**Treatment of asthma by the inhaled corticosteroid ciclesonide given either in the morning or evening**
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ABSTRACT: The study addressed the question whether the novel inhaled prodrug corticosteroid ciclesonide is equally effective when inhaled in the morning compared to the evening.

For this purpose a double-blind, randomized, parallel group study was initiated in which 209 asthmatic patients (forced expiratory volume in one second = 50–90% predicted) inhaled either 200 µg ciclesonide in the morning or in the evening, for 8 weeks. Efficacy was assessed by means of spirometry as well as daily recordings of morning and evening peak expiratory flow (PEF), symptoms and use of rescue medication. The 24-h urinary cortisol excretion was measured to evaluate any effect on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis.

Ciclesonide significantly improved asthma control. Morning and evening administration was shown to be equally effective for the different spirometry variables, evening PEF, symptoms, use of rescue medication and number of asthma exacerbations. Regarding morning PEF, the improvements after evening dosing were more prominent and equivalence of morning and evening administration could not be demonstrated. No relevant influence on cortisol excretion was found.

Overall, the study indicates that ciclesonide can be given either in the morning or in the evening to meet the patients’ preference and individual medical needs, although evening administration may lead to a more pronounced improvement in morning peak expiratory flow.


Inhaled corticosteroids have become the mainstay of therapy for patients with asthma. However, as with other inhaled or oral asthma drugs, compliance to inhaled steroids is often poor [1]. Among the manifold reasons underlying noncompliance, complicated regimens and dosing frequency are considered to be significant factors. Initially, inhaled steroids were recommended to be used four-times a day. Although such a regimen might be more effective than twice-daily dosing [2], in daily life higher compliance with a twice-daily regimen might well compensate reduced efficacy [3]. Meanwhile numerous studies have shown that in the majority of patients a twice-daily schedule can effectively control asthma and this is presently the standard scheme. Additionally, it was suggested that decreasing the dosing frequency to once daily might further enhance adherence to the prescribed regimen [4]. In the past the efficacy of once-daily administration was, therefore, tested with a number of inhaled steroids in patients with mild-to-moderate asthma [5].

Ciclesonide is a novel prodrug glucocorticosteroid in development for the treatment of asthma. Ciclesonide which has a chiral centre in the acetal side chain, exists as two epimers with different receptor affinities and metabolization rates. Only R-ciclesonide was selected for clinical development (referred to as ciclesonide from now on). Ciclesonide itself is inactive and needs to be cleaved by esterases to bind to the glucocorticoid receptor. The efficacy of ciclesonide in humans was demonstrated in two placebo-controlled trials showing a dose-dependent reduction of airway hyperresponsiveness to adenosine-5'-monophosphate [6] and a significant inhibition of early and late phase reaction after allergen challenge [7].

Ciclesonide is currently developed for once-daily dosing in patients with mild-to-moderate asthma. In the majority of clinical trials carried out so far it was administered as a single dose in the morning and a daily dose of 200 µg was shown to be superior to placebo. In order to tailor asthma management of individuals, a flexible dosing time would be ideal.

The present study, therefore, addressed the question whether the time point of administration (either morning or evening) affects the efficacy of ciclesonide. Based on the results for budesonide [8] the hypothesis was put forward that morning and evening dosing of ciclesonide are equi-effective.

**Patients and methods**

Patients

Outpatients of either sex, aged 18–75 yrs, with a history of bronchial asthma as defined by American Thoracic Society (ATS) criteria [9] were included.
Patients were eligible to enter the baseline period if they had used rescue medication only during the past 4 weeks and their forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) ranged 50–90% predicted. Patients being pretreated with inhaled steroids (daily doses of up to 500 μg beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) or flunisolide, 400 μg budesonide and 250 μg fluticasone propionate for at least 4 weeks) were also eligible if their FEV₁ was 80–100% pred. The inhaled steroids were withdrawn at the start of the baseline period. For randomization, FEV₁ had to be 50–90% pred in all patients. In addition, the patients had to show reversibility (ΔFEV₁ ≥15% initial) after inhalation of 200–400 μg salbutamol either during the baseline period or the past 3 months.

Patients were excluded if they had either an asthma exacerbation, an infection of the lower airways, a hospital admission for asthma or if they used systemic steroids in the 4 weeks prior to start of baseline. Patients were also excluded if they suffered from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and/or other relevant lung diseases, or were heavy (ex-) smokers with ≥10 pack-yrs. Pregnant, lactating and premenopausal females without safe contraception were ineligible.

Written informed consent was obtained from each patient prior to entering the trial. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees of the individual investigators.

**Study protocol**

The study had a double-blind, randomized, parallel group design. Following a baseline period of 1–4 weeks, the patients were randomly allocated to one of two treatment groups: either ciclesonide in the morning (treatment group "morning") or ciclesonide in the evening (treatment group "evening"). Each patient inhaled one puff of 200 μg ciclesonide at the time point indicated on the label of the inhaler and one puff of placebo at the alternate time point for 8 weeks. Ciclesonide was administered by metered-dose inhaler (MDI) using 1, 1, 1, 2-hydrofluoralkane (HFA) 134a as propellant.

The patients visited the investigational sites at weekly intervals during the baseline period and at 4-weekly intervals during the treatment period or whenever their asthma deteriorated. At each visit lung function was measured and adverse events were elicited by open questioning. At the start of the baseline period, as well as at the end of the treatment period (or upon patient withdrawal), a physical examination including 12-lead electrocardiography (ECG) and a standard safety laboratory work-up was performed. In order to assess the effect of ciclesonide on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis patients collected their 24-h urine at home after 1 week of baseline, as well as at the end of the treatment period and cortisol excretion was determined. Creatinine was also measured in urine to allow for correction in case random or limited time collections were made. The cortisol radioimmunoassay used did not interfere with ciclesonide or its active metabolite.

In an individual subject, all lung function readings (FEV₁, forced vital capacity (FVC)) had to be performed within ±1.5 h referenced to the randomization visit. The rescue medication had to be withheld for ≥4 h prior to each measurement. The highest value from at least three technically satisfactory attempts was used for analysis. Predicted values were calculated according to the formula of the European Coal and Steel Community [10].

No other asthma drugs except rescue medication and trial medication were allowed throughout the trial. Short-acting β-agonists (administered by MDI or powder inhaler) were used to relieve symptoms and the same rescue medication (drug and device) was to be utilized throughout the trial. Cromones (as nasal spray or eyedrops) and histamine 1 receptor (H1)-blockers were allowed to treat symptoms of allergic rhinitis. Nasal and dermatological steroids were not permitted during the 4 weeks before the start of the baseline period as well as during the study. In case treatment with oral steroids became necessary because of an asthma exacerbation, the patient had to be withdrawn from the trial. Those cases were handled as "lack of efficacy" and included in the per-protocol end-point analysis.

Throughout the trial the patients recorded peak expiratory flow (PEF) (Roland® Pulmo-Test AS, Roland Arzneimittel, Hamburg, Germany) daily in the morning, immediately after getting up, and in the evening between 16:00–20:00 h. At the same time symptoms as noted during the night and day, respectively, were recorded applying a four-point scale (i.e. the maximum daily score was 8). Additionally, the daily use of the rescue medication had to be documented in a diary. For the diary variables, the mean of all entries made during the week prior to a visit was used for analysis. For the sake of brevity, the average of a weeks measurements of morning PEF is referred to as "morning PEF". The same holds true for accounts of all other diary card variables. Both the investigator and the patient assessed the effectiveness of the trial medication according to a four-point scale: very effective (good control of asthma), effective (not optimal, but acceptable asthma control), slightly effective (moderate asthma control, improvement desired), ineffective (poor control of asthma).

**Statistical analysis**

Primary efficacy variable was the weekly average of morning PEF (average of last week of study versus average of last week of baseline). A sample size of 86 patients per group gives 90% power to correctly conclude equivalence in morning PEF in case of no treatment difference, α = 0.05, two-sided, equivalence range of ±25 L.min⁻¹ for the difference in pre/post changes, for which an SD of 50 L.min⁻¹ was assumed [11]. Within and between treatment comparisons were based on the differences between end and start of treatment. For lung function variables, equivalence of morning versus evening administration was assessed by analysis of covariance with baseline value and age as covariables, and sex and centre as factors.
Equivalence acceptance limits for FEV1 and FVC changes were chosen as 200 mL. In this equivalence trial, the per-protocol analysis was considered as primary analysis and the intention-to-treat analysis as a secondary one [12]. Treatment differences in the number of drop-outs due to lack of efficacy were analysed by Fisher’s exact test. Changes in symptom scores and use of rescue medication were analysed nonparametrically (Wilcoxon-Pratt signed rank test within groups, Mann-Whitney U-Test between groups). Generally, two-sided 95% confidence limits were given for treatment differences. Data are presented as mean ± SD unless stated otherwise.

Results

Out of 270 patients enrolled into the baseline period, 209 were randomized. The protocol was violated by 41 patients and these were excluded from the per-protocol analysis which finally comprised 88 patients in the morning group and 80 patients in the evening group (tables 1 and 2 for baseline characteristics). As the study aimed for equivalence, the efficacy results for the per-protocol population are being reported; the results from the intention-to-treat analysis are, however, well in agreement with the per-protocol analysis. With regard to safety, the results refer to all patients randomized who received at least one dose of the trial medication.

Morning and evening peak expiratory flow

Compared to the last week of baseline, morning PEF (fig. 1) in the morning group increased by 8 and 3 L·min⁻¹ after 4 and 8 weeks of treatment, respectively, which was not significant. In the evening group the improvements at the corresponding time points amounted to 24 and 30 L·min⁻¹ (p<0.005) (table 3). The difference between treatments at 8 weeks was significant (p<0.05).

The change in evening PEF after 8 weeks of treatment was 7 L·min⁻¹ in the morning group (NS versus baseline) and 16 L·min⁻¹ (p<0.05) in the evening group (table 3), resulting in a nonsignificant mean difference between treatments of 10 L·min⁻¹.

---

### Table 1. – Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Itt analysis</th>
<th>pp analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>Evening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients n</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M:F</td>
<td>65:45</td>
<td>49:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age yrs*</td>
<td>39 (19–75)</td>
<td>38 (18–68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsmokers/(Ex-)Smokers</td>
<td>61:49</td>
<td>54:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated/pre-treated with ICS</td>
<td>41:69</td>
<td>37:62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICS pretreatment dose in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDP equivalents µg*</td>
<td>500 (50–1000)</td>
<td>500 (200–500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 % predicted</td>
<td>77±9</td>
<td>77±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility % baseline</td>
<td>23.5±11</td>
<td>22.8±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning PEF % predicted</td>
<td>90±18</td>
<td>87±20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Data are presented as median (range). Pretreatment was calculated as 500 µg beclomethasone dipropionate (BDP) = 500 µg flunisolide = 400 µg budesonide = 250 µg fluticasone propionate, irrespective of type of device; Itt: intention-to-treat; pp: per-protocol; ICS: inhaled corticosteroids; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in one second; PEF: peak expiratory flow.

### Table 2. – Baseline effectiveness variables for patients in the per-protocol analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Morning</th>
<th>Evening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 L</td>
<td>2.72±0.67</td>
<td>2.61±0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC L</td>
<td>3.84±1.01</td>
<td>3.78±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning PEF L·min⁻¹</td>
<td>446±131</td>
<td>424±117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evening PEF L·min⁻¹</td>
<td>465±123</td>
<td>440±122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily symptom score*</td>
<td>1.57 (0–5.26)</td>
<td>1.57 (0–7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily use rescue medication, puffs 24 h*</td>
<td>1.29 (0–9.0)</td>
<td>1.76 (0–16.83)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Data are presented as median (range). For the diary parameters (morning and evening peak expiratory flow (PEF) symptoms, use of rescue medication) the average over the last 7 days prior to start of treatment is being reported. FEV1: forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC: forced vital capacity.
Spirometry

Compared to the last baseline reading, FEV1 and FVC increased significantly (at least $p < 0.05$) after 8 weeks of treatment in both treatment groups (table 3) and morning and evening administration proved to be equally effective. A similar improvement was observed after 4 weeks of treatment with increases amounting to 200 and 300 mL in the morning and evening for FEV1 and 150 and 250 mL for FVC, respectively. The time course of effect separated for patients either pretreated with inhaled steroids or not, is shown in figure 2.

Symptoms

After both morning and evening administration of ciclesonide, total daily asthma symptoms improved significantly (at least $p < 0.001$) after 4 (data not shown) and 8 weeks of treatment (table 3). While during baseline only 21% and 24% of patients in the morning and evening group, respectively, were symptom-free, the percentage of patients without symptoms more than doubled (53% and 55%) after 8 weeks of treatment. No significant difference was found between groups for the total daily score as well as for symptoms reported during the day and night, respectively.

Use of rescue medication

In both groups the use of rescue medication was significantly (at least $p < 0.05$) reduced after 4 (data not shown) and 8 weeks of treatment (table 3). The improvement was comparable in both groups and no significant difference between the groups was found. The same holds true for the percentage of rescue medication free days although this was slightly higher in the morning group (54% versus 46% in the evening group).

Lack of efficacy

Lack of efficacy was described in the study protocol as asthma exacerbations to be treated with oral steroids. Eight out of 209 randomized patients (3.8%) in total (four in each group) experienced lack of efficacy.

Efficacy rating

Both the investigators and patients rated ciclesonide as being "very effective" or "effective" in 61% and 63%, respectively, of the patients treated in the morning, and in 71% and 63% with regard to the evening administration.

Safety

Overall, both treatment regimens were safe and well tolerated and there were no differences in the safety aspects between the groups. Eleven patients prematurely left the study because of adverse events; seven in the morning group and four in the evening group. This includes six patients who experienced lack of efficacy as described earlier. The other events leading to withdrawal were one case each of bronchial hypersecretion, nausea/vomiting, dyspnoea and panic attacks, the latter occurring under placebo.

The most frequent adverse events ($\geq 3\%$) concerned the respiratory system (upper respiratory infection: 6.7%; asthma: 6.2%; bronchitis: 4.3%). Two patients (1%) reported voice alterations; no case of candidiasis was described.

Mean 24-h urinary cortisol excretion normalized for creatinine amounted to 8.49 and 8.10 nmol-mmol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Morning</th>
<th>Evening</th>
<th>Morning – evening:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 L</td>
<td>0.31 (0.20–0.43)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.19–0.43)</td>
<td>0.00 (-0.15–0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC L</td>
<td>0.19 (0.06–0.33)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.08–0.36)</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.19–0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning PEF L-min\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>3 (-14–20)</td>
<td>30 (13–48)</td>
<td>-27 (-50–-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evening PEF L-min\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>7 (-10–23)</td>
<td>16 (0.4–32)</td>
<td>-10 (-31–11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily symptom score</td>
<td>-0.38 (-0.80–-0.24)</td>
<td>-0.50 (-0.87–-0.29)</td>
<td>0.02 (-0.29–0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily use rescue medication, puffs/24 h\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>-0.36 (-1.00–-0.29)</td>
<td>-0.36 (-1.05–-0.21)</td>
<td>0 (-0.43–0.43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lung function: least-squares means and 95% confidence intervals (CI); symptoms and rescue medication: within groups; median and 95% CI, between groups: distribution-free point estimate and 95%-CI. FEV1: Forced expiratory volume in one second; FVC: forced vital capacity; PEF: peak expiratory flow.

Fig. 2. – Time course in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) in patients either with or without pretreatment with inhaled corticosteroids prior to the study. B0: start of baseline period; T0: time of randomization; T4/T8: after 4/8 weeks of treatment. ◇: morning, not pretreated; ■: evening, not pretreated; ○: morning pretreated; ▲: evening pretreated.
creatinine$^{-1}$ (morning and evening group, respectively) during baseline. At the end of treatment, the respective values were 10.47 and 13.88 nmol·mmol creatinine$^{-1}$. Mean differences within treatment groups (morning: 1.98 nmol·mmol creatinine$^{-1}$ (95% confidence interval (CI): -2.34 – 6.33); evening: 5.78 nmol·mmol creatinine$^{-1}$ (95% CI: -6.51 – 18.06)) were not significant as were the differences between treatment groups (-3.80 nmol·mmol creatinine$^{-1}$ (95% CI: -16.79 – 9.19)). Values below the normal range occurred in 23 patients at baseline and in 15 at the end of the treatment in the morning group, and in 28 and 24 patients, respectively, in the evening group.

**Discussion**

Results of the present study suggest that 200 µg ciclesonide given once daily is effective in the treatment of mild-to-moderate asthma as assessed by lung function, symptoms, use of rescue medication and number of asthma exacerbations. Although the trial did not have a placebo or active control group, the consistent improvements above baseline values were not only statistically significant but also clinically relevant, and thus support this conclusion.

Morning and evening administration was shown to be equally effective for the different variables, except for morning PEF where the improvements after evening dosing were more prominent. When looking at individual patient responses (see 95% CI in table 3) obviously some patients deteriorated while others improved resulting in no relevant change overall. Hence, the response pattern to a dose given in the morning seems to be more heterogeneous compared to evening administration where all patients had higher values at the end of treatment referred to baseline. It should be noted that baseline morning PEF values in the morning group were higher than in the evening group. It, therefore, might be suspected that a ceiling effect occurred and that the morning group had in fact no room for further improvement. This speculation is, however, not supported by the covariance analysis, which took the differences in baseline into account and nevertheless suggested a significant difference in outcome for the two groups. As two other trials with ciclesonide showed that 100 and 200 µg·day$^{-1}$ given in the morning significantly improved morning PEF compared to placebo, no explanation is, therefore, readily available why this variable was unchanged in the morning group of the current study.

When selecting the optimal time point of administration for an individual patient, the following aspects may be relevant: patient compliance, the fact that asthma can have an important nocturnal component and safety considerations. With regard to compliance, morning dosing of inhaled steroids is favoured [13]. Concerning safety, pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic modelling suggests that inhalation of steroids in the afternoon might cause the least cortisol suppression, with the optimum time point being determined by the terminal elimination half-life of the respective drug: *i.e.* an administration time point of 15:00 h was proposed for fluticasone propionate and of 19:00 h for flunisolide [14]. Finally, due to the circadian rhythm in lung function, hyperresponsiveness, circulating cells and mediators in asthma, it might be expected that based on the results with oral and intravenous steroids [15, 16], afternoon/evening dosing of inhaled steroids is preferable to morning dosing for alleviation of nocturnal worsening in asthma.

Morning administration of budesonide was shown to be superior to placebo [17] and the authors concluded that recommendation for the time of dosing may well be left to the individual physician. Several studies evaluated the efficacy of evening dosing of budesonide and with the exception of one trial [4] they all found that evening administration is at least as effective as twice-daily dosing [18–20]. In addition, Jonas et al. [8] addressed the issue of time of dosing and concluded that morning and evening administration of budesonide are equi-effective. Similar findings were made for flunisolide: although for morning PEF and daytime use of rescue medication there was a trend in favour of evening dosing [21]. The efficacy of 100 µg fluticasone propionate twice-daily was generally greater than a 200 µg once-daily morning regimen in patients pretreated with inhaled steroids, whereas no such difference was seen in patients pretreated with bronchodilators only [22]. Hence, there is clear evidence from the literature that once-daily dosing of inhaled steroids is an effective regimen for the treatment of mild-to-moderate asthma. No final conclusion is yet possible about the ideal time point of administration although some studies suggest that morning dosing might be less efficacious. In fact PINCUS and coworkers [13, 23] proposed that administration of inhaled steroids in the afternoon between 15:00 – 17:30 h might result in the most pronounced asthma control. However, afternoon administration does not necessarily increase compliance and as the present trial suggests, relevant improvement can also be achieved by evening dosing between 16:00 – 20:00 h, the time window for optimal administration of inhaled steroids might in fact be wider. This is also in line with a study investigating the efficacy of a single dose of 1,000 µg BDP·day$^{-1}$ in the late afternoon (17:00 h) and at bedtime (22:00 h) showing comparable asthma control for both regimens [24].

The safety data of the current trial suggest that ciclesonide was well tolerated. No influence on HPA axis was found as judged by 24-h urinary cortisol suppression. This is in line with the results of a study in healthy volunteers where the 24-h mesor for serum cortisol under ciclesonide (800 µg), given either in the morning or in the evening for one week, was 2–6% lower compared to placebo indicating that ciclesonide lacks relevant systemic effects [25]. Hence, from a safety point of view ciclesonide can be administered both in the morning or evening.

Although the question on the ideal time point of once-daily administration of inhaled steroids warrants further investigation, the current study suggests that ciclesonide can be given either in the morning or in the evening so that patient preference and individual medical needs can be addressed.
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