proportion to the days of treatment [82]. WESSEL-AAS et al. [83], in a series of patients with chronic respiratory insufficiency in ARF, 32% of whom were COPD patients, reported more complications in subjects who underwent positive pressure ventilation after intubation than in those treated with a body ventilator. From these considerations, many attempts have recently been made to deliver partial or total ventilatory support in noninvasive manner in COPD patients with acute on chronic respiratory failure.

Negative pressure ventilation has been tested in several uncontrolled studies as listed in table 2. Tank ventilators [25, 84, 85] and ponchowrap [26, 86] have been used to deliver NPV in COPD patients with ARF (table 2). In all these studies, NPV was provided in control mode. In 560 patients (475 of whom had COPD) with chronic respiratory insufficiency, iron lung was applied successfully during an episode of ARF [84]. NPV in association with medical treatment determined a significant improvement of gas exchanges and pH. A mortality rate of 10.5% was reported in COPD patients. In this study, however, no indications were reported on the patients' level of consciousness on admission and on the duration of ventilatory treatment. Particularly interesting is the fact that the mortality rate of COPD patients in this study [84] is similar to that reported in a recent study of ours [87] aimed at analysing retrospectively the results obtained in 16 yrs of activity in our respiratory intensive care unit (ICU). In this period (1975–1991) we treated 2,564 patients (2,011 with COPD and 553 with restrictive thoracic disorders) by use of NPV for ARF. The mortality rate during hospitalization was 9.9% for the patients as a whole, and 10 and 8.9% for patients with COPD and restrictive thoracic disorders, respectively. The length of stay in the respiratory care unit was 10.5±9.5 days [87]. In 1990, we reported [25] the effects of NPV in nine COPD patients in ARF without signs of deteriorating sensorium (stage 1 WEITZENBLUM [88] classification), who underwent ventilatory treatment for 8 h·day\(^{-1}\) for 7 days. The ventilatory treatment resulted in a significant improvement in $P_a,O_2$, $P_a,CO_2$, and pH (table 2). No complications related to NPV were reported [25].

MONTSERRAT et al. [26] evaluated the acute effects of NPV in 20 consecutive patients with COPD in ARF due to bronchial infection (table 2). Arterial blood gas tensions and maximal inspiratory pressure (MIP) were measured before and after 6 h of NPV or conventional medical treatment given in random order on two consecutive day. The authors reported that NPV increased MIP and decreased $P_a,CO_2$ whereas $P_a,O_2$ remained unchanged. No change in these parameters was observed with conventional medical treatment. Although all patients completed the study, six were not compliant with the ventilatory treatment. This subset of patients did not show improvement in MIP and $P_a,CO_2$. SAURET et al. [86] studied 17 patients with severe COPD (forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) 0.60±0.15 L) in acute hypercapnic respiratory failure due to intercurrent bronchial infection. All patients underwent NPV, for 6 h on one day only, in association with oxygen therapy. Oxygen was administered using Venturi mask with inspired $O_2$ concentrations of 24% at the beginning of treatment, 28% during the first hour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>[Ref]</th>
<th>Type of ventilator</th>
<th>Pts n</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After NPV</th>
<th>Duration of NPV</th>
<th>Hospital stay</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GUNELLA [84]</td>
<td>Iron lung</td>
<td>560*</td>
<td>$P_a,O_2$ kPa</td>
<td>$P_a,CO_2$ kPa</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>$P_a,O_2$ kPa</td>
<td>$P_a,CO_2$ kPa</td>
<td>pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORRADO [25]</td>
<td>Iron lung</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTSERRAT [26]</td>
<td>Ponchowrap</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAURET [86]</td>
<td>Ponchowrap</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORRADO [85]</td>
<td>Iron lung</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: COPD=475. COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; [Ref]: reference number; Pts: patients; $P_a,O_2$: arterial oxygen tension; $P_a,CO_2$: arterial carbon dioxide tension; NPV: negative pressure ventilation; NR: not reported.
and thereafter 30% until the 6 h period of NPV was completed. A significant improvement of arterial blood gas values and pH was ascertained during ventilatory treatment. One hour after the end of ventilatory treatment, the same $P_{1\,\text{O}_2}$ of 24% during spontaneous breathing produced a better degree of oxygenation in comparison to that observed in basal condition ($P_{2\,\text{O}_2} 7.1\pm0.8$ versus $6.3\pm0.4$ kPa ($53.3\pm6$ versus $47.2\pm3$ mmHg)), whereas the improvement in $P_{2\,\text{CO}_2}$ and pH obtained during NPV remained unchanged. All patients reported a marked improvement in their dyspnoea, all were compliant with the ventilator, and none of them experienced musculoskeletal pain or other complications. The authors concluded that NPV permits increased oxygen flow in patients with severe hypercapnic respiratory failure due to advanced COPD, and prevents the need, in some cases, of more aggressive ventilatory support [86].

NPV used as a first-line of treatment in severe COPD patients with ARF was found to be associated with a good outcome and long-term prognosis [85]. In this uncontrolled report, 105 COPD patients with chronic respiratory insufficiency admitted to our respiratory intensive care unit from 1976 to 1980 for ARF were retrospectively evaluated. All subjects underwent NPV by means of iron lung to overcome the acute episode. ARF was defined as a condition of acute exacerbation of the chronic disease, which was characterized by increase of dyspnoea at rest, signs of right heart failure (ankle oedema), severe hypoxaemia $P_{1\,\text{O}_2} <6.7$ kPa ($<50$ mmHg), hypercapnia $P_{2\,\text{CO}_2} >6.7$ kPa ($>50$ mmHg), and pH <7.30. This condition could be associated with loss of consciousness or deteriorated sensorium. Of the 105 patients included in the study, 62 were in coma [89] on admission and 43 showed neurological signs of deteriorating sensorium. The main causes leading to ARF were: exacerbations of chronic disease (n=58; 55%) and bronchopneumonia (n=42; 40%). Twelve patients died during hospitalization, whilst 93 were successfully weaned and were included in a follow-up lasting 5 yrs. Six patients were lost after discharge. All relapses of ARF during follow-up were treated by NPV. The survival rates after 1 and 5 yrs were 82 and 37%, respectively [85]. The survival observed in our patients was better than that reported previously in COPD patients with ARF submitted to conventional mechanical ventilation [90]. Although all comparisons with previous studies can be subjected to a number of biases (differences in the selection of patients, medical therapy and degree of monitoring applied), these data suggest that the treatment of acute respiratory failure in COPD patients by NPV could improve survival. In a subsequent study, aimed at investigating the effects of NPV, in overcoming acute episodes and relapses of ARF, combined with long-term oxygen therapy, we confirmed a good long-term survival in COPD patients treated with NPV [91].

NPV provided by iron lung has recently been used by Del Bufalo et al. [92] to facilitate the weaning from mechanical ventilation via endotracheal intubation in COPD patients. Nineteen patients with COPD (10 cases) or restrictive thoracic disorders (9 cases) who failed to be weaned with conventional methods (T-piece, pressure support ventilation, CPAP and synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation) were submitted to weaning trial with iron lung. After adaptation to ventilation with iron lung, patients were extubated during NPV. Each subject was carefully monitored and, if gas exchange and haemodynamic status remained stable, ventilation was discontinued. Successively, NPV was provided intermittently and then slowly tapered off as the patient's clinical condition improved. With this method, 17 (eight with COPD) of the 19 patients were successfully weaned and discharged from the ICU [92]. Controlled studies are needed to confirm these interesting results and to compare this method with the conventional weaning techniques.

The majority of studies reporting successful employment of NPV for the treatment of COPD patients with ARF are retrospective and uncontrolled. Even though these studies involved a large number of patients and were performed by physicians experienced in the field, it should be stressed that, for the reasons mentioned above, they must be considered preliminary and that a conclusive statement is not warranted at the present. These studies, however, suggest that NPV could have a potential role in the treatment of COPD patients with ARF, reducing the need for endotracheal intubation and in the weaning from PPV in intubated patients. These points need to be formally confirmed by further prospective and controlled studies before recommending the generalized use of NPV in ARF as standard of care.

**AFR in neuromuscular disorders**

Negative pressure body ventilators were first used for patients with neuromuscular disorders in ARF during poliomyelitis epidemics in the 1930s and 1940s. Several investigators [93–95] showed that NPV reduced mortality by approximately 50% in patients with spinal polio. However, it was not as efficacious in bulbar polio because of the patient's impaired airway clearance mechanisms and the increased tendency of the upper airway to collapse during NPV use when pharyngeal muscles are paralysed. At the present time, if mechanical ventilation is indicated during acute episodes, patients with neuromuscular disorders are usually placed on positive pressure ventilation via endotracheal intubation.

The interest in noninvasive ventilation resurfaced during the 1980s, when the intermittent use (mostly nocturnal) of NPV was found to reverse daytime gas exchange abnormalities and symptoms of chronic hypoventilation in patients with kyphoscoliosis and neuromuscular disorders [96–101]. In the last two decades, however, only a few uncontrolled studies have been devoted to the investigation of the effect of NPV in the treatment of neuromuscular patients with ARF. Libby et al. [102] successfully treated 20 patients with ARF due to severe kyphoscoliosis, including one patient postpoliomyelitis, by iron lung, thus avoiding the need for endotracheal intubation. Braun et al. [103] studied three patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and two patients with Duchenne's muscular dystrophy in ARF. NPV provided by pneumowrap successfully managed respiratory failure in one patient and permitted weaning of two other patients from invasive mechanical ventilation [103]. Garay et al. [98] studied three patients with kyphoscoliosis, two with postpoliomyelitis, one with muscular dystrophy, and two others who presented in coma from carbon dioxide narcosis. Acute respiratory failure
was reversed by utilizing a tank ventilator. All patients were successfully discharged and maintained at home for an average period of 10 yrs, with NPV overnight and mouthpiece positive pressure ventilation during daytime hours [98]. SHNEERSON [14] suggested that for neuromuscular disorders the chest shell or wrap style ventilator may be sufficient to overcome ARF for some patients, but for many the more effective tank ventilator may be needed. Negative pressure body ventilators can also be effective in weaning patients from intermittent positive pressure ventilation (IPPV) via endotracheal tubes [14, 92].

We have recently reported, in a retrospective study, the effects of NPV in the treatment of 15 neuromuscular patients with ARF admitted from 1980 to 1985 to our respiratory ICU [104]. They had the following diagnoses: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (47%), muscular dystrophy (33%), myasthenia gravis (13%), and multiple sclerosis (7%). The most common causes triggering ARF in these patients were infection of upper or lower respiratory tract and heart failure. On admission, all patients exhibited severe hypoxaemia ($P_{a,O2}$; 5.0±1.7 kPa (37.6±12.4 mmHg)) and hypercapnia ($P_{a,CO2}$; 11.8±2.7 kPa (88.2±20.4 mmHg)), with uncompensated respiratory acidosis (pH 7.25±0.08). The mean Glasgow coma score (GCS) was 10.7±3.6 (range 3–15). Five patients (33%) were in hypoxic-hypercapnic coma (GCS 6.4±2.1), and another five (33%) were obtunded (GCS 11.8±0.4). All patients were managed by NPV provided by means of iron lung. Nasogastric tubes were placed for the obtundated and comatose patients to minimize the risk of bronchial aspiration. To prevent obstruction of the upper airways due to tongue retraction, an oropharyngeal airway was inserted until consciousness was regained. The treatment was successful in 12 of 15 patients (80%). There were three treatment failures. One patient died and two required intubation. One of the intubated patients subsequently died whilst using invasive ventilatory support. The effects of NPV on arterial blood gases and pH in the 12 patients treated successfully are shown in figures 7 and 8 [104].

Although these reports suggest that NPV provided by iron lung can be effective in the treatment of ARF in patients with neuromuscular diseases, many important questions remain unanswered. Prospective controlled studies are needed to confirm the results of uncontrolled reports [98, 102–104] and to clarify the impact of noninvasive ventilatory support techniques both on mortality and length of hospital stay, for patients with neuromuscular disorders who develop ARF. The limitations of NPV must also be considered. Lack of protection of the airway and risk of intermittent collapse with resulting obstructive apnoeas are potential drawbacks to using NPV in patients with neuromuscular diseases [40, 41].

**Other applications**

The use of CNEP in adult respiratory distress syndrome and in persistent flail chest deformity has been described in some case reports. SANJAL et al. [105] successfully used CNEP administered by a tank respirator in the management of progressive respiratory insufficiency in an adult with bilateral alveolar disease. The application of CNEP produced a substantial and sustained increase in $P_{a,O2}$, permitting inspired oxygen concentration to be reduced to 40% within 24 h. Concomitant decreases in intrapulmonary right-to-left shunt and respiratory frequency were also ascertained. No adverse effects on blood pressure or heart rate was reported during CNEP [105]. MORRIS and ELLIOTT [106] reported the use of CNEP to successfully treat adult respiratory distress syndrome in a 19 year old woman who resisted the application of positive airway pressure. The patient was agitated and unwilling to maintain a tight face mask for the administration of CPAP. After careful discussion with the patient, it was decided to administer CNEP and to avoid endotracheal intubation. Arterial hypoxaemia was reversed with ~26 cmH$_2$O of extrathoracic pressure, produced by a modified Emerson iron lung. Cerebral and renal functions were maintained, and barotrauma did not occur. The patient required CNEP for 9 days and was discharged after 12 days [106]. HARTKE and BLOCK [107] successfully employed a chest shell to deliver CNEP in order to stabilize persistent flail chest deformities in a
Advantages, contraindications and clinical side-effects of NPV

The major advantages of NPV (table 3) are avoidance of endotracheal intubation and its attendant complications, and preservation of physiological functions, such as speech, cough, swallowing and feeding. Furthermore, NPV, unlike noninvasive PPV, allows fiberobronchoscopy to be performed for therapeutic and diagnostic procedures without disconnection from the ventilator [44]. However, the limitations of this therapeutic modality must be seriously kept in mind: 1) the lack of protection of the upper airway, especially in unconscious patients or in those with neurological disorders associated to bulbar dysfunction, which may induce pulmonary aspiration of material from the pharynx; and 2) upper airway obstruction due to collapse of the tongue on the posterior pharyngeal wall or to the lack of preinspiratory upper airway muscle activation, which may occur in unconscious patients, or in those with bulbar dysfunction, or in patients with sleep apnoea syndrome during sleep. In unconscious patients with normal bulbar function, however, the placement of a nasogastric tube and the positioning of an oropharyngeal airway can minimize the risk of aspiration pneumonia and upper airway obstruction due to the collapse of the tongue, respectively. In the other circumstances, endotracheal intubation must be performed and the possibility of switching to standard mechanical ventilation must be considered. The major contraindications of NPV are reported in table 3.

At the present time, data on clinical side-effects during NPV have been mainly obtained in patients chronically ventilated at home. In these studies, the most common side-effects reported were poor compliance, upper airway obstruction and musculoskeletal pain (table 4); whereas, in patients with ARF the most frequent side-effect reported were poor compliance [26] and upper airway obstruction [44]. All noninvasive ventilatory techniques, reducing the need for endotracheal intubation and avoiding its attendant complications and difficulty in weaning, should be considered potentially advantageous in comparison with

Table 3. – Advantages and contraindications of negative pressure ventilation (NPV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Contraindications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• No need for endotracheal intubation</td>
<td>• Gastrointestinal bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intermittent delivery of ventilation</td>
<td>• Rib fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Airway suction without disconnection from ventilator</td>
<td>• Recent abdominal surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Physiological cough</td>
<td>• Unco-operative patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Diagnostic and therapeutic procedures by fiberobronchoscopy</td>
<td>• Sleep apnoea syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Normal swallowing, feeding, speech</td>
<td>• Neurological disorders with bulbar dysfunction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. – Clinical side-effects of negative pressure ventilation (NPV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side-effect</th>
<th>Type of device</th>
<th>Home long-term application*</th>
<th>Application in critical care setting*</th>
<th>First author</th>
<th>[Ref]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tiredness and/or depression</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>4/92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Shapiro [110]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal pain or</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>5/92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Shapiro [110]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tightness</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>7/20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Zibrak [111]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophagitis</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>2/20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Zibrak [111]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib fractures and pneumothorax</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>1/20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Zibrak [111]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired sleep quality</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>2/20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Zibrak [111]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper airway obstruction</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>TodiSCO [44]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>11/12^3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Hill [40]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PW, C, IL</td>
<td>3/37^3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Bach [41]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor compliance</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>34/92</td>
<td>6/20</td>
<td>Montserrat [26]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shapiro [110]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PW: pneumowrap; IL: iron lung; C: cuirass. *: number of side-effects/total patients studied; †: patients in whom the diagnosis was supported by polysomnographic data; §: uncontrolled study.
standard mechanical ventilation from an economic point of view. The difficulty in weaning is a crucial problem, strictly related to a long hospital stay and consequently to a high expenditure. Patients receiving prolonged mechanical ventilation (for more than 7 days) have been found to consume a particularly high fraction of economic ICU resources [112, 113]. However it is very important to stress that all the theoretical economic advantages of noninvasive ventilatory techniques must be rigorously documented in terms of: feasibility in weaning; incidence of complications; hospital stay; length of nursing assistance. Noninvasive techniques of assisted ventilation require considerable medical and nursing expertise and, for this reason, it would be very useful to have an accurate economic analysis of training programme costs, in order to acquire a high degree of expertise in dealing with noninvasive ventilatory techniques.

In conclusion, although the use of NPV has been found to be efficacious in the treatment of chronic respiratory failure of patients with neuromuscular and chest wall disorders [15], it remains a matter of debate for patients with ARF due to neuromuscular disorders and exacerbation of COPD. In fact, of all the studies concerning the application of NPV during ARF in these patients have not been prospective and randomly controlled; even though these reports suggest a possible therapeutic role for NPV in the treatment of ARF, they must be formally confirmed by controlled studies comparing NPV versus conventional mechanical ventilation. Apart from this comparison, however, it is important to stress the potential practical advantages in instituting NPV at an early phase of ARF in order to prevent the need for endotracheal intubation and its attendant complications. The limitations of NPV and, in particular, the induction of upper airway obstruction must always be kept in mind; when this side-effect occurs, it must be promptly corrected by placing a pharyngeal airway, or by using another ventilatory technique (noninvasive positive pressure ventilation or endotracheal intubation). The possibility of combining NPV with noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (CPAP) has been reported to be successful in overcoming upper airways obstruction following extubation in infants weaned from IPPV by means of NPV [68]. This option provides an idea of how these two noninvasive techniques may interact, thus increasing the armamentarium of noninvasive ventilatory techniques in managing ARF.

During the last few years, negative pressure ventilation has regained popularity in the paediatric field as a first-line of treatment in acute respiratory failure, as a weaning technique from positive pressure ventilation and as efficacious substitute for positive end-expiratory pressure when used in continuous mode (continuous negative extrathoracic pressure). Furthermore, continuous negative extrathoracic pressure associated with intermittent mandatory ventilation has been used as initial therapy for infants who meet extracorporeal membrane oxygenation criteria, without increased morbidity or mortality related to delayed referral to extracorporeal membrane oxygenation [71]. This application of continuous negative extrathoracic pressure must be considered at the present time to be experimental as further research is needed in order to recommend it as a standard of care.
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