10 years since TORCH: shining a new light on the risks of inhaled corticosteroids in COPD
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10 years ago, in February 2007, the Towards a Revolution in COPD Health (TORCH) study was published in the *New England Journal of Medicine* [1]. While the objective of the study was to demonstrate a survival benefit of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) in combination with long-acting beta-agonists (LABA) compared to placebo in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), arguably the most lasting impact of the study on the field of COPD has been a progressive re-evaluation of the safety of ICS [1].

The study of 6184 patients failed to demonstrate a significant survival benefit (p=0.052). There was, however, a significant increase in the frequency of pneumonia in patients with combined ICS/LABA (19.6% over 3 years) compared with 12.3% in the placebo group, 13.3% in the salmeterol group and 18.3% in the group receiving fluticasone alone [1]. While the TORCH study was by no means the first study to report pneumonia in association with ICS use, the findings of TORCH and subsequent analyses confirming the association between ICS and pneumonia have had a striking impact on the field [2]. Later trials looked more carefully, with routine use of chest radiography, for pneumonia as a specific event [3–5]. All ICS preparations have subsequently been associated with an approximately 60% increase in the risk of pneumonia [3–5]. While much has been made of the lack of corresponding evidence for an increase in pneumonia related mortality, no studies have ever been adequately powered to detect differences in pneumonia-related mortality between different COPD treatments [6–8].

Studies over the past 10 years have expanded our knowledge of the effects of ICS on respiratory infections by, in addition to pneumonia, linking ICS use with an increase in active tuberculosis in observational studies [9], an increase in pneumonia in asthmatic patients [10] and even an increased frequency of upper respiratory tract infections in COPD [11]. There is little doubt therefore that ICS are powerful immunosuppressive drugs that in some cases can predispose to serious respiratory infections.

While there is evidence that ICS prescribing has declined over the subsequent decade in many countries, ICS remains a dominant treatment approach for COPD [12]. ICS use is also very common in patients with bronchiectasis and in patients with cystic fibrosis where evidence for their effectiveness is limited but where airway infection is common [13, 14].
Non-tuberculous mycobacterial (NTM) infection is a serious respiratory infection that frequently complicates COPD, cystic fibrosis and bronchiectasis [15]. Rates of NTM are rising rapidly in Europe and worldwide. For example, RINGSHAUSEN et al. [16], reported an increase of 6% per year in hospital admissions for NTM disease in Germany, with COPD patients accounting for the largest proportion of cases. SHAH et al. [17] reported a 10-fold increase in the incidence of NTM from 1995 to 2012 in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. In Canada, NTM disease increased in prevalence from 29.3 per 100 000 persons between 1998 and 2002 to a rate of 41.3 per 100 000 population during the period 2006–2010 [18]. These represent rates of NTM in the general population. Rates in bronchiectasis have been reported from 1–9% of patients, while the most recent data from the US Cystic Fibrosis Foundation registry found NTM-positive cultures in 12% of CF patients [19, 20].

The reasons for rises worldwide are unclear, but may include greater awareness and testing, environmental factors and the increased use of immunosuppressive drugs in susceptible populations, such as ICS. In this issue of the European Respiratory Journal, BRODE et al. [21] report important new data regarding the risks of ICS in patients with mixed obstructive lung disease. Using high quality linked laboratory and healthcare datasets in Canada, 417 494 patients aged ≥66 years with COPD, asthma and asthma–COPD overlap were identified. A case–control study was performed between 2001 and 2013. Cases of NTM pulmonary disease (NTM-PD) were defined using the American Thoracic Society microbiological criteria of two or more positive sputum cultures for the same species within 2 years or one bronchoscopy positive sample [21]. Each case of NTM-PD was matched to four control subjects by age, sex, year of cohort and lung disease history. Using this design, the authors sought to establish the association between use of ICS and the risk of NTM-PD in 2966 NTM-PD cases and 11 851 controls [21].

The results are striking. Current ICS use nearly doubled the risk of NTM (adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 1.86, 95% CI 1.60–2.15) with the strongest risk associated with the use of fluticasone-containing regimes (aOR 2.09, 95% 1.80–2.43). As with pneumonia risk in COPD there appeared to be both a dose response relationship, with the highest risk for high dose fluticasone and an important influence of host susceptibility, with increased risks evident for COPD patients but less so for patients with asthma. The association remained after limiting analysis only to those individuals with treated NTM disease [21].

Case–control designs have inherent limitations and there remain risks of incomplete matching and residual confounding in such studies. Nevertheless, this is a carefully conducted and convincing epidemiological investigation that suggests a significant increase in risk of NTM infection in COPD patients receiving inhaled corticosteroids [21]. The study greatly extends a previous case control study from Denmark that included 112 NTM-PD cases and found a 29-fold increased risk of NTM with ICS use, rising to a 47-fold increase in those receiving the highest dose, most potent ICS preparations [22].

NTM-PD is a rare event and at an individual patient level the number needed to harm is estimated at 1775 per year. NTM risk is therefore unlikely to factor strongly into the decision of whether or not to prescribe ICS to an individual patient [21]. This study raises concerns, however, about whether widespread ICS use in patients with chronic lung disease is contributing the global rise in NTM infections. While uncommon, NTM infections are difficult to treat and associated with high healthcare costs [23, 24]. An analysis of disease burden from Germany recently showed that compared to age, sex and co-morbidity matched controls, NTM-PD patients had a near four-fold increase in mortality risk (22.4% versus 6%) and a near four-fold increase in healthcare costs [24]. The association between ICS and NTM infection is biologically plausible. ICS is known to reduce T-cell, macrophage and neutrophil function in the lung [25, 26]. ICS reduces total CD4 and CD8 T-cell numbers, macrophage and neutrophil numbers [26]. Recent data demonstrates reduced mucosal-associated invariant T-cells (MAIT) in blood and bronchial tissue from individuals with COPD treated with ICS and MAIT are known to be involved in host defence against mycobacteria [27]. Macrophages are also essential to host defence against NTM with TLR2 signalling. IL-12 and tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) secretion driving production of interferon-γ in particular being of central importance. TNF-α secretion is suppressed by inhaled corticosteroids with fluticasone reported to be more potent than budesonide [28]. In mice, fluticasone also suppressed IL-12 production by alveolar macrophages leading to increased susceptibility to pneumococcal infection [29]. Mouse models have demonstrated that dexamethasone suppresses TNF-α in vivo and leads to increased mycobacterial burden in mice infected with *Mycobacterium avium* complex [30].

Mechanistic data in patients is lacking in respect of NTM, but is growing with regard to bacterial infection generally. ICS was associated with increased bacterial load in a cross-sectional study using quantitative PCR, while a recent study of the airway microbiome in COPD exacerbations showed that oral steroid
treatment caused expansion of the proteobacteria phylum, the family of bacteria typically associated with pneumonia [31, 32].

There is now a global drive to reduce inappropriate ICS use. In COPD, several studies including the WISDOM and INSTEAD randomised controlled trials have demonstrated it is possible to withdraw inhaled corticosteroids without a corresponding increase in exacerbation frequency [33, 34]. ICS withdrawal is now recognised by the GOLD strategy as an appropriate treatment approach in selected patients, with combined long acting beta-agonists and long-acting muscarinic antagonist (LABA/LAMA) combinations recommended as first line therapy for exacerbating patients in place of ICS [35]. Peripheral blood eosinophil counts have been shown to identify a subgroup of COPD patients who respond to ICS and may also be less likely to develop ICS-related pneumonia, although the optimal cut-off to define this subgroup remains a subject of intense debate [36, 37]. In bronchiectasis, there remains an absence of randomised controlled trials but the recently published European Respiratory Society guidelines recommend against the use of ICS, a recommendation that appears strengthened by the finding of an increase in NTM risk [38]. In cystic fibrosis, a previous randomised trial of 84 patients showed that withdrawal of ICS was safe with no increase in exacerbation risk and routine use of ICS is not recommended by international cystic fibrosis guidelines [14]. Table 1 summarises the current state of play for ICS use and ICS withdrawal recommendations.

Whether efforts to reduce inappropriate and ineffective ICS use will reduce the global burden of NTM disease remains to be established, but the investigation by BRODE et al. [21] adds further evidence to support the rational use of these drugs.

The risk of serious respiratory infection including pneumonia and NTM should be considered in susceptible patients when making the decision to prescribe ICS or to continue ICS in patients already on therapy. Clinicians should carefully consider the risks and benefits and be prepared to withdraw ICS in patients without a strong clinical indication.

From a research perspective, we need further studies to understand the mechanisms of increased infection susceptibility in COPD and other chronic lung diseases in order to better identify patients that should and should not receive ICS. Personalised medicine, or the pursuit of treatable traits, will require a multimodality approach including analysis of the microbiome, inflammatory profiles and clinical characteristics to identify patients in whom ICS are safe and effective, and to withdraw them in those for whom they are not.

10 years since TORCH, BRODE et al. [21] have shone a new light on the risks of ICS. The fightback against inappropriate use of ICS in chronic lung disease is gathering momentum.
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