Dry air- and hypertonic aerosol-induced bronchoconstriction and cellular responses in the canine lung periphery


ABSTRACT: Dry air and hypertonic saline both create an osmotic stress to the airways, whilst dry air alone induces transient cooling of the airway mucosa. It is unclear whether these two stimuli lead to bronchoconstriction via the same mechanisms.

We compared airflow- and hypertonic aerosol-induced bronchoconstriction (AIB and HIB, respectively) in the canine lung periphery, using a wedged bronchoscope to measure collateral system resistance (Rcs). Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was used to examine changes in cells and mediators during AIB and HIB.

We found that: 1) peripheral airways are not refractory to either dry air or hypertonic aerosols, and do not exhibit cross-refractoriness to these stimuli; 2) differences in strength of stimulus can alter the magnitude but not the time course of HIB; 3) within an individual, AIB and HIB are significantly correlated; 4) epithelial cells recovered in BAL fluid (BALF) are significantly elevated after AIB, and are similarly increased after HIB; 5) when compared to control, mediators recovered in BALF are significantly elevated after AIB but not HIB; 6) HIB is not altered by cyclo-oxygenase inhibition; and 7) lavage with hypertonic fluid does not affect the number of epithelial cells recovered, although the concentrations of some mediators are increased.

We speculate that differences in cell and mediator profiles reflect differences in the time course of AIB and HIB that result from the modulation of temperature sensitive pathways that occurs during dry air, but not during hypertonic aerosol challenge.

Evaporative water loss occurs during hyperventilation [1], and is believed to initiate airflow induced-bronchoconstriction (AIB) in individuals with asthma [2, 3]. SMITH and ANDERSON [2] estimated that the respiratory water loss associated with hyperpnoea and hypertonic aerosol challenges was similar, and concluded that hyperventilation resulted in airway hypertonicity. The fact that hypertonicity stimulates mast cell mediator release in vitro [4], and was implicated to do so in vivo [5], is consistent with this hypothesis. However, this scenario is complicated by the fact that data from man and dog suggest that the stimulatory effects of evaporative water loss may be counterbalanced by an inhibitory pathway associated with airway cooling [6-9].

Hyperventilation of canine peripheral airways with dry air produces physiological responses similar to those exhibited by asthmatic subjects after exercising or after hyperventilating cold, dry air [10]. Airway mucosal cell damage, as assessed by differential cell analysis of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), is associated with AIB in canine peripheral airways [11], and in asthmatic humans [12]. BALF cell profile data also suggest that challenge with warm, wet air [10, 11, 13], and pretreatment with β-agonists [14, 15], attenuate AIB and significantly protect the canine mucosa from airway desiccation, when compared to untreated dry air challenged segments. In addition to β-agonists [14–16], methylxanthines [16, 17], muscarinic receptor antagonists [13, 18], cyclo-oxygenase inhibitors [11, 13, 19], leukotriene antagonists [20, 21], and airway cooling [6–9] reduce or abolish AIB in canine peripheral airways and individuals with asthma.

The magnitude of hypertonic aerosol-induced bronchoconstriction (HIB) in man and dog is positively correlated with the magnitude of AIB [22–26]. However, significant differences exist in the time course and the magnitude of responses elicited by these two stimuli: in contrast to AIB, which develops slowly after challenge, HIB appears to be initiated during the challenge [23].
This difference was also noted in asthmatic subjects [25], and may, in part, be explained by the absence of cooling during hypertonic aerosol challenge. When canine peripheral airways are artificially cooled and simultaneously exposed to a hypertonic aerosol, the onset of HIB is delayed in a manner similar to AIB [8]. This is consistent with the hypothesis that dry air and hypertonic aerosol activate portions of the same regulatory pathway.

In this study, we examined the phenomenon of cross-refractoriness, i.e. whether HIB or AIB alters airway responsiveness to a subsequent challenge with either dry air or hypertonic aerosol, respectively. It was suggested that cross-refractoriness supported the hypothesis that hypertonicity and exercise initiated bronchoconstriction via a common final pathway [27]. Based on previous studies [10, 23, 28], we would predict that cross-refractoriness would not be exhibited by canine peripheral airways. However, it was important to test this, because if canine peripheral airways were not refractory to either dry air or hypertonic solutions but were cross-refractory to these stimuli, then the model would be inconsistent with the human analogue [27], and its applicability would be of limited value. In an attempt to further delineate the mechanisms responsible for AIB and HIB, we: 1) compared cell and mediator profiles recovered in BALF after AIB and HIB; 2) determined the effects of indomethacin on HIB for comparison to its effect on AIB; and 3) lavaged peripheral airways with hypertonic BALF and determined its effect on cell and mediator profiles. This last protocol was performed to ensure that the peripheral airways were exposed to a known hypertonic stimulus.

**Methods**

All experimental methods and protocols were approved by the Johns Hopkins Medical Institution's Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Measurement of collateral resistance**

Male mongrel dogs (20.1±0.6 kg, n=31) were anaesthetized with intravenous sodium thiopental (25 mg·kg⁻¹), followed by a continuous thiopental infusion (4–6 mg·kg⁻¹·h), and supplemented with intravenous fentanyl citrate (25–50 µg) given every 15–30 min. Dogs were intubated and ventilated with room air, via a constant volume ventilator (17 ml·kg⁻¹), to an end-tidal CO₂ of approximately 4.5%. Rectal temperature was monitored and body temperature was maintained with a warming pad. Heart rate and blood pressure were monitored with a Datasonde Accutorr throughout the course of each experiment. Fibreoptic bronchoscopes (Olympus BFA-4B2, 5.5 mm) were inserted through ports in an endotracheal tube and were used to obstruct two contralateral sublobar segments (fig. 1). Pressure at the tip of the bronchoscopes (Pb) was measured via one lumen of a dual lumen catheter threaded through the suction port of each bronchoscope. Compressed, dry, room temperature air containing 5% CO₂ was delivered at 200 ml·min⁻¹ through the other lumen and into a wedged segment. Collateral system resistance (Rcs) was determined at functional residual capacity, when Pb achieved a plateau, and the pressure (PA) in the surrounding.
unobstructed lung equalled zero. Thus, Rcs was calculated by dividing the pressure at the tip of the bronchoscope by baseline airflow, i.e. \( Rcs = \frac{Pb-Pa}{200} \). Rcs data are presented in cmH\(_2\)O·ml\(^{-1}\)·s. 

**Dry airflow challenge**

Bronchoconstriction was induced by increasing the flow of 5% CO\(_2\) in dry air from 200 ml·min\(^{-1}\) to 1,500 ml·min\(^{-1}\) for 2 min. At the end of the 2 min period, flow rate was returned to 200 ml·min\(^{-1}\) and Rcs was monitored until prechallenge values were re-established.

**Hypertonic aerosol challenge**

Solutions were freshly prepared for each experiment and osmolality was confirmed using a Wescor vapour pressure osmometer. Either Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) made hypertonic with mannitol (875±2 mOsm·kg\(^{-1}\), pH=7.3, N=10), or 14.4% NaCl (4,446±36 mOsm·kg\(^{-1}\), pH=7.4, N=10) was aerosolized using a DeVilbiss Ultra Neb 100 and delivered through the bronchoscope to the obstructed sublobar segment. The ~900 mOsm solution was used in studies involving repeated challenge, because we were concerned that a higher concentration would not allow the sublobar segment to recover in sufficient time to complete the experiment. We used ~4,400 mOsm saline because: 1) mannitol would not remain in solution at the desired concentration; and 2) canine Rcs does not respond differently to these two hypertonic solutions [23]. The dual-lumen catheter was temporarily removed from the bronchoscope and, depending on the sensitivity of the dog, aerosol was delivered in air with 5% CO\(_2\) at 200 ml·min\(^{-1}\) for either a 1 or 2 min period.

**Bronchoalveolar lavage and differential cell counts**

Lavage was performed 5 min postchallenge using two 40 ml and one 20 ml aliquots of warm (37°C) isotonic HBSS (~300 mOsm·kg\(^{-1}\)) or hypertonic mannitol-HBSS (~900 mOsm·kg\(^{-1}\)). Fluid was delivered via the suction port of the bronchoscope and was gently suctioned from the wedged segment using a 20 ml syringe. Lavage samples were stored at 4°C until the conclusion of the experiment, and centrifuged at 4°C for 10 min at 1,300 rpm. The cell pellet from a 5 ml sample was resuspended in 1 ml of supernatant, and a 10 µl sample was placed on a haemocytometer to determine total cell number. A cytopsin was used to prepare slides from this concentrated cell sample, and blinded differential cell counts of macrophages, lymphocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, and epithelial cells were performed after staining with Diff-Quik. The trypan blue exclusion method was used to evaluate cell viability. The bulk of the supernatant was saved for mediator assays. 

**Mediator concentrations in BALF**

Determinations of prostaglandin D\(_2\) (PGD\(_2\)), F\(_{2\alpha}\) (PGF\(_{2\alpha}\)), and thromboxane B\(_2\) (TXB\(_2\)) were carried out as follows: BALF sample was concentrated using a Sep-Pak C\(_{18}\) cartridge (Waters Assoc., Milford, MA, USA) and eluted in 4 ml of methanol. This sample was centrifuged and the supernatant evaporated to dryness. The dried sample was then reconstituted to 1.0 ml with 0.1% gelatin-phosphate buffered saline. The mediator was then measured using a competitive radioimmunoassay (RIA) system, as described previously [11, 30]. Assays were sensitive from 5–20 pg·0.1 ml\(^{-1}\) and cross-reactivity of all antibodies was <1% with PGE\(_1\), PGE\(_2\), 6-keto PGF\(_{1\alpha}\), PGF\(_{2\alpha}\), and TXB\(_2\) [31]. Determinations of PGD\(_2\), PGF\(_{2\alpha}\), and TXB\(_2\) were confirmed using capillary gas chromatography-mass spectrometric (GC-MS) analysis as described by Liu et al. [32]. In the first series of experiments, samples were prepared by adding deuterated internal standards to the 0.4 ml of methanol extracted sample, evaporated under a nitrogen stream and the residue containing the eluted prostanoids was treated with 30 µl of 2% methoxyamine HCl dissolved in pyridine for 18–24 h (20°C). Oximated samples were stored at -70°C until further derivatization immediately prior to GC-MS analysis. These samples provided only qualitative confirmation of the presence of specific prostanoids in BALF. In the second series of experiments, deuterated internal standards were added to the BALF prior to extraction using Sep-Pak cartridges. Assays performed in this manner provided quantitative determination of prostanoids in BALF. The minimum limit of detection was 0.1–0.2 pg·ml\(^{-1}\) BALF [32].

**Protein analysis of BALF**

Protein assays (Bio-Rad) were carried out in duplicate using 100 µl samples of BALF. Prepared samples were read spectrophotometrically and evaluated using an albumin standard curve.

**Statistical analyses**

Rcs data were analysed using a repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan’s multiple range test. Lavage cell and mediator data were compared using either a Wilcoxon signed-ranks test or a Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA. Spearman's rank correlation (r\(_s\)) analysis was used to examine the relationship between dry air and hypertonic-induced responses in the canine lung periphery. All values represent mean±SEM. Note that a paired design was used for all experiments and all statistical analyses were performed on absolute values and focused on within-animal variation. However, the SEM bars in our figures depict between-animal variation. Statistical significance was judged at p<0.05 in all cases. 

**Experimental protocols**
Effect of airway drying on hypertonic aerosol-induced bronchoconstriction. Two bronchoscopes were simultaneously wedged in contralateral sublobar segments of anaesthetized, ventilated, male mongrel dogs. After establishing a stable baseline, one wedged sublobar segment was exposed for 2 min to dry air (1,500 ml·min⁻¹). Rcs was recorded at 30 s, 2 and 5 min after exposure, and then every 5 min until the baseline was re-established. Then, a second challenge was performed for 60 s using a hypertonic aerosol (~900 mOsm·kg⁻¹). Rcs was again recorded at 30 s, 2 and 5 min, and then every 5 min thereafter. Finally, a third challenge was performed, identical to the first using dry air (n=6 sublobar segments in five dogs).

Effect of hypertonic aerosol on dry air-induced bronchoconstriction. The contralateral lung described above was used to perform an experiment similar to the one above except for a reversal in the order of exposure. After an initial exposure and response to a hypertonic solution, dry air challenge was performed, and was followed by another hypertonic-aerosol challenge identical to the first (n=6 sublobar segments in six dogs).

Comparison of dry air and 14.4% NaCl aerosol challenge. Ten sublobar segments in six dogs were exposed to a 14.4% (~4,400 mOsm·kg⁻¹) aerosol of NaCl for 60 s. After the peripheral airway response had subsided and the baseline was re-established, the same wedged segment was exposed to dry air (1,500 ml·min⁻¹) for 2 min. The sequence of aerosol and dry air challenges was randomly determined for each dog tested.

Effect of dry air and 14.4% NaCl aerosol challenge on BALF cell profiles and mediator concentrations. A series of eight experimental trials were carried out in eight different animals, in which two contralateral segments in each dog were simultaneously wedged with a bronchoscope. One randomly selected side was exposed to a 60 s 14.4% NaCl aerosol challenge, the other to a 2 min 1,500 ml·min⁻¹ dry air challenge. Rcs was recorded at 2 and 5 min postchallenge and the lung was lavaged immediately thereafter. After removing the two bronchoscopes, a third scope was wedged in a control segment undisturbed by the preceding procedure, and the sublobar segment was lavaged.

Effect of cyclo-oxygenase inhibition on 14.4% NaCl aerosol challenge. Four dogs (6 lobes) were challenged with hypertonic saline aerosol before and after indomethacin (5 mg·kg⁻¹, i.v.). This dose had previously been shown to significantly decrease AIB in the canine lung periphery [11, 13]. Sublobar segments were exposed to either 60 or 120 s of hypertonic aerosol, depending on the sensitivity of the animal.

Effect of hypertonicity on BALF cell profiles and mediator concentrations. Seven trials were performed in seven different animals, in which segments were wedged and immediately lavaged with isotonic HBSS (~300 mOsm·kg⁻¹). The contralateral lung was then lavaged with hypertonic mannitol-HBSS (~900 mOsm·kg⁻¹).

Results

Effect of airway drying on hypertonic aerosol-induced bronchoconstriction. Rcs increased an average of 55±14% (p<0.01) above baseline 5 min after the first dry air challenge, 23±3% (p<0.05) 30 s after a subsequent aerosol challenge with hypertonic mannitol-HBSS, and 47±13% (p<0.01) after the second dry air challenge of the same sublobar segment (fig. 2a). Although peak Rcs after each dry air challenge was significantly greater (p<0.01) than the intervening AIB, the magnitude of AIB before and after exposure to hypertonic aerosol was similar. Time separating the initiation of the first and second, and the second and third challenges was 64±14 and 23±3 min, respectively. Note that the differences in time separating these challenges reflect the magnitude of the preceding response, and thus the recovery time.

Effect of hypertonic aerosol on dry air-induced bronchoconstriction. Hypertonic mannitol-HBSS aerosols administered before and after dry air challenge increased Rcs 27±5% and 24±10% (p<0.05) above baseline 30 s after exposure, respectively (fig 2b). The interposed dry air challenge increased Rcs 74±27% (p<0.01) above baseline at 2 min postexposure. Although peak Rcs after each hypertonic aerosol challenge was significantly less (p<0.01) than the intervening AIB, the magnitude of HIB before and after exposure to dry air was similar. Time separating the initiation of the first and second, and the second and third challenges was 41±7 and 65±19 min, respectively. Spearman's rank analysis of the combined data, depicted in figures 2a and b, revealed a significant correlation between HIB and AIB when Rcs 30 s after aerosol challenge was compared to Rcs 5 min after dry air challenge (rₚ=0.79; p<0.001; n=10).

Comparison of dry air and 14.4% NaCl aerosol challenge. Hypertonic saline aerosol and dry air challenge increased Rcs an average of 122±36% and 49±7% (p<0.01) above baseline 30 s and 5 min postchallenge, respectively (fig. 3a). Response to 14.4% NaCl was significantly elevated throughout the 15 min postchallenge period. A significant correlation was found between HIB and AIB when Rcs 30 s after aerosol challenge was compared to Rcs 5 min after dry air challenge (fig. 3b) (rₚ=0.90; p<0.001).

Effect of cyclo-oxygenase inhibition on 14.4% NaCl aerosol challenge. Hypertonic saline aerosol and dry air challenge increased Rcs an average of 122±36% and 49±7% (p<0.01) above baseline 30 s and 5 min postchallenge, respectively (fig. 3a). Response to 14.4% NaCl was significantly elevated throughout the 15 min postchallenge period. A significant correlation was found between HIB and AIB when Rcs 30 s after aerosol challenge was compared to Rcs 5 min after dry air challenge (fig. 3b) (rₚ=0.90; p<0.001).

Effect of dry air and 14.4% NaCl aerosol challenge on BALF cell profiles and mediator concentrations. In this series of eight experiments, bronchoalveolar lavage was performed 5 min after exposure to either dry air or hypertonic saline aerosol. Rcs increased 56±20% 5 min after dry air challenge, whereas hypertonic aerosol challenge increased Rcs an average of 77±14% 30 s after exposure. An average of 45±4 and 38±3 ml of fluid was recovered from dry air and hypertonic aerosol expo-
sed segments, respectively. This was not significantly different (p=0.316) from the 45±5 ml recovered from control segments. Total cells·ml⁻¹ of BALF recovered in each of the three groups were also similar (p=0.976). Cell viability was not significantly different (p=0.136) in BALF from control (97±1%), dry air (95±1%), or hypertonic aerosol (97±1%) exposed segments. Macrophages (46±3%, n=24), lymphocytes (17±2%), polymorphonuclear leucocytes (16±2%), and eosinophils (7±1%) were not significantly different among the three treatment groups (fig. 4a). In contrast, the number of epithelial cells recovered from dry air challenged
segments (14\pm2\%, n=8) was significantly increased (p<0.001) when compared to unchallenged control segments (8\pm2\%) (fig. 4a). The number of epithelial cells recovered from hypertonic aerosol exposed segments (16\pm4\%) tended to be greater than control (p=0.071), and were not significantly different from dry air exposed segments (p=0.417). RIA of lavage samples detected significantly greater concentrations of PGD2 (p=0.021) and TxB2 (p=0.040) in dry air challenged segments when compared to BALF from unchallenged segments (fig. 4b). PGF2\textalpha (p=0.117) and LTC4 in hypertonic aerosol exposed segments were not significantly different from control. The presence of these specific prostanoids was confirmed via GC-MS analysis. The concentration of protein in lavage samples from control (64\pm17 \mu g\cdot ml^{-1}), dry air (100\pm24 \mu g\cdot ml^{-1}), and NaCl (59\pm16 \mu g\cdot ml^{-1}) exposed segments did not differ significantly (p=0.295).

Effect of cyclooxygenase inhibition on 14.4\% NaCl aerosol challenge. Hypertonic aerosol challenge in six lungs significantly (p=0.01) increased Rcs 67\pm7\% above baseline, 30 s after challenge. Rcs returned to 0.52\pm0.1 cmH2O\cdot ml^{-1}\cdot s, which was similar to the original baseline (0.53\pm0.1 cmH2O\cdot ml^{-1}\cdot s). Rcs subsequently increased 65\pm15\% 30 s after the post-indomethacin challenge, which was not significantly different (p=0.42) from the preceding response. Indomethacin did not significantly affect heart rate (71\pm5 vs 69\pm4 bpm; p=0.30) or mean arterial pressure (108\pm9 vs 105\pm11 mmHg; p=0.59).

Effect of hypertonicity on BALF cell profiles and mediator concentrations. An average of 94\pm7 ml of fluid was recovered from sublobar segments of seven dogs lavaged with \textasciitilde900 mOsm\cdot kg^{-1} fluid. This was significantly more than the 60\pm8 ml of fluid recovered from the contralateral lobe when using \textasciitilde300 mOsm\cdot kg^{-1} fluid for BALF. Total cells\cdot ml^{-1} of BALF fluid recovered from isotonic and hypertonic lavage groups were not significantly different (p=0.466). Cell viability was also similar (p=0.136) for segments lavaged with isotonic (94\pm1\%) and hypertonic (90\pm3\%) fluids. No differences were evident between macrophages (50\pm2\%, n=14), lymphocytes (27\pm2\%), polymorphonuclear leucocytes (7\pm1\%), eosinophils (7\pm2\%), and epithelial cells (9\pm1\%) recovered in either BALF sample (fig. 5a). Although RIA of lavage samples did not detect significantly different concentrations of PGD2, PGF2\textalpha, and TxB2 and LTC4 in lavage fluid recovered in \textasciitildea determined via radioimmunoassay. c) Concentrations of PGD2, PGF2\textalpha, and TxB2 in lavage fluid recovered in \textasciitildea determined by capillary gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (n=7). \textcc: \textasciitilde300 mOsm\cdot kg^{-1}; \textcc: \textasciitilde900 mOsm\cdot kg^{-1}. For abbreviations see legend to figure 4. Values represent mean\pmSEM. \*: p=0.05; **: p=0.01.
differences (fig. 5c): PGD₂ (p=0.071), PGF₂α (p=0.053), and TxB₂ (p=0.050). The concentration of protein from isotonic (137±21 µg·ml⁻¹) and hypertonic (204±58 µg·ml⁻¹) lavaged segments did not differ significantly (p=0.076).

Discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated that canine peripheral airways were nonrefractory to dry air [10, 11, 13] and hypertonic aerosol challenges [23]. In this study, we found that AIB was unaffected by a preceding hypertonic aerosol challenge (fig. 2a), and HIB was unaltered by a preceding dry air challenge (fig. 2b). Thus, within the 20–60 min separating the two challenges in this study, canine peripheral airways did not exhibit cross-refractoriness to these stimuli (fig. 2). These data are consistent with those of Belcher et al. [27], who reported that 60% of the asthmatic subjects in their study were not refractory to exercise or hypertonic NaCl, and were not cross-refractory to these stimuli. The remaining 40% of their subjects were refractory to exercise and hypertonic aerosol challenge, and were cross-refractory to these stimuli. Based on this phenomenon of cross-refractoriness, it was suggested that hypertonicity and exercise initiated bronchoconstriction through a common final pathway. Regardless of whether the mechanism responsible for refractoriness is related to the initiation of either AIB or HIB, this relationship is not evident either in our model or in individuals exhibiting nonrefractory asthma.

AIB and HIB in canine peripheral airways were significantly correlated regardless of whether ~900 mOsm·kg⁻¹ hypertonic mannitol (see Results, fig. 2, and [23]) or ~4,400 mOsm·kg⁻¹ hypertonic NaCl (fig. 3) was used for comparison with responses to dry air. We previously suggested that the marked differences in the magnitude and time-course of HIB and AIB as seen in figure 2 and figure 3, respectively, were related to the strength of the stimulus produced by each mode of challenge [23]. For a given sublobar segment, differences in the magnitude of the response were indeed dependent on stimulus strength: ~900 mOsm·kg⁻¹ aerosol challenges produced smaller responses than challenge with dry air (fig. 2) [23], and the same dry air challenge elicited a smaller response than challenge with a ~4,400 mOsm·kg⁻¹ aerosol (fig. 3). However, the marked differences in the time-course of responses produced by hypertonic aerosol and dry air challenge remained, regardless of the concentration of aerosol used for comparison. Although these differences in the time-course of AIB and HIB appear to be unrelated to stimulus strength, they may result from differential activation or inactivation of biochemical pathways by these two stimuli. Airway cooling inhibited AIB and HIB in the canine lung periphery [6–8]. However, unlike AIB, hypertonic aerosol-induced responses normally occur in the absence of airway cooling and peak immediately after exposure [8, 25]. The fact that transient airway cooling during aerosol challenge slows the development of HIB [8] is consistent with the hypothesis that during periods of hypopnea, airway cooling delays the onset of AIB via an inhibition of mediator release and neuronal activity.

Although mediator release has been implicated in AIB [12, 19, 21, 33] and HIB [34–36], the role of biochemical mediators in the initiation of these responses remains controversial. The protection provided by anti-histamines [19, 34, 35], mast cell "stabilizers" [36], cyclooxygenase inhibitors [11, 19], and leukotriene receptor antagonists [21, 37, 38] suggest at least a partial role for these mediators in the development of AIB and HIB. In this study, although leukotrienes were not elevated in BAL after challenge with either dry air or 14.4% NaCl, MK-0591, a leukotriene biosynthesis inhibitor, significantly attenuated AIB in dogs [20]. The mediation of AIB by metabolites of arachidonic acid has been demonstrated in dogs [11], guinea-pigs [39], and asthmatic humans [19]. However, in this study the inhibition of cyclo-oxygenase with indomethacin was ineffective in attenuating HIB. In contrast, aerosolized indomethacin was reported to inhibit ultrasonically nebulized distilled water (UNDW)-induced airway obstruction in asthmatic subjects [40]. Our results are consistent with those of Finnerty and co-workers [35], who concluded that prostaglandins played a minor role in the development of HIB in subjects with asthma. The fact that indomethacin does protect against UNDW but does not attenuate responses to hypertonic aerosols suggests that these two stimuli operate via different pathways.

Bronchoalveolar lavage revealed few differences in the composition of cells recovered from control, hypertonic aerosol-, and dry air-challenged sublobar segments (fig. 4a). Epithelial cell numbers in BALF from dry air and hypertonic aerosol exposed regions were similar, although the concentration of epithelial cells was significantly greater than those found in control BALF samples only after dry air challenge (fig. 4a). BALF samples from canine peripheral airways exposed to dry airflow were previously reported to contain more epithelial cells·ml⁻¹ than BALF recovered from either unexposed airways or airways challenged with warm, moist air [11]. This increase in the number of epithelial cells recovered from dry air exposed segments suggests that airway drying caused cell desquamation and increased access to the submucosa. However, neither HIB was enhanced by a preceding dry air challenge, nor was AIB altered by a previous exposure to hypertonic aerosol (fig. 2). This suggests that the mucosal injury implicated by postchallenge BALF samples was insufficient to alter peripheral airway responsiveness. Surprisingly, there was no difference in BALF protein concentrations from control, dry air and NaCl exposed segments, 5 min after challenge. BALF recovered from asthmatic subjects after 5 min of isocapnic hyperventilation revealed similar results: although epithelial cell numbers were elevated in BALF, BALF total protein was not increased after challenge [12]. Either BALF total protein is too insensitive to be used as a marker of dry air-induced airway injury, or airway drying transiently alters epithelial cell adhesion, and
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increases the probability that these cells would be washed out during lavage. Note that lavage by itself did not affect epithelial cell recovery (fig. 5a) and did not affect the protein concentration in BALF, even when lavage was performed with hypertonic NaCl.

The release of mediators from inflammatory cells sensitive to transient changes in airway fluid osmolality is believed to initiate AIB and HIB [25, 26, 41]. Mediator release in vitro [4], and in vivo [5, 42] was demonstrated in response to osmotic stress. The analysis of BALF from dry air challenged sublobar segments indicated that the concentrations of both PGD2 and TxB2 were significantly elevated above control (fig. 4b). Mediator concentrations in BALF from hypertonic aerosol challenged segments were not significantly different from control (fig. 4b). We previously suggested that dry air challenge primarily triggered mediator release to increase Rcs over a 5 min postchallenge period, whereas hypertonic aerosols acted primarily through a vagal reflex to produce peak responses immediately after exposure [23]. Atropine attenuated canine AIB [13] and HIB [23], by 31 and 59%, respectively. In addition, changes in BALF mediator concentrations were considerably more marked after dry air than hypertonic aerosol exposure (fig. 4b). These data are consistent with the hypothesis that dry air and hypertonic aerosols induced airway obstruction through common pathways, but differentially stimulated mediator release and muscarinic activity.

Differences in the concentrations of various mediators recovered in isotonic and hypertonic BALF were not detected using RIA (fig. 5b). Similarly, Gravelyn et al. [42] reported that RIA did not reveal significant differences in the concentration of mediators in isotonic and hypertonic BALF recovered from asthmatic individuals. However, an increase in the concentration of certain mediators in hypertonic BALF was confirmed in this study with GC-MS (fig. 5c). Although the accuracy of either technique for quantifying these mediators could be questioned, both analyses provided similar qualitative data suggesting that mediator concentrations were moderately elevated in response to hypertonic BALF (fig. 5b and c). Initially, the difficulty in demonstrating a difference in mediator release during isotonic and hypertonic lavage seemed surprising. However, canine tracheal epithelium responded asymmetrically to osmotic challenge in vitro. Max et al. [43] demonstrated that basolateral membrane osmotic conductivity was greater than that of the apical membrane in response to a 100 mOsm osmotic load. If this was also true in vivo, then the bronchial epithelium may be unaffected by relatively large changes in the osmolality of periciliary fluid. This may account for the fact that the concentration of mediators recovered in ~900 mOsm·kg\(^{-1}\) BALF was similar to that seen in BALF samples from segments exposed to a ~4,400 mOsm·kg\(^{-1}\) aerosol challenge (fig. 4b and 5b). Differences did exist in peripheral airway responses to dry air and hypertonic challenge, and mediator release appeared to be more prominent in response to the former rather than the latter.

In summary, canine peripheral airways did not exhibit cross-refractoriness to either dry air or hypertonic aerosols. However, hypertonic aerosols produced varying degrees of obstruction, and these responses were correlated with responses to dry air challenge. Unlike differences in the magnitude of AIB and HIB, the marked difference in time-course was not dependent on stimulus strength. Epithelial cell numbers in BALF from dry air and hypertonic aerosol exposed regions were similar, although the concentration of these cells was greater than control only after dry air challenge. Concentrations of both PGD2 and TxB2 were significantly elevated above control only in dry air-challenged sublobar segments, and mediator concentrations were only moderately elevated in response to hypertonic BALF. Because BALF samples were obtained at 5 min post AIB and HIB, differences in BALF cell and mediator profiles may reflect differences in the time course of these two responses. However, it is possible either that dry air challenge created local osmotic loads that exceeded the global osmotic stress produced with hypertonic lavage, or that dry air and hypertonic stimuli acted at different levels of a number of simultaneously active biochemical and nervous system pathways. We previously demonstrated that transient cooling during aerosol challenge delayed the onset of HIB and produced a time course similar to AIB [8]. Thus, differences in BALF cell and mediator data may result from either activation or deactivation of temperature sensitive pathways during dry air challenge that are not affected during exposure to a hypertonic aerosol.
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