Sarcoidosis is an immune-mediated, multiorgan, granulomatous disorder thought to be triggered by an intricate combination of environmental and genetic factors. Two robust lines of evidence support the hypothesis of a genetic component in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis: racial variation in its epidemiology and familial clustering of cases. The relationship between epidemiology and environmental factors affecting variations in sarcoidosis incidence/prevalence and presentation are reviewed, as well as strategies to be pursued in the search for susceptibility genes for the disorder.

Pathogenic processes leading to sarcoid granuloma formation and maintenance have prompted investigators interested in the genetics of sarcoidosis to focus mainly on major histocompatibility complex genes, and indeed a remarkable amount of data has been accumulated during the last two decades. Whilst in contrast with some autoimmune disorders a clear association between human leukocyte antigen (HLA) and sarcoidosis is still a controversial issue, there is, however, a general agreement that some HLA genes are related to phenotypic variations of the disease. Some genetic investigators have focused on T-cell receptor genes, immunoglobulin genes, angiotensin converting enzyme gene, chemokine genes and others.

From a review of studies performed in different racial and ethnic groups, a reasonable suggestion arises that genetic factors are the major determinant in the racial variations in the epidemiology of the disorder. This assumption is, however, so far limited by lack of studies considering both genetic and environmental factors simultaneously.

Sarcoidosis is an immune-mediated multiorgan disorder of unknown origin, characterized by the presence of noncaseating granulomata [1]. The earliest step in the immunological events leading to granuloma formation is the accumulation of T-lymphocytes and mononuclear phagocytes in the affected organs, activated CD45RO+ve T-helper (Th1)-type T-cells being central to this infiltration. Such a phenomenon is up-regulated at sites of inflammation by two mechanisms: the expansion of CD4+ve memory cells, following a redistribution from blood flow, under the influence of several chemokines, and in situ interleukin (IL)-2 mediated proliferation [2–4] In brief, granuloma formation in sarcoidosis seems to be ruled by a Th1-type response of T-cells. The above mentioned pathway of sarcoidosis, although extremely simplified, is the result of significant advances made in the understanding of the disease’s pathogenesis during the past two decades. This feature is shared by many other pulmonary disorders, such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), primary pulmonary hypertension, pulmonary alveolar proteinosis, all conditions in which biochemistry, cell biology, and molecular biology techniques have yielded pathogenesis breakthrough.

These conditions, like sarcoidosis, are common disorders triggered by an intricate combination of environmental (known in some cases, unknown in the others) and genetic (largely undetermined) factors [5]. Under this point of view, they are defined as complex (or polygenic) disorders (or traits), distinguishing them from disorders due to single gene mutations (monogenic or Mendelian disorders, such as α1-antitrypsin deficiency or cystic fibrosis). The current challenge concerning complex disorders is the elucidation of genetic mechanisms and the identification of related susceptibility genes [5].

Genetic susceptibility to sarcoidosis is suggested by two robust lines of evidence. These are, racial and, to a lesser extent, ethnic variation in sarcoidosis incidence; and familial clustering of the disease [6]. However, the understanding of the genetic boundaries of this supporting evidence is limited by the fact that the aetiology of sarcoidosis remains unknown. One cannot, therefore, exclude that some racial variation might be attributed to varying environmental risk. In addition, families share not only genes but also their environment. In this framework, genetic studies on sarcoidosis have developed on a less favourable background than other complex disorders, such as COPD or chronic beryllium disease, for which the knowledge of major environmental determinants has allowed, for instance, a better definition of phenotypes [7, 8]. Nevertheless, genetic studies on sarcoidosis have accumulated enough supporting evidence that it is now an established concept that some genetic factors have a role in altering the disease phenotype, i.e. its clinical expression [4]. What remains to be elucidated is how these factors alter the disease phenotype and, maybe more interestingly, whether genetic factors affect susceptibility to sarcoidosis [4].
Interactions between environmental and genetic factors in the development of sarcoidosis received little, if any, attention in previous studies. To address this point (among others), a Case Control Etiologic Study of Sarcoidosis (ACCESS) [9] has been sponsored by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI). The aim is to enroll 720 newly diagnosed cases of sarcoidosis and compare them with 720 age, sex, and race matched controls in a study that has the potential to test different aetiological hypotheses or, at least, to generate other strong hypotheses [9].

This paper reviews the current knowledge on genetic components of sarcoidosis which led to the rationale for the study design based on genetic and environmental hypotheses, a major priority of the ACCESS study.

**Relationship between genetic and environmental factors affecting the epidemiology of sarcoidosis**

Racial and ethnic variations in sarcoidosis epidemiology and presentation

Sarcoidosis occurs worldwide. The disease affects both sexes, but a slight excess of females over males has been reported in many series [1]. People of all ages may be involved, but sarcoidosis is a disorder typically affecting young adults (20–40 yrs), with a second peak in females >50 yrs in Japan and Scandinavian regions [10, 11].

The disorder is distributed among all races (broad supertypes, as Caucasian) and ethnic groups (split subtypes, as Italians), but with marked variations. Overall prevalence ranges from ~50 per 100,000 inhabitants (Sweden, Denmark, USA (African-Americans) to <1 per 100,000 (Spain, Portugal, Italy, Saudi Arabia, India) [12]. In a population-based study in Rochester, Minnesota, USA, the incidence rates were 5.9 per 100,000 person-yr for males and 6.3 for females [13], whereas an annual all-race incidence rate of 4.8 per 100,000 persons was estimated in a Northwest US population [14]. In a comparative study, the prevalence of sarcoidosis in Finland was 28.2 per 100,00 and 3.7 per 100,000 in Japan (Hokkaido area) [15]. Studies in homogeneous areas have shown marked racial variations. A study among 1,216, 425 recruits entering the US Navy 1958–1969 showed that sarcoidosis affected African-Americans 10–17 times more frequently than Caucasians [16]. More recently, in the Detroit area, the annual incidence of the disorder was 36.3 per 100,000 among African-Americans, and 11.3 per 100,000 among Caucasians [17]. In New York City, sarcoidosis prevalence was >50 per 100,000 among African-Americans, ranged 40–20 among Puerto Ricans/Hispanics, and dropped to 10–20 in Caucasians [12, 18].

A solid body of evidence suggests that the sarcoidosis phenotype, i.e. presentation and severity, varies in function of racial and ethnic background. Greater severity in African-Americans and more frequent asymptomatic disease in Caucasians are widely accepted concepts [4]. Caucasians show erythema nodosum more frequently than the Japanese [19], and lesser extrapulmonary spread than African-Americans and Japanese [10], whereas the incidence of cardiac sarcoidosis is higher among the Japanese than among Caucasians and African-Americans [10]. In a comparative study of 107 North Italian and 126 Czech patients with sarcoidosis, ethnic-restricted variations were found: 58% of the Czechs versus 35% of the Italians were in roentgenologic stage 1, whereas 7% only, were in stage III, versus 23% of the Italians; the disease lasted longer in the Italians than in the Czechs (39 versus 22 months) [20]. Mortality rates are reported to be similar among races [1]. However, a study of sarcoidosis or its complication mortality in the USA from 1979–1991, showed consistently higher age-adjusted mortality rates among African-Americans than among Caucasians [21].

Taken together, these data support the concept that race and ethnicity influence the prevalence/incidence and pattern of sarcoidosis. The most direct conclusion to be drawn from the above mentioned lines of evidence would be that such variations are attributable to genetic factors. However, epidemiological data might by affected by several weaknesses, such as environmental factors (which may vary by race and, to a lesser extent, ethnicity), inequality of ascertainment methods (which may lead to underestimation or misdiagnosis of the disease) and problems in sarcoidosis coding and death certification.

**Environmental factors**

As stated before, the cause(s) of sarcoidosis remain unknown. However, reports of sarcoidosis outbreaks in certain communities, occupational risks, and space-time clustering of the disease open up a concrete possibility that sarcoidosis is triggered by a shared environmental exposure or by a transmissible agent [1]. It is, however, important to start off by saying that this issue remains questionable, not only because of the lack of an unambiguous demonstration of the nature of the putative agent, but also because of the inability to reproduce some studies or serious biases in others [22].

Several lines of evidence suggest the possible role of environmental and/or transmissible factors in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis. This evidence is summarized in the following statements. 1) Reports of temporal aggregation of sarcoidosis in nonconsanguineous family members [23]. 2) Several records of a work-related risk of sarcoidosis (or "sarcoid-like" lesions) in nurses, firefighters and aluminium or zirconium workers [1]. One paper has recently confirmed the risk for firefighters: a 10-yr surveillance in the New York Fire Department (NYFD) showed a point prevalence of 222 per 100,000, a higher value than among controls [24]. It is worthy of note that non-White individuals accounted for 6% of all NYFD firefighters, in contrast to 42% of controls, thus excluding the above mentioned possible effect of a racial variation. 3) Seasonal occurrences of sarcoidosis have been described in both hemispheres [25]. In addition, a climatic influence on both prevalence and modality of disease presentation in Japan has been reported [26]. 4) Finally, recurrence of sarcoidosis from extrathoracic tissues after lung transplantation and possible transmission of the disease via allogeneic bone marrow transplantation [27] further strengthen the hypothesis of a transmissible agent.

Perhaps the most important investigation in this field is the Isle of Man case-controlled study of 96 patients with sarcoidosis diagnosed 1962–1983 [28, 29]. The high frequency of contacts (~40%) among cases, and strong links between cases separated by time intervals of <10 yrs and distances <100 m (time-space clustering) are
classically reported as the strongest evidence supporting the hypothesis that a transmissible agent causes sarcoidosis [1]. However, as recently pointed out by RYBICKI et al. [6], the isle of Man study contains circumstantial evidence that genetic factors might have played a role: in fact, there were 9 cases of familial sarcoidosis, but no cases among nonconsanguineous family members. In addition, percentage of cases in subjects of Manx ancestry was higher than expected.

Besides inorganic or organic pollutants, many infectious agents including viruses, Borrelia burgdorferi, Propionibacterium acnes, mycobacteria, and mycoplasma have been suspected to be able to elicit the granulomatous response deserved in sarcoidosis. These issues have been extensively reviewed in previous papers [3, 4]. However, in spite of intriguing findings, the unsuccessful attempt to isolate any given agent consistently or to create reproducible animal models failed to support the hypothesis that an infectious agent underlies sarcoidosis [4]. Nevertheless, contrasting results obtained in the search for mycobacterial deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) in sarcoid tissues do not rule out a possible role of these agents, at least in a subset of subjects with sarcoidosis [30]. This concept fits with the oligoclonality of the disease [3]; in other words, an oligoclonal T-cell activation would be elicited by a still elusive "sarcoid agent". In summary, several reports exist suggesting that environmental factors may elicit sarcoidosis. However, choice of convenient samples of cases, lack of necessary statistical power, and the fact that interactions with genetic factors were never taken into account, may have significantly affected many of these studies [6].

**Do environmental factors explain racial and ethnic variations in sarcoidosis epidemiology?**

As stated before, it is likely that genetic background accounts for racial and ethnic variations in the epidemiology of sarcoidosis. However, tough in the absence of an unambiguous demonstration of an environmental and/or transmissible agent, one cannot rule out a priori that environmental factors might account for such variations. As an example, a striking difference was reported between the prevalence rates of sarcoidosis in native Londoners (27 per 100,000) and Irish immigrants living in North London (97 per 100,000) [12]. Interestingly, mass miniature radiography screening in Ireland showed a prevalence of 33 per 100,000 [31], a figure not different from that found in Londoners. Although differences exist in the age selection for screening between the two studies, such a great variation in two ethnically homogeneous populations suggests a role for factor(s) to which Irish immigrants are more susceptible than native Londoners [12]. However, lack of information and/or greater differences in screening methods and socioeconomic context prevent this feature from being confirmed in other parts of the world.

**Familial aggregation of sarcoidosis**

Several hundred kindreds with sarcoidosis have been reported worldwide [32] Evidence that sarcoidosis clusters in families, with two or more members affected, is considered the strongest support for a genetic component of the disorder [6]. The first extensive report of this occurrence was published in 1973 by the Research Committee of the British Thoracic and Tuberculosis Association [33], and described 121 subjects distributed among 59 families. Interestingly, this study showed an excess of monozygotic over dizygotic twins concordant for sarcoidosis. A high prevalence of familial sarcoidosis has also been described in Ireland [34], but the overall prevalence of the disease is high in this country. In this Irish study, 9.6% of index cases were found to have at least one sibling with sarcoidosis. In a comparative study in Finland and Hokkaido, Japan, prevalence of familial sarcoidosis was comparable, being 3.6–4.7% in Finland and 2.9–4.3% in Hokkaido [35], in spite of the marked difference of overall prevalence of the disease between the two regions (28.2 versus 3.7 per 100,000, respectively) [15].

The most informative study in this field was conducted in the Detroit area in southeastern Michigan. In a preliminary investigation the authors evaluated 727 cases which included 91 families containing 210 affected members with 147 relationships [36]. Prevalence of familial sarcoidosis in that study was 13.5%, but the striking result was that familial sarcoidosis was more commonly detected among African-Americans (18.9%) than Caucasians (5.2%). In other words, African-Americans are four times more likely to have an affected family member. This suggests that African-American sarcoid families are probably the best model for identifying a genetic predisposition to the disease.

The pattern of trait inheritance in familial sarcoidosis has been poorly investigated in the past. HEADINGS et al. [37] estimated an inheritability of 60–70% in 11 African-American families assuming a polygenic inheritance, whereas JAMES et al. [38] suggested a recessive gene inheritance. However, both studies were weakened by a number of problems, including limited sample size. To address this point, RYBICKI et al. [39] examined 3,395 siblings and parents of 558 index cases of sarcoidosis (361 African-Americans and 197 Caucasians). They used individual risk probabilities based on age, sex, and race specific disease prevalence to evaluate risk in parents and siblings of sarcoidosis patients. A significant heterogeneity in familial risk of disease was found; high-risk families were more likely to be African-American (OR = 3.24), and to have an offspring or a second-degree relative affected (OR > 6.21). The significant heterogeneity of family risk found means that subsets of disease with different aetiologies, such as those with a greater genetic or a greater environmental component, exist in families. In conclusion, intrarace heterogeneity in familial risk seems to suggest the involvement of a major gene in susceptibility to sarcoidosis [6].

**Do environmental factors explain familial clustering of sarcoidosis?**

As is easy to understand, environmental factors could be responsible for familial aggregation of sarcoidosis. However, in this case, the relative risks conferred by putative environmental agents would have to be so high (>100) that previous case-control studies would have detected them [6].
Approaches for identifying genes for sarcoidosis

From the previous paragraphs it is clear that dissection of a complex trait, such as sarcoidosis, resulting from the interaction of a number of genes with a number of environmental factors, and not following a simple Mendelian inheritance pattern, can hardly be performed by the traditional strategies, such as log of the odds (LOD) score linkage analysis, used for simple Mendelian traits. Linkage analysis consists of the localization of a gene responsible for a disease by evidence of cosegregation of a DNA marker with the phenotype of the disease. Reasons for the difficulty in studying complex traits are the lack of: 1) large series of families with multiple affected individuals; and 2) perfect cosegregation of a genetic marker with inheritance of the trait. These considerations are particularly important for sarcoidosis, for which the relative risk (RR = recurrence risk for a relative of an affected person divided by the risk in the general population) is rather low (ranging 0.3–2) [3, 40]. Other confounding factors are the genetic heterogeneity of the disease, incomplete penetrance of the disease allele, and presence of false-positives (phenocopies). Generally speaking, the poorer the definition of a phenotype, the lower the power of linkage analysis in mapping the disease [41]. Despite the complexity of the situation, significant advances have been made in discovering and characterizing genes involved in complex traits. Two different strategies are being used: genome scanning and candidate gene analysis.

Genome scanning

Genome scanning implies the lack of particular candidate genomic regions because of incomplete knowledge of the biochemical basis of the disease. The above mentioned traditional linkage analysis, involving observation of cosegregation and recombination between known DNA markers and unknown trait-influencing alleles in members of large pedigrees cannot easily be applied to sarcoidosis, as before mentioned. Attractive alternatives are the allele-sharing methods, aimed at assessing marker alleles shared at a given locus among pairs of relatives affected [42]. The simplest of these methods is sib pair analysis, in which allele frequency is determined among affected sibs in a family and compared with expected 50% inheritance by chance. Such a strategy has been successfully applied in the past to the identification of susceptibility loci for essential arterial hypertension and type 1 diabetes mellitus [41]. Sib pair analysis would be the method of choice for linkage analysis in sarcoidosis, rather than the traditional LOD score analysis, because: 1) multiple sarcoïd cases usually have no more than 2–3 members affected; and 2) sarcoidosis is a short-lived condition, often resolving spontaneously, and therefore underdiagnosed cases within the family would weaken the statistical power of the LOD score method [40].

Candidate gene analysis

This strategy is based on the investigation of frequencies of particular gene variants in a population of unrelated affected individuals in comparison with frequencies in a control population of unrelated, unaffected individuals. Of course, this strategy implies the knowledge, at least in part, of the biochemical basis of the disorder, and knowledge about the function of the gene variant (fig. 1). Beside these considerations, important pitfalls linked to case-control studies are the huge numbers of putative candidate genes to be investigated for any given disorder, and, maybe more relevant, the heterogeneity of populations studied, both affected and unaffected. A possible way of circumventing the latter problem is to generate an artificial control population by studying an unaffected control population composed by unaffected family members [40]. This strategy is called affected family-based controls AFBAC [43]. The control group generated in this way is well matched for ethnic ancestry.

In the following paragraphs the evidence so far collected, mostly from case-control association studies, for genetic factors in sarcoidosis is reviewed.

Major histocompatibility complex genes

Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) genes of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) map to the short arm of chromosome 6 (fig. 2). Interest in the involvement of these loci in genetic susceptibility to sarcoidosis dates back to the mid 1970s and has resulted in large numbers of studies, first performed at a serological level and more recently with molecular biology techniques. The pathophysiology of sarcoidosis, implying antigen recognition, processing, and presentation to T-cells by antigen presenting cells underlies the rationale for investigating HLA, especially class 11, genes [3]. The role of HLA molecules in disease susceptibility and modulation of clinical manifestations can be summarized as follows: 1) molecular mimicry or antigenic cross-reactivity between
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and 4) aberrant HLA molecule expression in sites of molecules; 3) HLA-restricted control of immune response; binding of pathogens or antigens to specific HLA mole-

ules; and 4) aberrant HLA molecule expression in sites of disease activity [20].

Human leukocyte antigen class I (A, B, C) genes and sarcoidosis

To the authors’ knowledge, the first study of HLA-sarcoidosis association was performed in 1974 by KUePPERs et al. in 132 sarcoid patients from what was then West Germany [44]. This study failed to detect any associations between the HLA locus A and the disease. Subsequently, a number of studies in Caucasian sarcoid subjects belonging to different ethnic groups found an association with the HLA-B8 antigen. The RR for the B8 antigen was quite constant: 2.18 in the UK [45], 2.22 in North America [46], and 2.8 in Moravia (Czech Republic) [47]. The last study also reported a RR = 8.5 for the genotype B8/B13. In the first study in 32 African-American sarcoid subjects no associations were found [48]. The first HLA typing in familial sarcoidosis also dates to this pioneering era [49]. In 55 members of 14 families haplotype segregation was similar to the predic-
ted, suggesting against HLA-association. All the above mentioned studies were performed at a serological level, using standard microlymphocytotoxicity methods.

Fig. 2. – Scheme of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC), mapping to short arm of chromosome 6. Class I genes are expressed on the surface of most cell types and they are involved in the presentation of peptides deriving from any given synthesized protein. Class II region contains human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-DR, -DP, and -DQ genes, which are expressed only in antigen presenting cells (APC), and present selected antigens. Also non-HLA genes, such as low-molecular-weight polypeptides (LMP) and transporter associated with antigen processing (TAP), map to the class II region. Class III region is located between class II and class I, and contains (centromeric to telomeric): genes encoding for the complement components (C4, factor B, C2), those encoding for the 70 kD Heat shock proteins (Hsp70), and the tumour necrosis factor (TNF) gene complex.

Besides HLA class I genes, other studies have taken into account DR, DP, and DQ genes (or gene products). With reference to Caucasian subjects, HLA-DR5 was significantly associated with the disease in 73 German patients (OR = 6.56) [50], whereas no associations were found with DR antigens in 107 Italian patients [51]. In the latter study, a positive association with HLA-B8 antigen was confirmed (RR = 1.91). Two Japanese studies have dealt with HLA class I and II (DR, DQ) antigens: a study by KUeKANE et al. [52] including 53 patients, and one by INa et al. [53] including 114 subjects. Both studies agreed that the frequency of HLA-DRw52 antigen was higher in patients with sarcoidosis than in healthy controls. In addition, the study by INa et al. [53] showed an increased frequency of A1 (RR=8.65), B7 (RR=8.52), Bw46 (RR=4.14), Cw6 (RR=8.52), Cx46 (RR=6.45), DRw8 (RR=2.47), and DRw9 (RR=1.90) antigens; no association with DQ antigens was found. A Danish study of 41 sub-
jects [54] showed a significant association with DRw6 (RR=3.2), but no association with DQ or DP antigens.

Subsequently, molecular HLA class II studies were carried out. Such studies lower the frequency of incorrect results associated with serological HLA typing [55]. In 32 Japanese patients with sarcoidosis no restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) of HLA-DRB1 gene specific for sarcoidosis were found, but in subjects serologically positive for the DRw52 antigen, frequency of restriction fragments was higher than in controls [56]. In a larger sample of Japanese patients, ISHIKARA et al. [57] performed HLA-DRB1, -DRB3, DQA1, and -DQB1 genotyping. Significant associations with sarcoidosis
were found for the DR52-associated DRB1 alleles [DRB1*11 (RR=5.9), DRB1*12 (RR 2.9), DRB1*14 (RR=2.8)], DRB1*08 (RR=3.5), DRB3*0101 (RR=3.1), DQA1*0501 (RR=4.6), and DQB1*0301 (RR=3.9). Interestingly, this study suggests that a glutamate residue in position 71 (Glu71) of the DRB1*1302, and a leucine residue in position 11 (Leu11) of DRB1*0101 are both associated with resistance (RR=0.1) to sarcoidosis in the Japanese. More recently, in 122 Scandinavian patients with sarcoidosis, DR17(3) was found to be significantly associated with the disease (p<0.001 versus 250 healthy controls) [58]. The DQB1*0201/0202 allele was also associated with sarcoidosis in this study (p<0.01).

**HLA-DPB1 gene and sarcoidosis**

HLA class II DPB1 gene has received particular attention since Richeldi et al. [59] demonstrated that berylliosis is strongly associated with the HLA class II DPB1*0201 allele, whereas the DPB1*0401 allele seemed to protect against the disease. Sequence analysis showed that berylliosis susceptibility was linked to the polymorphic sequence coding for a Lys to Glu amino acid change in position 69 of the β-chain of the HLA-DP gene (HLA-DPGLu69). It is particularly interesting that beryllium inhalation produces granulomata in the lung which are pathologically indistinguishable from those found in sarcoidosis [8], so that berylliosis is also referred to as "a form of sarcoidosis of known cause". Such homology prompted investigators to search for a possible association between HLA-DPB1 and sarcoidosis. In an early work, frequencies of DPB1*0201 and DPB1*0401 alleles were found not to be different between Italian sarcoid patients and controls [60], but this study was compromised by its limited sample size. A similar negative result was more recently reported by Mallak et al. [61] in 69 African-American patients with sarcoidosis, in which the frequency of HLA-DPGLu69 was only slightly increased over that in controls. The same authors did, however, report a weak association for Val16 (OR=2.30) and for Asp55 (OR=2.03), suggesting that such residues may contribute to sarcoidosis susceptibility in African-Americans. In contrast, in 41 British subjects with sarcoidosis, Lympany et al. [62], although unable to find an association with HLA class II alleles or to confirm the association with the DPB1 position 55, reported that 26/47 sarcoid subjects had DPB1*Glu69 alleles, this being a significantly higher frequency than that in the controls (p<0.02). Consistent with this finding, Schermann et al. [63] in a study of 37 kindreds from 17 German families, described a greater than expected frequency of DPB1*0201 alleles. However, the finding of Lympany et al. [62] could not be replicated by the same group in a larger series of British patients [64].

**Non-human leukocyte antigen class II genes and sarcoidosis**

The class II region of HLA contains non-HLA genes, such as low-molecular-weight polypeptides (LMP) and transporter associated with antigen processing (TAP), encoding components playing a role mainly in a class I restricted presentation pathway [65, 66]. LMP2 and LMP7 genes encode two subunits of the multicatalytic protea- some involved in digestion of antigenic peptides binding to class I molecules. TAP1 and TAP2 genes are involved in the transport of endogenous peptides prior to assembly of class I molecules. The latter are of some interest in sarcoidosis, not only from a linkage disequilibrium point of view (i.e. the tendency for genes located close to each other on the same chromosome to be inherited together), but also for a possible role of their polymorphisms in the self-tolerance breakdown [64]. Several polymorphic variations of TAP and LMP genes have been extensively investigated in the Japanese [67–69]. From the analysis of the data, the authors concluded that TAP and LMP genes are not primarily involved in genetic susceptibility. The significant decrease in the frequency of TAP*0201 allele in sarcoid patients negative for DR5, DR6, and DR8 was explained on the basis of its linkage disequilibrium with the associated allele DR1 [68]. An investigation of genetic polymorphism in intron 6 of the LMP7 gene revealed a high frequency of the LMP7*C allele associated with the disease [69]. An association for TAP*0201 in the DRB1 gene was confirmed by the same group in a larger series of British sarcoid patients [70].

More recently, Foley et al. [66] examined several polymorphisms in the TAP1 and TAP2 genes in 117 British sarcoid patients. The TAP2 Ala565/Thr565 and Thr665/Ala665 genotypes were significantly associated with the disease (29% versus 19% of 363 controls, p=0.01) [71]. This finding was not, however, reproduced in a larger series of patient of the same ethnic group [51].

**Major histocompatibility complex class III genes**

Genes encoding for human complement component C2, factor B and C4 map in the class III region of the MHC. Factor B (BF) and C4 are characterized by extreme polymorphism. In an early work on 59 Italian patients with sarcoidosis, the BF F allele was found to be significantly associated with the disease (29% versus 19% of 363 controls, p=0.01) [71]. This finding was not, however, reproduced in a larger series of patient of the same ethnic group [51].

The tumour necrosis factor (TNF) gene complex is located in the same region, ~260 kb centromeric to HLA-B, and includes TNFα and lymphocyte α (previously referred to as TNF β) genes. TNFα is considered an important mediator in mononuclear cell recruitment, granuloma formation and in the immunopathogenesis of progressive sarcoid disease [2, 3]. Two bi-allelic TNF gene complex polymorphisms have been described: LtzNcol, in the first intron of the lymphocyte α gene, and TNF-308, in the promoter region of the TNFα gene. LtzNcol*1 and TNF-308*2 alleles are associated with higher levels of TNF production. Neither polymorphism was significantly associated with sarcoidosis as a whole in 101 German sarcoid patients [72]. The same lack of association for the TNF-308 polymorphism was recorded in a limited sample of Italian patients with sarcoidosis [73]. In a Japanese study of 75 patients with sarcoidosis, the LtzNcol polymorphism was examined, in addition to polymorphisms in three genes encoding the 70,000 D heat shock proteins (HSP70), mapping to the MCH region, between the C2 and TNF loci (fig. 2). Neither LtzNcol nor HSP70-related genes were found to be associated with the disease [74].
of the LtaNcol*1 allele was explained by linkage disequilibrium with HLA-DR5, DR6, and DR8 antigen groups.

Major histocompatibility complex genes and hetereogeneity of the disease

It is well recognized that sarcoidosis is a heterogeneous disorder, with its clinical course, extrapulmonary involvement, and variable outcome [1]. The relationship between MHC products/genes and sarcoidosis phenotypic variants of sarcoidosis is probably the genetic aspect of the disease in which investigators have had most success. The concept that immunogenetic background accounts, at least partly, for the clinical heterogeneity of sarcoidosis has been verified by different investigators in different racial and ethnic groups of affected individuals. Some of the relevant results obtained in this field are summarized, for ease of comprehension, in table 1. Correspondence analysis, a statistical exploratory technique for understanding multivariate data, has been successfully applied to the visual representation in a multidimensional space of the interaction between HLA antigens and clinical characteristics of sarcoidosis, thus generating a "map" that may help clinicians to interpret immunogenetic data [20] (fig. 3).

Major histocompatibility complex comparative studies in multiple racial or ethnic groups

Taking into account the racial and ethnic variations in sarcoidosis epidemiology and presentation discussed above, probably the most informative kind of approach is that of MHC comparative studies in multiple well defined racial or ethnic groups. First of all, populations with mixed genetic background may affect the linkage. Studying clearly racially or ethnically defined populations would explain some negative results obtained in mixed populations. Moreover, the chance of finding shared HLA features passing over ethnic and, even better, racial boundaries, would highlight the importance of MHC genes in sarcoidosis susceptibility. Unfortunately, only a few studies have used this approach. In an early work, HLA class I and DR typing was performed in 34 white sarcoid patients of British descent and in 28 Black West Indian patients of African descent [80]. English patients were characterized by a significant increase of Cw7 (RR 34.2) and by the association of the haplotype B8/Cw7/DR3 with good prognosis; neither feature was shared by the West Indian population. In the paper by MARTINETTI et al. [20], dealing with HLA class I and II frequencies in two ethnic groups, Italians and Czechs, some ethnic-restricted findings were shown: in the Czech sarcoïd population HLA-B13 and -B22 were related with male sex, early onset, extrapulmonary spread, and relapses, whereas in the Italians these two antigens were related only to disease spread. Finally, a study by FOLEY et al. [64] on TAP1 and TAP2 gene polymorphisms in 117 British and 87 Polish sarcoïd patients demonstrated a different frequency of the TAP2 Val<sup>679</sup> variant (less represented in the Polish patients; p<0.02 versus the British) and of the TAP2 Thr<sup>665</sup> variant (more represented in the Polish patients; p<0.01).

### Table 1. – Relationship between major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and phenotypic variants of sarcoidosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HLA antigen/gene</th>
<th>Clinical expression</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HLA-B8</td>
<td>Spontaneous resolution (RR 3.74)</td>
<td>87 British patients</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-B8/DR3</td>
<td>Acute onset/arthritis Good outcome</td>
<td>19 Swedish patients</td>
<td>Hedfors</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR5J</td>
<td>Poor outcome</td>
<td>58 Japanese patients</td>
<td>Abe</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-A1, B8, B27, DR3</td>
<td>Stage I</td>
<td>233 patients, 126 Czechs and 107 Italians</td>
<td>Martinetti</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-B12, DR4</td>
<td>Stage III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR3</td>
<td>Good outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-B13, B35</td>
<td>Early onset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-A30, B8, DR3, DR4</td>
<td>Late onset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR3, DR5, DR6, DR8</td>
<td>Early onset, lack of ophthalmic involvement</td>
<td>77 Japanese patients</td>
<td>Ishihara</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR17(3)</td>
<td>Good prognosis</td>
<td>122 Scandinavian patients</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR14(6), DR15(2)</td>
<td>Chronic disease</td>
<td>101 German patients</td>
<td>Seitz</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-308*2 allele</td>
<td>Löffgren's syndrome</td>
<td>26 Japanese patients</td>
<td>Takashige</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HLA: Human leukocyte antigen; TNF: tumour necrosis factor.
HLA-DR3 is a highly specialized mycobacterial antigen-presenting molecule, one cannot exclude that mycobacteria might be involved in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis in a subset of patients characterized by good outcome.

**Immunoglobulin genes**

Immunoglobulin (Ig) probably plays an ancillary role, if any, in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis. However, immunoglobulin levels are often raised in sarcoidosis, with increased antibody titres against a variety of antigens [88]. Specific markers of the constant region of the IgG heavy (IGHG) and Kappa light chains are encoded by a gene cluster (GM and KM), located on the long arm of chromosome 14 and 2, respectively. In spite of the limited role of Ig in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis, GM and KM allotypes, together with MCH and TCR genes, account for the most informative triad of genes involved in immune-related diseases, therefore they are worthy of investigation in sarcoidosis too. In an early work, the Ig marker G3m[5] was found in 94/95 African-Americans sarcoid subjects investigated [89]. However, the same marker was found in 88/97 controls, and no information was provided about the Ig specificities tested. More recently, GM and KM serotyping was performed in 107 Italian patients with sarcoidosis [90]. In this study the GM(3 5*) phenotype has a "protective" effect (OR = 0.15). In addition, GM(3 23 5*) was less represented in patients with stage II and III, when compared to stage I disease.

**GM/KM and human leukocyte antigen interaction**

In the same study, since all patients had been characterized for HLA class II, and III markers, the epistasis between GM/KM and HLA was investigated [90]. Epistasis, also referred to as gene interaction, is a mechanism by which a certain genotype confers susceptibility or resistance to a degree dictated by the presence of other genotypes and reflects interactive effects of mutations, genotypes and/or their biological products. In this framework, only a few patients carrying the combination GM(23 5*)/Bf S had stage II disease, *i.e.* this combination has a "protective" effect against this stage, providing evidence for the first time of an interaction between GM/KM and HLA class III genes in a disease. The already discussed correspondence analysis was applied to this investigation: two distinct major clusters were found. HLA-DR4, C4AQ0, GM (1,3,17 23 5*, 21, 28), BfF was associated with stage II disease, whereas HLA-DR3, C4AQ0, KM(1),GM(3 23 5*) was associated with stage I.  

**Angiotensin converting enzyme gene**

Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) is a metallopeptidase (EC 3.4.15.1) secreted by epithelial cells. Approximately 50–60% of patients with sarcoidosis have increased serum levels of ACE, so that its assay is probably the most widely used laboratory test for sarcoidosis [91]. Increased levels of ACE have even been described in other granulomatous and interstitial lung disorders, although with a lower frequency. Since ACE levels tend...
to be higher in patients with stage II and III disease, it was postulated that ACE levels reflect the whole body granuloma mass.

Interestingly, two families, one in Japan [92] and the other in Italy a few years later [93], were described, in which extremely high ACE levels clustered in several family members. The condition affected four members of the Japanese family and five members of the Italian family. Three members of the Japanese family and two of the Italian family were completely healthy, and none of the affected members had abnormal blood pressure. The hyper-ACE-aemia seemed to have been inherited as an autosomal dominant trait (fig. 4). These observations led to a concept of a possible genetic control of ACE levels. In 1990 it was demonstrated that the deletion (D) or the insertion (I) of a 250bp-DNA fragment in intron 16 of the ACE gene accounts for three ACE genotypes, and for 47% of total phenotypic variance in ACE levels: DD genotype is related to the highest levels, II to the lowest ones, and ID to intermediate levels [94].

Since there is a strong rationale for studying the ACE gene polymorphism in sarcoidosis, in the last 5 yrs a significant amount of data has been accumulated in different racial and ethnic groups, so that there is a satisfactory worldwide picture of ACE gene involvement in sarcoidosis. Probably this polymorphism is the best characterized in sarcoidosis (table 2). 1) Genotype distribution is not different between patients with sarcoidosis and controls in the Caucasians groups studied: Italians [95], Americans [96], and Finnish [97]; 2) Contrasting results were achieved in the Japanese: no association was found in a large series of subjects from central Japan [98], whereas an early report found an excess of ID or DD genotypes among females patients (OR 2.18) [99]: 3) In marked contrast, the DD genotype was a significant risk factor for African-American sarcoid patients (OR 3.17), especially in those with a family history of the disorder [96]. 4) No correlation was found between ACE genotype and sarcoidosis parameters, such as chest radiograph stage, extrapulmonary involvement, and progression in Italian and Japanese patients, whereas a modest correlation was found between the II genotype and radiographic progression among the African-American patients [96]. By contrast, the DD genotype seems to be related to a poor prognosis in Finnish patients [97]. 5) In Japanese sarcoid patients it has been shown that cough and bronchial hyperresponsiveness are significantly related to the ACE II genotype [100]. This is explained on the basis that lower circulating ACE levels inactivate less bradykinin and tachykinins. 6) Finally, nearly all studies agree that the ACE polymorphism accounts for the variability in the serum ACE levels in sarcoidosis too, thus suggesting that new normal ranges should be provided for each genotype [98]. The Italian study, however, hypothesized that chest radiograph stage and ACE genotype act independently of each other in determining ACE levels [95].

In a recent paper, Takemoto et al. [101] showed that the AGTR1 allele c of the polymorphism in an untranslated region of the angiotensin II type I receptor is associated with higher levels of ACE in sarcoidosis, thus suggesting an independent regulatory factor from allele D for ACE elevation in sarcoidosis.

### Chemokine/mediator genes

In spite of the huge amount of information accumulated over the last 20 yrs on the role of chemokines in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis, these mediators have received only limited attention from a genetic point of view. To the authors’ knowledge, only two papers, both published in 1999, have been produced on this matter. In their report on six unlinked candidate regions screened by polymorphic markers, Rybicki et al. [83] found a significant association with the IL-1α marker on 2q13 and with the F13A1 marker on 6p23–25. Individuals with both IL-1α*137 and F13A1*188 alleles have a six-fold increased risk of sarcoidosis (OR=6.19), and this risk is even higher if the analysis is restricted to subjects with a family history of sarcoidosis (OR=15.38). Both genes code for mediators relevant to the pathogenesis of the disorder: the role of IL-1α in induction and maintenance of granuloma is well recognized [2, 3], whereas the F13A1 marker is located close to the interferon regulatory factor protein (IRF-4) gene coding for a recently discovered member of the IRF family of transcription factors, which putatively acts as an important mediator in sarcoid-granuloma formation by attracting T-cells.

Monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) is an important chemotactic factor for inflammatory cells in sarcoidosis [102]. C-C chemokine receptor 2 (CCR2) is a receptor for MCP-1, other related chemokines, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 infection. A polymorphism in the gene has been described in which the variant 64Val→Ile is associated with delayed progression to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) [103]. The same polymorphism was found to be less frequent in 100 Japanese patients with sarcoidosis than in 122 controls (OR=0.369) [104]. This would suggest that the variant CCR2-64I protects against developing sarcoidosis.

### Blau syndrome and Crohn’s disease genes

These genes have been recently mapped on chromosome 16. Blau syndrome is a multisystem granulomatous disorder, presenting with iridocyclitis, posterior uveitis, skin localizations, arthritis, and camptodactyly; it resembles childhood sarcoidosis [105, 106]. In addition, there are some reports of the coexistence of Crohn’s disease and...
In addition, (1,25(OH)₂D₃) has modulating effects on bone resorption often described during the disorder [112].

That its high levels are in part responsible for the increased many sarcoid granuloma products. It has been postulated does a modified CFTR protein facilitate entry of an infectious agent into the airways and trigger an immune mediated response?

Concluding thoughts

The evidence accumulated during the last two decades supporting the concept that genetic factors play a role in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis has been examined. Based on the information so far collected, it seems possible to state that a general consensus on a couple of items has been achieved: firstly, MHC genes are involved in the phenotypic modifications of sarcoidosis. Secondly, there is a strong suggestion that genetic factors are the major determinants in the racial variations in the epidemiology of the disorder. The latter assumption is however limited by lack of studies considering both genetic and environmental factors simultaneously.

Conversely, the search for susceptibility genes for sarcoidosis is still in its infancy. Only a few candidate genes have been examined extensively, and the associations found are rather weak, from a general point of view. Several studies have found an association with HLA A1, B8, DR3 in Caucasians, suggesting at least an autoimmune component in sarcoidosis, but the association is weakened by the comparison with other disorders [116].

Over the years investigators have created large DNA banks from sarcoid subjects, on which new candidate genes can be easily and quickly tested. However, to strengthen the results, it would be advisable to develop common tools to define the phenotypic variations of the disorder. An example in the assessment of organ involvement was recently reported by the ACCESS Research Group [117].

Two large series of familial sarcoidosis samples have been established, one of African-Americans in the USA, and one of Caucasians in Germany. Whole genome scanning in these samples, and comparative results, will provide a unique opportunity to verify the existence of a locus (or loci) strongly linked to sarcoidosis, and to verify racial and phenotypic variations at a molecular level.
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