## **European Respiratory Society Annual Congress 2013**

**Abstract Number: 2251** 

**Publication Number: 1787** 

Abstract Group: 9.1. Respiratory Function Technologists/Scientists

**Keyword 1:** Spirometry **Keyword 2:** Longitudinal study **Keyword 3:** Monitoring

Title: Natural decline in FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC: Self versus reference equations

Dr. Maureen P. 9857 Swanney maureen.swanney@cdhb.health.nz <sup>1</sup>, Mr. Josh D. 9858 Stanton josh.stanton@cdhb.health.nz <sup>1</sup> and Mr. Andrew 15295 O'Reilly-Nugent Andrew.Oreilly-Nugent@cdhb.health.nz <sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup> Respiratory Physiology Laboratory, Christchurch Hospital, Canterbury District Health Board, Christchurch, New Zealand, 8140.

**Body:** Ideally, serial measures of lung function should be compared using data from the person when young and healthy. In practice reference equations are the best substitute. Aim: To compare the natural decline in spirometry in respiratory scientists using measured values and their reference value z-scores. Methods: Serial measures of FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC from respiratory scientists were obtained. We calculated the annual decline in measured values and compared with z-scores using ECSC/ERS'93, Hankinson and GLI2012 equations. Results: 2044 data points were available for 26 scientists (13 female) starting from 20-39 years up to 31-60 years. The data time-span was 5 to 33 years. The average fall in FEV<sub>1</sub> was 29 mL/year and FVC 23 mL/year.

Average Annual Decline in Spirometry Values

FEV1	Change mL/year	Range mL/year	FVC	Change mL/year	Range mL/year
Females	-25	-1 to -47	Females	-14	+14 to -33
Males	-29	+10 to -65	Males	-29	+4 to -66
All subjects	-27		All subjects	-22	

Age-related  $\text{FEV}_1$  and FVC z-scores for the equations are shown in Figure 1.

Discussion: The horizontal z-score trends using GLI2012 equations demonstrate they virtually match the rate of decline in FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC in our group of respiratory scientists. ECSC/ERS'93 elevates the z-score, and Hankinson shows a decreasing trend suggesting these equations are a poorer match for our longitudinal data. Conclusion: This study suggests that the GLI2012 equations are more appropriate for monitoring lung function over time.