





Can epidemiological studies determine the productivity-related burden of COPD?

Sanja Stanojevic

Affiliation: Respiratory Medicine, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada.

Correspondence: Sanja Stanojevic, Respiratory Medicine, Hospital for Sick Children, 555 University Ave, Toronto, ON M5G 1X8, Canada. E-mail: sanja.stanojevic@sickkids.ca

@ERSpublications

The causal association between chronic airflow obstruction and unemployment is complex and requires further study http://ow.ly/INtD30eqgJJ

Cite this article as: Stanojevic S. Can epidemiological studies determine the productivity-related burden of COPD? *Eur Respir J* 2017; 50: 1701515 [https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01515-2017].

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is one of the leading causes of death around the world [1]. Chronic airflow obstruction (CAO), measured by spirometry, is the most commonly used objective characteristic of COPD [2]. Epidemiological research has provided researchers and clinicians with critical information about the burden of COPD and its risk factors; [3–9]; however, very few studies have investigated the productivity-related burden of COPD [10–12]. The Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease (BOLD) study is one of the best designed epidemiological studies investigating prevalence and risk factors for COPD, and has been vital in improving our understanding of COPD around the world [3, 13]. In this issue of the *European Respiratory Journal*, Grønseth *et al.* [14] estimate the association between CAO and unemployment at 26 BOLD sites. The study demonstrates that there is greater unemployment in participants with CAO, which was partially confounded by socioeconomic factors. Importantly, the study reports no association in low and middle income countries (LMIC), which emphasises the diversity of risk factors and burden of COPD in different parts of the world.

The major strengths of the study by Grønseth *et al.* [14] are its rigorous design, standardised objective measures of CAO, and comprehensive methodological approach. The analysis included a large sample size (n=18710) from a diverse selection of countries and sites. While the diagnosis criteria for COPD are widely debated [15], Grønseth *et al.* [14] use an objective measure of lung function and identify CAO using the lower limit of normal, which is consistent with American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society recommendations [16]. The study presents country specific estimates and stratified results by high income country (HIC) and LMIC, which provides a unique insight into the relationship between CAO and unemployment. The analysis is thorough and adjusts for potential confounding factors as best as it can. The results presented are robust and generalisable; however, the study by Grønseth *et al.* [14] is not exempt from epidemiological bias and confounding.

Many aspects of COPD, including its prevalence and risk factors, differ between regions [5–9, 17]. The heterogeneous association between CAO and unemployment in HIC and LMIC countries may be explained by the differences in both risk factors for COPD, as well as the gender differences in COPD and employment between the regions [18]. Women in LMIC were disproportionally more likely to answer that they were not in paid employment and had a higher prevalence of CAO. Female gender was strongly associated with unemployment in LMIC, therefore the association between CAO and unemployment may be biased.

Received: July 25 2017 | Accepted after revision: July 31 2017

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Copyright ©ERS 2017

Socioeconomic factors are challenging to measure and interpret [6, 17, 19, 20], especially in a large international study like BOLD, where socioeconomic status will have varying effects and interpretation depending on the socio-cultural context in each country. The heterogeneity between sites reported by the study does suggest that risk factors vary considerably by site and may also depend on unique social security infrastructure, healthcare systems and economic circumstances within each country. Furthermore education and employment are closely related, and education is a strong predictor of risk factors for COPD [11, 21-24]. In the BOLD study, participants with lower education were more likely to have CAO, therefore it is challenging to infer causal association between CAO and employment. One of the BOLD study strengths is the use of a single questionnaire at all 26 sites; however, it is also a weakness in this particular analysis since the questionnaire may not be universally socially or culturally appropriate. The BOLD survey focused on the 12-month period prior to the survey, but this question per se does not address the research question of whether having CAO leads to disability that decreases working opportunities. Moreover, reductions in working hours, or changes in employment due to disease were not considered. The study also chose a retirement age of 65 years, which may not be appropriate in all settings. The ideal study design to answer the question would include prospective and longitudinal follow-up of incident cases to understand how CAO affects employment.

Like many epidemiological studies it is difficult to draw any causal inferences from the cross-sectional study by Grønseth *et al.* [14]. There is no way to disentangle whether CAO led to unemployment, or whether the individual level risk factors that led to the unemployment also caused the CAO. The unadjusted results were found to be confounded by age, education level, smoking history and sex; suggesting that in part these socioeconomic factors explain the observed associations. The odds ratios for education and age are much larger than for CAO, suggesting that these factors have a greater effect on unemployment than CAO.

It is also not surprising that the results by Grønseth et al. [14] do not reflect existing literature. Previous studies were limited in not having an appropriate control group [21], or were missing a control group entirely [22]. Interestingly, a similar analysis of the Latin American PLATINO study showed that the association between CAO and unemployment was strongly confounded by socioeconomic factors [23]. Not surprisingly, the CAO prevalence (11.3%) did not align with physician diagnosed COPD (3.9%). Previous studies investigating the impact of COPD on employment used doctor diagnosis of COPD [24], and found stronger associations which are likely biased because a doctor diagnosis of COPD reflects a more severe classification of disease. Therefore, the study reported by Grønseth et al. [14] is the strongest epidemiological evidence we have to date.

The limitations of the study by GRØNSETH *et al.* [14] are not unique, and the strengths of the study far outweight its limitations. The causal association between CAO and unemployment is probably complex, and requires further investigation in order to better understand how the association is mediated, such that the economic impact of CAO can be minimised.

References

- Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. PLoS Med 2006; 3: e442.
- 2 Celli BR, MacNee W, Agusti A, et al. Standards for the diagnosis and treatment of patients with COPD: a summary of the ATS/ERS position paper. Eur Respir J 2004; 23: 932–946.
- Buist AS, McBurnie MA, Vollmer WM, et al. International variation in the prevalence of COPD (the BOLD Study): a population-based prevalence study. Lancet 2007; 370: 741–750.
- 4 Halbert RJ, Natoli JL, Gano A, et al. Global burden of COPD: systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Respir J 2006; 28: 523–532.
- 5 Devereux G. ABC of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Definition, epidemiology, and risk factors. BMJ 2006; 332: 1142–1144.
- 6 Eisner MD, Blanc PD, Omachi TA, et al. Socioeconomic status, race and COPD health outcomes. J Epidemiol Community Health 2011; 65: 26–34.
- 7 Hooper R, Burney P, Vollmer WM, et al. Risk factors for COPD spirometrically defined from the lower limit of normal in the BOLD project. Eur Respir J 2012; 39: 1343–1353.
- 8 Mannino DM, Buist AS. Global burden of COPD: risk factors, prevalence, and future trends. Lancet 2007; 370: 765–773
- de Marco R, Accordini S, Marcon A, et al. Risk factors for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in a European cohort of young adults. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011; 183: 891–897.
- Kogevinas M, Anto J, Tobias A, et al. Respiratory symptoms, lung function and use of health services among unemployed young adults in Spain. Spanish Group of the European Community Respiratory Health Survey. Eur Respir J 1998; 11: 1363–1368.
- 11 Hnizdo E, Sullivan PA, Bang KM, et al. Association between chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and employment by industry and occupation in the US population: a study of data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Am J Epidemiol 2002; 156: 738–746.
- 12 Sin DD, Stafinski T, Ng YC, et al. The impact of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease on work loss in the United States. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002; 165: 704–707.

- 13 GBD 2015 Healthcare Access and Quality Collaborators. Healthcare Access and Quality Index based on mortality from causes amenable to personal health care in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2015: a novel analysis from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2017; 390: 231–266.
- 14 Grønseth R, Erdal M, Tan WC, *et al.* Unemployment in chronic airflow obstruction around the world: results from the BOLD study. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 50: 1700499.
- 5 Brusasco V. Spirometric definition of COPD: exercise in futility or factual debate? Thorax 2012; 67: 569-570.
- Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, et al. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. Eur Respir J 2005; 26: 948–968.
- 17 van Gemert F, Chavannes N, Kirenga B, et al. Socio-economic factors, gender and smoking as determinants of COPD in a low-income country of sub-Saharan Africa: FRESH AIR Uganda. NPJ Prim Care Respir Med 2016; 26: 16050
- 18 de Torres JP, Casanova C, Hernandez C, et al. Gender and COPD in patients attending a pulmonary clinic. Chest 2005: 128: 2012–2016.
- 19 Atis Nayci S, Ozgur E, Ozge C. Socioeconomic status shaping GOLD A-D classification in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J* 2016; 48: Suppl. 60, PA3683.
- 20 Kocabas A, Ozyilmaz E. The effects of socioeconomic status on health outcomes in patients with COPD. Eur Respir J 2016; 48: Suppl. 60, PA1030.
- Erdal M, Johannessen A, Askildsen JE, et al. Productivity losses in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a population-based survey. BMJ Open Respir Res 2014; 1: e000049.
- Jansson SA, Backman H, Stenling A, et al. Health economic costs of COPD in Sweden by disease severity-has it changed during a ten years period? Respir Med 2013; 107: 1931–1938.
- 23 Montes de Oca M, Halbert RJ, Talamo C, et al. Paid employment in subjects with and without chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in five Latin American cities: the PLATINO study. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2011; 15: 1259–1264, i-iii
- Wheaton AG, Cunningham TJ, Ford ES, et al. Employment and activity limitations among adults with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease–United States, 2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2015; 64: 289–295.