## European Respiratory Society Annual Congress 2013

Abstract Number: 2813 Publication Number: P941

Abstract Group: 6.1. Epidemiology

Keyword 1: Lung function testing Keyword 2: Epidemiology Keyword 3: Spirometry

Title: Correlates of dyspnea in the BOLD study

Dr. Rune 10633 Nielsen nielsenrune@me.com MD<sup>1</sup>, Dr. William M. 10634 Vollmer William.Vollmer@kpchr.org<sup>2</sup>, Prof. Dr Jon A. 10635 Hardie Jon.Hardie@med.uib.no MD<sup>4</sup>, Dr. Inga Sif 10636 Ólafsdóttir inga\_sif.olafsdottir@medsci.uu.se MD<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Bernd 10638 Lamprecht b.lamprecht@salk.at MD<sup>5</sup>, Prof. Dr A. Sonia 10640 Buist BUISTS@ohsu.edu MD<sup>6</sup>, Ms. Louisa 10656 Gnatiuc I.gnatiuc2@imperial.ac.uk<sup>7</sup>, Prof. Dr Amund 11137 Gulsvik amund.gulsvik@med.uib.no MD<sup>4</sup>, Dr. Ane 11138 Johannessen ane.johannessen@med.uib.no<sup>8</sup> and Prof. Dr Paul 11139 Enright lungguy@gmail.com MD<sup>9</sup>. <sup>1</sup> Department of Thoracic Medicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway, N-5021 ;<sup>2</sup> Center for Health Research, Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Portland, OR, United States ;<sup>3</sup> Dept. of Medicial Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden ;<sup>4</sup> Institute of Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway ;<sup>5</sup> Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria ;<sup>6</sup> Oregon Health and Sciences University, Oregon Health and Sciences University, Portland, OR, United States ;<sup>7</sup> Respiratory Epidemiology and Public Health, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom ;<sup>8</sup> Centre for Clinical Research, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway, N-5021 and <sup>9</sup> University of Arizona, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, United States .

**Body:** Background: To our knowledge, no study has assessed the worldwide variation in dyspnea prevalence or correlates of dyspnea. Aim: We used cross-sectional data from population-based samples in 15 countries of the BOLD study to estimate prevalence of dyspnea in the full sample and in an a priori defined low-risk group (few risk factors or dyspnea-associated diseases). Methods: Dyspnea was defined by the modified Medical Research Council questions. We used ordered logistic regression analysis to study the association of dyspnea with site, sex, age, education, smoking habits, low/high BMI, self-reported disease, and spirometry results. Results: Of the 9,484 participants, 27% reported any dyspnea. In the low-risk subsample (N=4,329), 16% reported any dyspnea. All covariates were significantly associated with changes in dyspnea. In particular the odds ratio for increased dyspnea (95% confidence interval) was 2.16 (1.94-2.42) for being female, 1.92 (1.71-2.15) for obesity, 2.26 (1.94-2.63) for heart disease and 2.94 (1.58-5.48) for having gone through lung surgery. When forced vital capacity (FVC) fell below 60% of predicted, the increase in dyspnea accelerated. Through all models the site variables remained significant, although a declining Wald chi-square test indicated that up to 53% of the site effects might be explained by the included covariates. Conclusions: Site differences in dyspnea prevalence persisted even after covariate adjustments. This might indicate cultural and language differences in the expression of symptoms. A curvilinear relation between FVC and dyspnea might suggest a threshold effect on dyspnea. Finally, dyspnea in low-risk subjects could be interpreted as an effect of physical deconditioning, rather than

disease.