Adenosine levels in the exhaled breath condensate: a potential surrogate marker of airway inflammation

To the Editor:

We would like to congratulate Huszar et al. [1] on their important and meticulous study demonstrating elevated adenosine levels in the exhaled breath condensate of atopic asthmatic subjects compared to nonatopic controls. Their findings are in agreement with and somewhat complementary to previous data obtained from bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [2], thus adding to the notion that adenosine may have a pathogenic role in chronic inflammatory disorders of the airways [3]. Unfortunately, our knowledge on the role of adenosine in physiological and pathological conditions remains limited by the availability of potent and selective adenosine-receptor antagonists for use in humans.

In relation to the findings of the present study, it is important to emphasise that these atopic asthmatics were all sensitised to grass pollen and all studied during the grass pollen season. Natural exposure to aeroallergens is likely to sensitize to grass pollen and all studied during the grass pollen season. Natural exposure to aeroallergens is likely to modulate the level of airway inflammation not only in asthma but also in hay fever patients. We have repeatedly shown that nonasthmatic individuals with allergic rhinitis also exhibit features of active inflammation in the lower airways which deteriorates during natural allergen exposure [4, 5].

It is therefore likely that the results of the study by Huszar et al. [1] could also be interpreted as a response to natural allergen exposure reflecting inflammatory changes occurring at airway levels. Indeed, the pioneering work by Mann et al. [6] clearly demonstrated a significant increase in the plasma levels of adenosine following allergen challenge in subjects with atopic asthma. Although the inclusion of an atopic control group would have enhanced the quality of the paper by Huszar et al. [6], an important implication of these findings is that adenosine levels in the exhaled breath condensate could be valuable in assessing disease activity in relation to airway inflammation. This will obviously require rigorous testing in future long-term studies where seasonal changes in exhaled breath condensate adenosine levels are clearly related to natural allergen exposure/avoidance.
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to be correct. In a pilot study, we measured EBC-adenosine levels in eight nonasthmatic patients with allergic rhinitis. At the time of the measurement all patients were exposed to natural allergens and had symptoms of rhinitis. Adenosine concentrations were significantly higher in samples from these patients than in samples from healthy subjects. This result confirms that active allergic inflammation, either of the upper or lower airways, may result in elevation in EBC-adenosine concentration as suggested. Furthermore, when EBC was collected with nasal inhalation adenosine levels were higher than in samples obtained with oral inhalation, suggesting that nasally produced adenosine may enter the lower airways which may, at least in part, be responsible for increases in the adenosine levels [8].

Finally, we agree with L. Spicuzza and colleagues that there is a need for further prospective studies on this topic. We believe these studies need to assess changes in the concentration of adenosine in exhaled breath condensate and also evaluate the relationship between adenosine in exhaled breath condensate and the degree of allergen exposure or deterioration in airway inflammation. Justification of the possible use of the concentration of adenosine in exhaled breath condensate to monitor chronic or acute airway inflammation also requires further investigation.
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Exhaled breath temperature in airways disease

To the Editor:

It was with interest that we read the study of PAREDI et al. [1] which reports a slower rise in exhaled breath temperature in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). It is intriguing to think that altered airway heat transfer may provide a noninvasive marker of airways inflammation or remodelling. However, heat transfer in the airway has long been known to be a complex process and we feel this study leaves important questions unanswered.

The authors hypothesise that patients with COPD have alterations in bronchial blood flow that affect the rise in exhaled air temperature. Heat transfer in the airways in the context of respiratory disease was studied by WALKER et al. [2] in 1961, and since in COPD [3], cystic fibrosis [4] and thermally induced asthma [5]. There is still controversy over the relative roles of the upper airway, bronchial circulation and pulmonary circulation in airway heat exchange. Under conditions of high ventilation, the work of BAILE et al. [6], SOLWAY et al. [7] and, more recently, SERIKOV and FLEMING [8] showed pulmonary circulation to be the dominant heat source to the airways. Furthermore, using invasive measurements of intra-airway temperature, McFADDEN et al. [9] showed that airways down to subsegmental level are actively involved in heat exchange only at high minute ventilation with extremely cold inspired air. In contrast, when breathing indoor room air at normal tidal flow rates, virtually all heat transfer occurs in the upper airway (above the glottis) [10]. It has therefore yet to be established whether changes in bronchial blood flow caused by disease are a significant determinant of breath temperature under normal ambient conditions. In the study of PAREDI et al. [1], subjects were respiring warm room air (21–23°C) at low flow rates (10 L·min⁻¹). Previous work would suggest that the airway below the glottis (which the authors seek to test) would not be contributing significantly to heat exchange under these conditions.

Regarding the methodology of the temperature washout technique, the authors acknowledge the extreme sensitivity of the rate of temperature rise to expiratory flow rate but do not report the method of flow targeting or indeed the flow rates achieved in controls versus COPD groups. Expiratory flow patterns rather than airway heat transfer may therefore contribute to the differences seen between the groups and are crucial to the interpretation of the temperature washout data. Finally, heat is consumed in two ways during inspiration: ~25% of the energy is used in heating the air (convective) and 75% in evaporating moisture from the mucosal surface to humidify the air stream (evaporative). On expiration, the reverse of both processes occurs, namely, convective cooling and condensation of water vapour on the mucosa. This dominance of energy transfer through evaporation and condensation suggests that attempts to characterise the thermodynamic performance of the airways by temperature measurement alone, without accompanying humidity measurements, may be misleading.

As with all new noninvasive breath measurements, it is important that methods and protocols are standardised and
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