Endothelin-1 production is associated with eosinophilic rather than neutrophilic airway inflammation
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ABSTRACT: Endothelin-1 (ET-1) is a strong bronchoconstrictor which possesses pro-inflammatory properties and is claimed to be an important mediator in bronchial asthma. The present study was undertaken to investigate whether ET-1 synthesis, in an inflammation dominated by neutrophilic granulocytes, is as pronounced as previously demonstrated in an airway inflammation dominated by eosinophils. Moreover, the authors compared the production of ET-1 and tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α in rat lungs following intratracheal instillation of either lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (neutrophilic inflammation) or Sephadex (SDX) (eosinophilic).

The lung tissue ET-1 messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) expression was not increased in LPS treated animals whereas a six-fold increase was measured after 30 min in the SDX group (p<0.05). TNF-α mRNA signals increased early following LPS instillation, peaking at 2 h, whereas elevated TNF-α mRNA in the SDX model was observed at 24 h. The ET-1 concentrations in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) rose slightly, but significantly, 3 h after both LPS and SDX exposure. At 24 h no further rise in ET-1 levels was observed in the LPS model, while a substantial increase in the ET-1 concentration was measured in the SDX group (p<0.05). The TNF-α concentrations in BALF rose considerably at 3 h in the LPS group, but was nearly abolished at 24 h. In SDX challenged animals however, an increase in BALF-TNF-α did not occur until 24 h postchallenge.

In conclusion, intratracheal instillation of lipopolysaccharide, leading to a purely neutrophilic lung inflammation, does not induce synthesis of endothelin-1. This is in contrast to observations during an eosinophilic airway inflammation, indicating a specific role of endothelin-1 in lung inflammations dominated by eosinophils.

Keywords: Airways endothelin-1 inflammation tumour necrosis factor-α

Received: March 31 1999
Accepted after revision December 15 1999

This study was supported by grants from the Norwegian Research Council, the Anders Jahre’s Fund for the Promotion of Science and GlaxoWellcome Norway.

Endothelin (ET)-1 may play a key role in the development of an eosinophilic airway inflammation [1–3], such as bronchial asthma [4–6]. ET-1 has also been claimed to be of importance in other inflammatory lung disorders [5, 7, 8]. Since the lungs have been shown to be a major source of ET-1 [9] in addition to having a very high receptor density for this peptide [9], it could be speculated that ET-1 would be of importance as a general pro-inflammatory mediator in this organ. In order to investigate whether ET-1 synthesis is a preponderant feature of other than eosinophilic lung inflammations, ET-1 synthesis and release in lungs from lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-challenged rats was examined. Intratracheal (IT) LPS instillation in rats induces a massive infiltration of neutrophils in lung tissue, a pattern with similarities to human adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [10]. This neutrophilic inflammation was compared to the eosinophilic inflammation induced by intratracheal instillation of the dextran Sephadex (SDX), which promotes a strong production of ET-1 [1, 2, 11].

Studies have suggested that tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α is a critical cytokine responsible for the inflammation observed after exposure to LPS [12, 13]. TNF-α has also been implicated as a primary mediator of eosinophilic airway inflammation, since it has strong pro-inflammatory properties [14, 15] and causes bronchial hyperresponsiveness [15]. TNF-α is elevated in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) of symptomatic compared to asymptomatic asthma patients [16], and might be one principal trigger of allergic airway inflammation [17]. Interestingly, there seems to be a link between ET-1 and TNF-α, since in vitro studies have shown that TNF-α may induce ET-1 production in airway epithelial cells [18–21]. Conversely, ET-1 may also stimulate the biosynthesis of TNF-α [22, 23]. In addition, to study the generation of ET-1 in both a neutrophilic and eosinophilic airway inflammation, the synthesis and release of TNF-α in relation to ET-1 in these in vivo models was examined.

Rats challenged with either LPS or SDX were evaluated with ET-1 messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) and TNF-α mRNA analyses in lung tissues 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2h, 3, 12, 24 and 48 h after provocation. In additional experiments, the concentration of the ET-1 and TNF-α peptides and cell profiles in BALF, as well as histological evaluation, were
performed 3 h and 24 h after IT provocation with LPS, SDX or saline.

Methods

Experimental procedure

Ninety-six male Wistar rats weighing 300–320 g (M&B, Ejby, Denmark) were maintained and housed in accordance with the conditions set by the Norwegian Council for Animal Research. The investigation conformed with the National Institutes of Health guidelines (NIH publication no.85-23 revised 1985). The animals were anaesthetized with isoflurane (Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL, USA), and a suspension (0.3 mL total volume) of either SDX (G-200 Superfine; Pharmacia & Upjohn, Uppsala, Sweden) 5 mg/mL or LPS (Sigma Chemicals Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) 20 µg mL-1 was instilled intratracheally. The animals were euthanized at 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2, 3, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h after induced inflammation (n=3 in each group receiving either SDX or LPS, respectively). In separate experiments bronchoalveolar lavage was performed as previously described [2]. Briefly, the BALF was collected into prechilled ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA)-containing tubes and kept on ice until centrifuged. The cell pellet was resuspended in PBS, counted in a Bürker haemocytometer, and a suspension (0.3 mL total volume) of either SDX (G-200 Superfine; Pharmacia & Upjohn, Uppsala, Sweden) 5 mg/mL or LPS (Sigma Chemicals Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) 20 µg mL-1 was instilled intratracheally. The animals were euthanized at 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 2, 3, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h after induced inflammation (n=3 in each group receiving either SDX or LPS, respectively). In separate experiments bronchoalveolar lavage was performed as previously described by instillation of 3 + 2 + 2 mL phosphate buffered saline (PBS) in the right stem bronchus, distal to the upper lobe, and the procedure was repeated on the left side in groups of six animals at 3 h and 24 h after IT provocation with either SDX, LPS or PBS (control animals), respectively.

Analysis of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and lung tissues

The lavage fluid was handled as previously described [2]. Briefly, the BALF was collected into prechilled ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA)-containing tubes and kept on ice until centrifuged. The cell pellet was resuspended in PBS, counted in a Bürker haemocytometer, cytospin slides were stained using Diff-Quick® solution (Baxter Diagnostics AG, Düdingen, Switzerland) and at least 400 nonepithelial cells were determined for differential cell counts.

The BALF supernatant was stored at -70°C until analysed. ET-1 peptide content in BALF lavage was determined using an radioimmuno-linked ET-1±21 specific assay (Factor-TestX®; Genzyme Corporation, Cambridge, MA, USA).

The lungs for mRNA analyses were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for later tissue ribonucleic acid (RNA) extraction, whereas for morphological analyses the lungs were fixed in 4% formaldehyde. Blocks of tissues were embedded in paraffin, and 5 µm thick sections were prepared and stained with haematoxylin and eosin.

Northern and slot blot analysis

MRNA was extracted from homogenized lung tissue using oligo-deoxythymidin(dT)-conjugated paramagnetic beads according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Dynal A/S, Oslo, Norway). The poly A+ RNA was denatured in a solution containing 60% formamide, 7.2% formaldehyde, 24 mM Hepes, 6 mM sodium acetate and 1.2 mM EDTA, subsequently size-fractionated on a formaldehyde-agarose gel using 15 µg poly A+ RNA per lane, transferred to a Biotrans nylon membrane (ICN Biomedicals Inc., Aurora OH, USA) by capillary blotting and hybridized with radio-labelled complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA) probes for prepro-ET-1 and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). For slot blot analyses, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 µg poly A+ RNA was loaded onto the nylon membrane of a Minifold II cassette (Schleicher & Schuell, Dassel, Germany), respectively. The nylon membranes were pre-hybridized at 42°C for 3 h in a solution containing 5 × standard saline citrate (SSC), 5 × Denhardt’s solution, and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and were then hybridized with 32P-labelled cDNA probes in the same solution at 42°C for 17 h. The filters were finally washed twice in 2 × SSC with 0.1% SDS at room temperature for 5 × 5 min before washing twice with 0.1 × SSC at 60°C for 15 min. and then subjected to autoradiography. Hybridization of gels was performed at 42°C, sequentially with ET-1, TNF-α and GAPDH cDNA probes. The rat ET-1 cDNA probe was provided by T. Miyauchi and the TNF-α cDNA was a kind gift from J. Gauldie. Northern blot analysis demonstrated a single band of ~2.3 kb for the ET-1 mRNA probe, and ~1.9 kb for the TNF-α mRNA probe (fig. 1).

Fig. 1. – Northern blot analysis demonstrates a single band of ~2.3 kb for the endothelin-1 probe, and ~1.9 kb for the tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α probe. a) expression of TNF-α (mRNA) and the corresponding glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) mRNA from lipopolysaccharide-provoked lung tissues. b) the ET-1 mRNA signals from animals challenged with Sephadex and the corresponding membranes rehybridized with GAPDH complimentary deoxyribonucleic acid probe. c: control.
Autoradiography of the filters was carried out in a storage phosphor screen and analysed by densitometric scanning analysis using the ImageQuant Version 3.3 software from Molecular Dynamics Lab., (Sunnyvale, CA, USA). To estimate the ET-1 mRNA and TNF-α mRNA tissue levels, the ratios to GAPDH were determined in each sample.

Statistical analysis

All values are expressed as mean±SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using scientific statistical software (SigmaStat version 2.0; Jandel Scientific GmbH, Ekrath, Germany). The groups were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s or Tukey test for multiple comparisons when appropriate. Comparisons between groups were performed using the Mann-Whitney Rank Sum test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Cell profile, endothelin and tumour necrosis factor concentrations in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid

Three hours following LPS instillation, a significant increase in total cell counts in BALF occurred, compared to rats receiving saline (fig. 2). Twenty-four hours after LPS-induced inflammation, the total cell counts were even higher than after 3 h being increased 20-fold compared to control animals (fig. 2). After SDX-challenge there was no increase in total cell counts until 24 h later when a four-fold increase was observed.

The differential cell profile revealed a significant increase in neutrophils in both LPS and SDX-provoked animals both 3 h and 24 h after provocation, compared to control animals (fig. 3a). However, at 3 h the percentage of neutrophils was significantly higher in the LPS than in the SDX group, accounting for 78% and 9% of the leukocytes, respectively. Twenty-four hours after challenge the relative neutrophil count did not increase further in the SDX group. In the LPS group the neutrophilic response was higher, now accounting for 90% of the cells (fig. 3a). No significant increase in the ratio of eosinophils in either group was observed 3 h after provocation (fig. 3b). At 24 h, however, a profound increase in eosinophils (34%) occurred in the SDX-treated animals, while no such increase could be observed in the LPS-treated rats (fig. 3b).

Histological examination of lung tissues confirmed a diffuse neutrophilic inflammation 3 h after LPS instillation with increased intracapillary and alveolar cellularity, while at this stage no obvious signs of inflammation were observed in the SDX group (fig. 4). After 24 h, a homogenous, fulminate neutrophilic lung inflammation with oedema was seen in LPS treated animals as compared to the SDX challenged animals, where a more heterogenous, patchy, eosinophilic inflammation was seen peribronchially and surrounding the SDX particles (fig. 4).

The ET-1 concentrations in BALF rose significantly 3 h after both LPS and SDX exposure compared to saline (fig. 5a). The increase in ET-1 concentration was slightly higher in the LPS treated animals at this point of time. The observed early minor rise in BALF ET-1 remained for 24 h in the LPS provoked animals, whereas a pronounced 25-fold increase was measured in the SDX group (fig. 5a).

A marked increase in TNF-α concentration was measured in the 3 h LPS group and was significantly higher than in the SDX treated animals (fig. 5b). At 24 h the TNF-α levels were drastically reduced in the LPS treated animals, while a pronounced increase in the TNF-α concentration was observed in the SDX group, now being significantly higher than in the LPS challenged rats (fig. 5b).

Messenger ribonucleic acid analysis of lung tissues

In the LPS provoked animals, the ET-1 mRNA expression tended to be higher than in control animals at 1 h (ss), but for the other time points studied no increase was observed (figs. 1 and 6). Conversely, a marked elevation in ET-1 mRNA was measured 15 min after SDX challenge (fig. 6). In this group the maximal increase in ET-1 mRNA expression was observed at 30 min (six-fold increase), and following this the concentration gradually decreased (fig. 6).

Elevated TNF-α mRNA levels were observed 15 min after IT LPS provocation and the levels increased further, peaking 2 h after challenge (fig. 6). The TNF-α mRNA levels returned to baseline values after 6–12 h. In SDX provoked animals the TNF-α mRNA expression did not increase significantly until 48 h after challenge (fig. 6).

Discussion

In this study, evidence for ET-1 as a mediator mainly associated with an eosinophilic rather than a neutrophilic airway inflammation is provided. Interestingly, in contrast to in vitro experiments, no evidence for induction of ET-1 synthesis by high levels of TNF-α in vivo was found. The data were achieved by comparison of the inflammatory response, ET-1 and TNF-α production in the lungs, employing well characterized models of neutrophilic and eosinophilic lung inflammation.
The typical feature of LPS induced lung injury is an acute inflammatory response mainly consisting of neutrophilic granulocytes. This model has been widely applied to the study of the pathophysiology of human ARDS. In addition to a large number of neutrophils that are recruited to the lung parenchyma and into the bronchoalveolar space, pulmonary oedema develops [24]. In the current study a very early and fulminate neutrophilic cellular response in BALF and in the lung tissue was observed after IT LPS instillation. Interestingly, only a modest increase in ET-1 production was found during this inflammation. This is surprising, since ET-1 has been associated with ARDS [8, 25] and the degree of ET-1 elevation in critically ill patients has been shown to correlate with outcome [26]. A modest, but significant, rise in BALF ET-1 was observed 3 h following LPS provocation, the elevation being maintained after 24 h. However, this rise appeared in the absence of a significant increase in ET-1 mRNA production. This could possibly be due to the release of preformed ET-1 from macrophages and epithelial cells or be caused by changes in bronchial epithelial permeability. The neutrophilic inflammation could also contribute. Although neutrophils do not appear to release ET-1 per se, they can convert the precursor, big ET-1 to ET-1 by enzymatic degradation [27, 28].

Only one study has investigated the in vivo lung tissue expression of ET-1 after LPS injection [29]. In that study, KADDOURA et al. [29] demonstrated a fairly slow and minor increase in the ET-1 mRNA expression, the levels being less than two-fold increased after 6 h. However, the study was conducted in order to examine the tissue expression of ET-1 in several different organs and did not confirm the extent of any pulmonary inflammation. The current authors have previously shown that the bronchial epithelial cells are an abundant source of ET-1 production after direct challenge with SDX [1, 2]. Therefore, in the present study it seemed more appropriate to assess the ET-1 response following IT instillation of LPS than intra-peritoneal LPS injection, as employed by KADDOURA et al. [29]. Although the present study shows no significant increase in ET-1 mRNA and only a modest increase in peptide concentration after LPS instillation, a role for ET-1 in this inflammatory process cannot be ruled out.

In SDX-treated rats, both the ET-1 mRNA increase and subsequent ET-1 peptide release into BALF are substantially higher than in LPS treated animals. The SDX model is primarily one of eosinophilic inflammation, although an early transient increase of neutrophils is observed [2]. Thus, this model shows similarities to human asthma which is likewise characterized by an eosinophilic inflammatory response, preceded by neutrophilia in asthmatic reactions [30]. The considerably higher ET-1 production in the SDX model can not be attributed to the degree of inflammation, since the extent of inflammation induced by LPS, as measured by the recruitment of inflammatory cells into BALF and tissue, is more severe. These data showing high ET-1 levels in eosinophilic airway inflammation, suggest that ET-1 production is a preponderant feature of eosinophilic rather than neutrophilic inflammation. A close relationship between the release of ET-1 in BALF and the number of eosinophils in BALF following SDX challenge has been shown [1], but the increased ET-1 levels are hardly a result of eosinophilia. On the contrary, it is tempting to speculate that ET-1 is causing the eosinophilic response in this model, acting directly as a chemoattractant. This is supported by the observation of a very rapid synthesis of ET-1 mRNA in bronchial epithelial cells and macrophages following SDX-provocation, prior to the influx of inflammatory cells [2]. The current demonstration of an important role for ET-1 in eosinophilic airway inflammation is underscored by the observation of a pronounced anti-inflammatory effect of treatment with an ET receptor antagonist in IT SDX-induced inflammation [1]. This effect has later been confirmed in another study [3] that demonstrated inhibition of the infiltration of inflammatory cells into the lungs after antigen challenge in ovalbumin-sensitized mice by blockade of ET receptors.

TNF-α is considered to play a key role in several kinds of inflammatory conditions, including asthma, airway inflammation [16] and human lung allergic reactions [14]. Assessment of any interaction between ET-1 and TNF-α...
Fig. 4. – Lung tissue harvested at 3 h after Sephadex (SDX) challenge (a) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) challenge (b). Note the increased intracapillary and alveolar cellularity in (b) compared to unaffected tissue in (a). Twenty-four h after SDX instillation (c) the inflammation is more localized, whereas in LPS treated animals the inflammation is more extensive with increased oedema as shown in panel (d) (peribronchial area). Haematoxylin and eosin stained, original magnification ×100.
would therefore be of interest. The lung tissue TNF-α mRNA expression in LPS-induced inflammation was increased from 15 min to 6 h after challenge. Compared to the >1,000-fold increase in TNF-α peptide concentration in BALF at this early stage it seems likely that the main source of TNF-α peptide is pre-stored proTNF in cytoplasm. The efficiency of TNF-α generation from proTNF has indeed been shown to be increased in macrophages activated by LPS exposure [13]. The main source of TNF-α generation is likely to be the macrophages [13, 31], although neutrophils are also shown to produce TNF-α in LPS-challenged rats [10]. Interestingly, the abundant TNF-α level in BALF was transient and almost abolished 24 h after provocation. A similar sequential pattern of TNF-α response and recruitment of neutrophils into BALF has been seen in a mouse LPS model [32]. In contrast, in the SDX model the TNF-α peptide was still increasing at 24 h, being five-fold higher than in the LPS challenged animals. The TNF-α increase in BALF in this model appears secondary to the ET-1 production. The current data may suggest, firstly, that TNF-α does not trigger the ET-1 production in the LPS model, and secondly, that ET-1 could stimulate the TNF-α synthesis in the SDX model.

In vitro studies support the hypothesis that ET-1 stimulates TNF-α release [23, 33]. In vivo, systemic administration of ET-1 to anaesthetized rats resulted in a rapid (within minutes) transient increase of the TNF-α concentration in plasma [23]. Both ET-1 and TNF-α are probably important mediators in bronchial asthma. In humans, ET-1 expression in airway epithelium is increased in asthmatic patients [4]. Moreover, inhalation of ET-1 in asthmatic subjects results in a rapid-onset, dose-dependent bronchoconstriction [34]. Inhalation of TNF-α does not cause bronchoconstriction, but increases bronchial hyperresponsiveness and subsequently leads to an infiltration of neutrophils, as measured in induced sputum in humans [15]. One possibility, which requires further investigation, is that ET-1, in addition to bronchoconstriction, in fact induces production of the potent chemo-attractant TNF-α in patients with bronchial asthma.
In conclusion, intratracheal instillation of lipopolysaccharide, leading to a purely neutrophilic lung inflammation, does not induce synthesis of endothelin-1. This is in contrast to observations during an eosinophilic lung inflammation, in which a pronounced synthesis of endothelin-1 is seen. The fact that lipopolysaccharide provocation induced an abundant, transient, increase in tumour necrosis factor-α synthesis and release without an increase in endothelin-1 synthesis indicates that tumour necrosis factor-α does not induce endothelin-1 synthesis in the lungs. Taken together, endothelin-1 does not seem to be a common denominator of all kinds of lung inflammation, the current findings rather suggest a specific role of endothelin-1 in lung inflammation dominated by eosinophils.
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