The ERS-endorsed official ATS/CDC>IDSA clinical practice guidelines on treatment of drug-susceptible tuberculosis

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that 9.6 million cases of tuberculosis (TB) occurred in 2014 (corresponding to 133 cases per 100,000 population) with 1.5 million deaths [1]. Furthermore, 3.3% of new and 20% of previously treated cases harbour multidrug-resistant (MDR)-TB strains. Eastern European and central Asian countries still have the highest prevalence of MDR-TB. In low TB incidence countries (largely covering North America and Western Europe; figure 1) 155,000 TB cases occur every year with over 10,000 deaths [2].

Rapid diagnosis and effective treatment of newly diagnosed TB cases, the majority of whom are susceptible to first-line anti-TB drugs, constitutes the essence of TB control by curing the patient of TB and rapidly halting further transmission of in the community [3]. It is widely recognised that MDR- and extensively drug-resistant (XDR)-TB emergence and spread is largely driven by mismanagement of misadventures in diagnosis, treatment and control of TB, which is compounded by inadequacy of necessary human and financial resources at different levels [3, 4].

In the past 24 months the WHO has published two core documents focusing on the importance of the correct case-management of TB: the “End tuberculosis strategy” and the “Framework towards TB elimination in low incidence countries” [3, 5, 6]. Both documents emphasise the importance of prompt diagnosis and effective treatment of newly diagnosed TB cases.

Although there are recently published international standards for TB care and an European adaptation [7–9], and the WHO is currently updating its treatment guidelines [10], major international scientific societies have a critical role to play in the development and implementation of case management guidelines for TB due to their wide membership and access to considerable clinical experience of utilising recommendations. Consequently, the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS) have developed TB guidelines focused predominantly on TB care in settings without significant resource limitations [11, 12], which have now been updated. Using GRADE (Grading of Recommendations,
Assessment, Development and Evaluation) methodology, the ATS, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) jointly sponsored the development of new treatment of drug-susceptible TB clinical practice guidelines, which have been subsequently endorsed by both the ERS and the US National Tuberculosis Controllers Association, and were published in *Clinical Infectious Diseases* [13].

As before, these guidelines are aimed at providing recommendations on the clinical and public health management of TB in adults and children in settings where diagnostic tests and drugs are available on a routine basis and without restrictions.

### Process and methods

A selected panel of experts, managed for pertinent conflicts of interest according to strict criteria set by the participating Societies, with the necessary competencies, skills and perspectives (pulmonary medicine, infectious diseases, pharmacokinetics, paediatrics, primary care, public health and systematic review methodology) participated as part of the writing committee.

Nine PICO (population, intervention, comparators, outcomes) questions viewed by the writing committee as key clinical questions in the management of active TB and their associated recommendations were developed based on the evidence that was appraised using GRADE [14, 15], and are summarised in table 1. This editorial provides a brief summary of the panel’s recommendations; additional important information providing context and references for each recommendation, as well as detailed guidance on the management of TB in special populations, treatment of TB in the presence of HIV infection, TB in children, TB during pregnancy and breastfeeding, and extrapulmonary TB among other clinical situations is available in the full version of the guidelines [13]. Additional detailed guidance on the practical aspects of anti-TB treatment, drug–drug interactions, therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) and management of adverse events is also available in the full version of the guideline.

### What are the principles of anti-TB chemotherapy?

Anti-TB treatment aims to cure the patient, prevent complications and death, avoid relapses, reduce the transmission potential to susceptible individuals, and limit the emergence and spread of drug-resistant strains. For all these reasons, the therapeutic approach to TB requires the use of multiple drugs [12].

A key responsibility of clinicians is making the decision to initiate appropriate treatment for TB. Clinicians decide to start anti-TB chemotherapy based on a variety of data, including clinical, radiographic, laboratory, patient and public health criteria. Commonly, empirical treatment is initiated prior to having definitive confirmation of *M. tuberculosis*, so as to minimise morbidity and to halt further transmission in the community. Today, fortunately, molecular tests offer rapid diagnosis before culture results are available [16].

Once initiated, treatment success depends upon many factors and an increased risk of relapse has been described among patients with extensive disease (*i.e.* cavitations or extensive infiltrates on chest radiograph) [17–21] and/or slow with a response to treatment (*i.e.* culture conversion at 2 or 3 months) [18, 22–24].
Here we summarise the rationale and recommendations for the different PICO questions.

**Case management interventions (PICO question 1)**
The evidence supports the use of case management strategies in the treatment of TB. In order to ensure patient adherence and maximise the potential for treatment success it is recommended to assign a public health nurse and/or a treatment supporter [13], with whom an individualised “case management plan” is designed, according to a patient-centred approach, as recommended by the International Standards for Tuberculosis Care document [7–9]. This is based on the following elements: 1) educating the patient about the different aspects of treatment and potential adverse events; 2) discussing treatment monitoring procedures; and 3) fostering infection control measures, using simple terms and cultural mediators if necessary (table 1).

**Directly observed therapy (PICO question 2)**
The evidence supports the use of directly observed therapy (DOT) in the treatment of TB. Numerous systematic reviews have been conducted to compare outcomes between self-administered therapy (SAT) and DOT (the practice of observing the patient swallow their anti-TB drugs). However, DOT is a part of a multifaceted public health intervention and as such is not amenable to conventional clinical trial approaches assessing benefits/risks. The systematic review conducted to obtain evidence in support of the ERS-endorsed ATS/CDC/IDSA practice guideline did not find any differences between SAT and DOT when assessing mortality, treatment completion and relapse; however, DOT was significantly associated with improved treatment success (the sum of patients cured and patients completing treatment) and with increased sputum smear conversion during treatment, as compared to SAT. As such, these and other international guidelines support the use of DOT, provided in a patient-centred approach, as one component of TB case management [7–10].

**The administration schedule of preferred treatment regimens for drug-susceptible TB (PICO questions 3 and 4)**
The preferred regimen for treating adults with TB caused by strains known or suspected to be drug-susceptible consists of an intensive phase of 2 months (isoniazid (INH), rifampicin (RIF), pyrazinamide (PZA) and ethambutol (EMB)) followed by a continuation phase of 4 months (INH and RIF) [25–27]. The use of four drugs during the intensive phase of treatment ensures its effectiveness in case of INH mono-resistance [13].

If drug susceptibility test (DST) results are known and the patient’s isolate is susceptible to both INH and RIF, EMB is not essential and can be discontinued; in this case the intensive phase is composed of INH, RIF and PZA. Pyridoxine (vitamin B6) is given with INH to patients at risk of neuropathy (e.g. pregnant women, breastfeeding infants, individuals co-infected with HIV, the elderly, and patients with diabetes, alcoholism, malnutrition or chronic renal failure).

The recommended frequency of treatment administration is once daily for both the intensive and continuation phases (see PICO questions 3 and 4). However, some experts believe that 5 days-a-week drug administration by DOT is an acceptable alternative to 7 days-a-week. Other alternative regimens that are variations of the preferred regimen, which may be acceptable in certain clinical and/or public health situations, are available in the full text version of the guideline [13].

During treatment, a sputum specimen for direct smear and culture examination is recommended at monthly intervals until two consecutive specimens are negative on culture. As culture status on completion of the intensive phase of treatment (2 months) has been shown to correlate with the likelihood of relapse after completion of treatment for pulmonary TB, culture conversion needs to be assessed at the end of 2 months of treatment in new cases [21, 28–30]. Cavitation on the initial chest radiograph has also been shown to be a risk factor for relapse [21, 31]. In patients with cavitation at baseline failing to convert culture after the intensive phase of treatment, rates of relapse have been shown to be higher than among patients with neither factor (20% versus 2% [21, 29]), and based on expert opinion, the extension of the continuation phase with INH and Rif for an additional 3 months (i.e. a continuation phase of 7 months, corresponding to a total of 9 months of therapy) is an option left to the physician in discussion with the patient. Additional factors to be considered in deciding to prolong treatment in patients with either cavitation or a positive culture at 2 months (but not both) might include being underweight (>10%), being an active smoker, having diabetes, HIV infection or another immunosuppressing condition, or having extensive disease on chest radiography [30, 32–36].

**Treatment in special situations**
Detailed recommendations on the management of TB in special situations are available in the full text version of this guideline [13]. Five PICO questions with summary recommendations pertinent to the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PICO question</th>
<th>Recommendation(s)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Does adding case management interventions to curative therapy improve outcomes compared with curative therapy alone among patients with TB?</td>
<td>We suggest using case management interventions during treatment of patients with TB</td>
<td>Conditional recommendation/very low confidence in the effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Does SAT have similar outcomes compared with DOT in patients with various forms of TB?</td>
<td>We suggest using DOT rather than SAT for routine treatment of patients with all forms of TB</td>
<td>Conditional recommendation/low confidence in the effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Does intermittent dosing in the intensive phase have similar outcomes compared with daily dosing in the intensive phase for treatment of drug-susceptible pulmonary TB?</td>
<td>We recommend the use of daily rather than intermittent dosing in the intensive phase of therapy for drug-susceptible pulmonary TB. Use of three times weekly therapy in the intensive phase (with or without an initial 2 weeks of daily therapy) may be considered in patients who are not HIV-infected and are also at low risk of relapse (pulmonary TB caused by drug-susceptible organisms, which at the start of treatment is non-cavitary and/or smear negative). In situations where daily or three times weekly DOT therapy is difficult to achieve, use of twice weekly therapy after an initial 2 weeks of daily therapy may be considered for patients who are not HIV-infected and are also at low risk of relapse (pulmonary TB caused by drug-susceptible organisms, which at the start of treatment is non-cavitary and/or smear negative). Use of three times weekly therapy in the intensive phase may be considered for patients who are not HIV-infected and are also at low risk of relapse (pulmonary TB caused by drug-susceptible organisms, which at the start of treatment is non-cavitary and/or smear negative).</td>
<td>Strong recommendation/moderate confidence in the effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Does intermittent dosing in the continuation phase have similar outcomes compared with daily dosing in the continuation phase in patients with drug-susceptible pulmonary TB?</td>
<td>We recommend the use of daily or three times weekly dosing in the continuation phase of therapy for drug-susceptible pulmonary TB. If intermittent therapy is to be administered in the continuation phase, then we suggest use of three times weekly instead of twice weekly therapy.</td>
<td>Conditional recommendation/low confidence in the effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Does extending treatment beyond 6 months improve outcomes compared with the standard 6-month treatment regimen among pulmonary TB patients co-infected with HIV?</td>
<td>For HIV-infected patients receiving antiretroviral therapy, we suggest using the standard 6-month daily regimen consisting of an intensive phase of 2 months of INH, RIF, PZA and EMB followed by a continuation phase of 4 months of INH and RIF for the treatment of drug-susceptible pulmonary TB. In uncommon situations in which HIV-infected patients do not receive antiretroviral therapy during TB treatment, we suggest extending the continuation phase with INH and RIF for an additional 3 months (i.e. a continuation phase of 7 months in duration, corresponding to a total of 9 months of therapy) for treatment of drug-susceptible pulmonary TB.</td>
<td>Conditional recommendation/very low confidence in the effects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
management of TB in HIV-infected patients, steroid use in pericardial or meningeal tuberculosis, and culture-negative TB are summarised below and in table 1.

### HIV Infection

Detailed guidance on the management of TB in HIV-infected patients is provided in the new guidelines, including recommendations on the optimal initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART), the management of potential drug–drug interactions, especially between rifamycins and ART, and paradoxical reactions among other complexities involved in management of HIV/TB. Several key features are summarised here.

Based on data that show significant reductions in mortality and AIDS-defining illnesses, patients with HIV infection and TB should receive ART in conjunction with daily anti-TB drugs.

For HIV-infected patients receiving ART, the standard 6-month daily anti-TB regimen is recommended.

In the uncommon situation in which an HIV-infected patient does not receive ART during anti-TB treatment, the new ATS/CDC/IDSA guidelines suggest extending the continuation phase (INH and RIF) for an additional 3 months (i.e., a continuation phase of 7 months in duration, corresponding to a total of 9 months) for treatment of drug-susceptible pulmonary TB (PICO question 5; table 1).

As high rates of relapse and the emergence of drug resistance have been associated with the use of intermittent regimens, resulting in low serum concentrations of key component drugs in the setting of a low CD4 lymphocyte count (<100 cells per mm$^3$), based on systematic reviews treatment of HIV-related TB should be administered daily in both the intensive and continuation phases.

On the basis of systematic reviews and meta-analysis, high quality evidence exists showing that benefits outweigh harms; the guidelines recommend that patients with TB and HIV co-infection receive ART during anti-TB treatment. ART should ideally be started within 2 weeks of TB treatment for patients with CD4 cell counts <50 per mm$^3$ and within 8–12 weeks of TB treatment initiation for patients with CD4 cell counts ≥50 per mm$^3$ (see PICO question 6). However, in HIV-infected patients with TB meningitis, ART is not initiated in the first 8 weeks of anti-TB therapy due to an association with increased rates of adverse events and higher mortality [37]. The concurrent administration of ART and rifamycins is a major treatment challenge, and details on the co-administration of these medications, including the use of rifabutin (RFB), are available in the full-text version of the guidelines [13].

Patients with TB and HIV co-infection are at increased risk of developing paradoxical worsening of symptoms, signs or clinical manifestations of TB after beginning anti-TB and ART, known as immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS). IRIS is more common in patients with earlier ART.

### TABLE 1 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PICO question</th>
<th>Recommendation(s)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Does initiation of antiretroviral therapy during TB treatment compared with at the end of TB treatment improve outcomes among TB patients co-infected with HIV?</td>
<td>We recommend initiating antiretroviral therapy during TB treatment Antiretroviral therapy should ideally be initiated within the first 2 weeks of TB treatment for patients with CD4 cell counts &lt;50 per mm$^3$ and within 8–12 weeks of TB treatment initiation for patients with CD4 cell counts ≥50 per mm$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Does the use of adjuvant corticosteroids in tuberculous pericarditis provide mortality and morbidity benefits?</td>
<td>We suggest initial adjunctive corticosteroid therapy not be routinely used in patients with tuberculous pericarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Does the use of adjuvant corticosteroids in tuberculous meningitis provide mortality and morbidity benefits?</td>
<td>We recommend initial adjunctive corticosteroid therapy with dexamethasone given for 6 weeks for patients with tuberculous meningitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Does a shorter duration of treatment have similar outcomes compared with the standard 6-month treatment duration among HIV-negative patients with paucibacillary TB (i.e. smear negative, culture negative)?</td>
<td>We suggest that a 4-month treatment regimen is adequate for treatment of HIV-negative adult patients with AFB smear- and culture-negative pulmonary TB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAT: self-administered therapy; DOT: directly observed therapy; INH: isoniazid; RPT: rifapentin; RIF: rifampicin; PZA: pyrazinamide; EMB: ethambutol; AFB: acid-fast bacilli; #: case management: patient education/counselling, field/home visits, integration/coordination of care with specialists and medical home, patient reminders, incentives/enablers.
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initiation and CD4+ cell counts <50 cells per mm³ [38]. IRIS may include high fever, worsening respiratory symptoms, inflammation and increased size of involved lymph nodes, new lymphadenopathy, expanding central nervous system lesions, worsening of pulmonary parenchymal infiltrations, new or increasing pleural effusions, and development of intra-abdominal or retroperitoneal abscesses [39].

Management of IRIS is symptomatic. Based on expert opinion, for most patients with mild IRIS, anti-TB and antiretroviral therapies can be continued adding anti-inflammatory drugs such as ibuprofen. For patients with worsening pleural effusions or abscesses, drainage is indicated. For more severe cases of IRIS, corticosteroid treatment is effective. In a trial of prednisone for patients with moderate IRIS, prednisone 1.25 mg·kg⁻¹ per day significantly reduced the need for hospitalisation or surgical procedures [40]. For patients developing IRIS, prednisone may be administered at a dose of 1.25 mg·kg⁻¹ per day (50–80 mg per day) for 2–4 weeks, with tapering over a period of 6–12 weeks or longer.

Co-trimoxazole (trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole) prophylaxis has been shown to reduce morbidity and mortality in HIV-co-infected patients with newly diagnosed TB [41–43]. The WHO recommends co-trimoxazole for all HIV-infected individuals with active TB regardless of their CD4 cell count [44], while in high-income countries co-trimoxazole is primarily used in HIV-infected patients with CD4 counts <200 cells per mm³ [45]. The use of ART during anti-TB treatment in HIV co-infected patients also reduces mortality rates significantly while decreasing the risk of developing AIDS-related conditions.

**TB pericarditis**

Based on systematic reviews conducted in support of the guidelines, greatly informed by a recent placebo-controlled randomised clinical trial with 1400 participants [46], adjunctive corticosteroids should not be used routinely in the treatment of patients with pericardial TB (PICO question 7) [46–50]. However, selective use of corticosteroids in patients who are at the highest risk for inflammatory complications might be appropriate.

**TB meningitis**

Treatment for TB meningitis includes INH, RIF, PZA and EMB in the initial 2-month phase. In the continuation phase of treatment, for meningitis due to strains known or presumed to be drug-susceptible, INH and RIF should be continued for an additional 7–10 months, although the optimal duration of chemotherapy is not defined (12 months in the UK). Expert opinion suggest that repeated lumbar punctures may be used to monitor changes in cerebrospinal fluid cell count, glucose and protein, especially in the early phases of treatment. In children with TB meningitis, the regimen recommended consists of INH, RIF, PZA and ethionamide, if possible, or an aminoglycoside, for 2 months followed by 7–10 months of INH and RIF [51]. For adults, based on expert opinion, the guidelines recommend using EMB as the fourth drug in the regimen.

The role of adjunctive corticosteroid therapy in the treatment of TB meningitis has been investigated by numerous studies [52–64], and the updated systematic review conducted in support of the guidelines showed a mortality benefit from the use of adjuvant corticosteroids. Therefore, the guidelines recommend adjunctive corticosteroid therapy with dexamethasone or prednisolone tapered over 6–8 weeks for patients with TB meningitis (PICO question 8).

**Culture-negative pulmonary TB in adults**

Based on a systematic review conducted in support of the guideline, a 4-month treatment regimen was shown to be adequate for sputum smear-negative, culture-negative pulmonary TB (PICO question 9). The intensive phase of treatment includes INH, RIF, PZA and EMB daily, and is continued even when the initial bacteriological studies are negative. If all cultures on adequate samples are negative (culture-negative TB) and there is a clinical or radiographic response after 2 months of intensive phase therapy, the continuation phase with INH and RIF may be shortened to 2 months in HIV-negative adults (but the confidence in the effects for this recommendation is very low). Alternatively, if there is concern about the adequacy of work-up or the accuracy of the microbiological evaluations, a standard 6-month regimen remains preferred [7, 8]. Importantly, the guidelines note that causes of failure to isolate organisms should be considered and these include the recent use of antibiotics with bactericidal activity against *M. tuberculosis* (e.g. fluoroquinolones), low bacillary populations, inadequate sputum specimens, temporal variations in the number of expelled bacilli, overgrowth of cultures with other microorganisms, and errors in specimen processing [65]. At a minimum, patients suspected of having pulmonary TB should have two sputum specimens (using sputum induction with hypertonic saline if necessary) for alcohol-acid-fast bacilli smears and cultures for mycobacteria or for rapid molecular testing for *M. tuberculosis* as part of the diagnostic evaluation.Bronchoscopy with bronchoalveolar lavage and/or biopsy have also to be considered before making a presumptive diagnosis of culture-negative TB.
Conclusions
The main goals of anti-TB treatment are to cure individual patients and minimise transmission of *M. tuberculosis* within the community. The standard four-drug regimen (INH, RIF, PZA and EMB) remains the preferred initial treatment for drug-susceptible pulmonary TB. Treatment needs to start even before direct smear microscopy, molecular tests and mycobacterial culture results are known in patients with a high likelihood of having TB and/or who are seriously ill.

Variations of the preferred regimen that are appropriate in certain public health situations or in special clinical situations and additional detailed information on TB treatment are available in the full-text version of the guidelines [13].
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