Changes in airway resistance induced by nasal or oral intermittent positive pressure ventilation in normal individuals
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ABSTRACT: Nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (nIPPV) is used for the treatment of respiratory failure in patients with neuromuscular disease. The aim of the present study was to demonstrate that nIPPV may activate nose receptors, the consequence of which being reflex changes in lung resistance.

The changes in interrupter resistances (Rint) in response to nIPPV were tested before and after local anaesthesia of the nasal mucosa in normal subjects. They were compared to the Rint changes induced by oral intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (oIPPV) in the same individuals. Rint was measured during 10-min periods of nIPPV or oIPPV at a constant rate (15 L·min⁻¹), but at two different stroke volumes (0.8 and 1.2 L). Inspired temperature and relative humidity were held constant.

nIPPV with 1.2 L (17 mL·kg⁻¹) significantly increased the Rint value (+22%). This effect disappeared after nose anaesthesia or after inhalation of a cholinergic antagonist. oIPPV never changed Rint, even though the associated hypocapnia was present and more accentuated than during nIPPV. Adding CO₂ to the inspired gas during nIPPV and oIPPV trials suppressed the Rint changes.

The present study suggests the existence of a nasopulmonary bronchoconstrictor reflex elicited through the stimulation of nasal mechanoreceptors, their activity being markedly influenced by the changes in expired CO₂ concentration. Eur Respir J 1999; 13: 867–872.

Studies in monkeys, dogs, cats and rats suggest the existence of mechanoreceptors and more specifically flow receptors in the nasal mucosa [1–5]. They are activated by direct contact with the nasal mucosa (nylon fibre, gauze packing) and also by an increase in the air flow rate or pressure through the nasal cavity. Some studies in animals [3, 5] and humans [6, 7] have demonstrated the existence of thermoreceptors, namely cold-receptors, in the nose. The afferent fibres connected to mechano- or thermosensitive nasal endings are found in the trigeminal nerve. Their activation elicites bronchomotor responses. In healthy subjects [6], and mainly in patients with bronchial hyperreactivity [7], the nasal inhalation of cold air induces a reflex increase in airway resistance (Raw). This acts as a protective mechanism that limits the entry of cold air into the lower airways. However, data in the literature on the bronchomotor effects of the mechanical nasal stimulation are less uniform. A nasopulmonary bronchoconstrictor reflex in response to nasal irritation had already been described in humans by Kaufman and coworkers [8, 9]. These studies showed the existence of a reflex originating in the nose, transmitted by the trigeminal nerve (afferent pathway) and terminating, via the vagus nerve (efferent pathway), in the bronchi. Ishizuka and Usui [10] showed in normal subjects that mechanical nasal stimulation by insertion of a sheet of gauze induced an immediate increase in Raw in some individuals, whereas it lowered the baseline Raw value in others. By contrast, Tomori and Widdicombe [2] reported a bronchodilator response to mechanical stimulation of the nasal mucosa with a thin nylon fibre in cats. However, the effect adapted rapidly, with the bronchomotor response decreasing in magnitude during the 20-s period of mechanical stimulation.

Mechanical ventilation through a nasal mask (nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (nIPPV)) is used for treatment of respiratory failure in patients with neuromuscular disease [11]. Strohl and Redline [12] showed that positive pressure applied to the nose opens the upper airways and prevents obstructive apnoea. However, few studies were conducted to analyse the possible effects of nIPPV on nasal mechanosensitive units and the consequent nasopulmonary reflexes. In the literature two recent works were found by Jouineaux et al. [13, 14] in normal awake and sleeping subjects, who reported that nIPPV resulted in vocal cord adduction. However, the authors mostly attributed the upper airway response to a direct effect of hypocapnia and not to a reflex initiated by the activation of nose receptors.

The aim of the present study, conducted during eupnoic nasal ventilation in normal subjects, was to analyse the changes in interrupter resistance (Rint) in response to nIPPV. In order to test the hypothesis that nIPPV may elicit nasopulmonary reflexes, tests were repeated after anaesthesia of the nasal mucosa and also during intermittent positive-pressure breathing through the mouth (oral intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (oIPPV)), a
condition that bypassed nose receptors. The evidence of a bronchomotor response and not a laryngeal response to nIPPV, was given by the suppression of $R_{\text{aw}}$ changes after oral inhalation of a cholinergic antagonist.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Twelve healthy subjects (five females) volunteered to participate in the present study. Their mean ± SD age was 36 ± 10 yrs. None had any antecedents or symptoms of asthma and rhinitis. Table 1 shows that their pulmonary function was normal and they had no airway hyperreactivity to carbachol. A dose–response curve was obtained for each individual by plotting the value of $R_{\text{aw}}$, measured in a body pressure plethysmograph (Masterscreen Body; Erich Jaeger, Würzburg, Germany) by the method of DUBOIS et al. [15], against cumulative doses of carbachol in the range 200–1,800 µg. None of the subjects had a two-fold increase in $R_{\text{aw}}$ when the carbachol dose was <1,200 µg, and in five subjects, no $R_{\text{aw}}$ variation could be detected at the highest dose. As mandated by the Institutional Human Subjects Committee, the subjects were fully informed of all procedures, and written consent was obtained, but they remained naive as to the purpose of the study.

**Measurements of respiratory variables**

Measurements were always performed on subjects who were comfortably seated. In all cases, they inhaled room air via a two-way valve (dead space: 5 mL), which avoided contamination of inspired air by expired gas. During nasal breathing, the subjects wore a mask (nasal continuous positive airway pressure mask; Respirronics, Nantes, France), dead space=140 mL) firmly adjusted to the nose. During oral breathing, they were connected to a rigid mouth piece and wore a noseclip.

**Interrupter system resistance.** This method has already been used in spontaneously breathing subjects [16] as well as in anaesthetized and paralysed humans [17, 18]. Subjects breathed through a mouthpiece. The interrupter valve and a grid pneumotachograph, all of identical diameters (24 mm), were placed in series. Flow interruptions were performed with a computer-controlled motor driven throttle valve (Masterscreen; Erich Jaeger) that occluded the airway opening in 4 ms. Mouth pressure was measured with a piezoelectric sensor (response time = 0.1 ms). The total dead space was 25 mL. A mid-expiratory occlusion, lasting 100 ms, was performed every second breath during a breathing period at a 15 breaths-min⁻¹ frequency given by a metronome. As already described by PHIAOOG et al. [16], the linearly extrapolated occlusion pressure was computed from the post occlusion signal. The resistance of the grid pneumotachograph (0.2 cmH₂O⋅L⁻¹⋅s) was subtracted. During the control condition (before each nIPPV or oIPPV trial), $R_{\text{aw}}$ was measured as the average of 10 separate expiratory occlusions. However, the $R_{\text{int}}$ value measured when the subject was disconnected from the ventilator during nIPPV or oIPPV trials was the average of two successive occlusions. As clearly shown by PHIAOOG et al. [16], the interrupter technique had a poorer sensitivity for detecting bronchoconstriction than the standard techniques that use an oesophageal balloon or a body plethysmograph. However, this technique was more convenient for measuring the airway response during periods of nIPPV and required minimal subject cooperation.

**Gas temperature.** Temperature was measured using a type T thermocouple (67% response in 10 ms; Bioblock Scientific, Strasbourg, France), inserted in the outlet of the circuit just before the two-way valve, allowing the expiratory gas to be separated from the inspired air.

**Relative humidity.** A thermohygrometer (time constant: 3 s) (Quick Novo; Bioblock Scientific) was placed in the inspiratory line of the circuit. Relative humidity (RH) values were read on a digital voltmeter. In all cases, the inspired gas temperature and relative humidity remained stable during periods of spontaneous as well as mechanical ventilation (inspired temperature=23±1°C; RH=34±1%).

**Table 1.** – Morphological characteristics, pulmonary function and airway response to carbachol inhalation of subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age yrs</th>
<th>Weight kg</th>
<th>Height cm</th>
<th>VC L BTPS</th>
<th>FEV1/VC %</th>
<th>RV/TLC %</th>
<th>$R_{\text{aw}}$ cmH₂O⋅L⁻¹⋅s⁻¹</th>
<th>$R_{\text{int}}$ cmH₂O⋅L⁻¹⋅s⁻¹</th>
<th>Sensitivity to carbachol µg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>&gt;1800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: male; F: female; VC: vital capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in one second; RV: residual volume; TLC: total lung capacity; $R_{\text{aw}}$: central airway resistance (plethysmographic measurement); $R_{\text{int}}$: interrupter resistance; sensitivity to carbachol: dose doubling baseline $R_{\text{aw}}$ value.
Inlet pressure. Inlet pressure was measured from a port in the nasal mask or in the mouthpiece with an electronic manometer (±20 cmH2O, Schlumberger, Velizy, France) calibrated with an alcohol-filled manometer.

Changes in thoracic and abdominal volumes. A Respi-trace (Ambulatory Monitoring, New York, USA) calibrated with the isovolume technique was used to measure ribcage and abdomen volume changes in response to nIPPV and oIPPV.

End-tidal carbon dioxide fraction. A rapid infra-red CO2 analyser (Capnograph, Giodart, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) measured the expired carbon dioxide fraction (FETCO2) during mechanical ventilation. Only end-tidal carbon dioxide fraction (FETCO2) values were considered. Output signals of Respi-trace, FETCO2, and nose (or mouth) pressure were simultaneously recorded on a polygraph (ES1000, Gould, Ballainvilliers, France).

Mechanical ventilation. This was delivered by a volume respirator through the nasal mask or the mouthpiece. An Eole 2NA (Saime Electronique, Savigny le Temple, France) was used. The respirator frequency was fixed at 15 breaths-min⁻¹, but the delivered tidal volume was started randomly at either 0.8 or 1.2 L (11 and 17 mL/kg⁻¹, respectively). nIPPV and oIPPV were delivered for 10-min periods, during which Rint measurements were performed at 2.5, 5 and 10 min. A 10-min period of spontaneous room air breathing separated two consecutive periods of mechanical ventilation. Rint was measured again at the end of the 10-min period, and this constituted the control value for the second nIPPV or oIPPV trial. During nIPPV and oIPPV the subjects were disconnected from the ventilator and breathed through the device used to measure Rint. Only two consecutive Rint values were averaged. Indeed, during the setting of the final protocol, it was observed that nIPPV induced Rint changes persisted only for ~20 s after the ventilator had been disconnected. In five individuals (subjects 1–4 and subject 8), mechanical ventilation was also performed during periods during which FETCO2 was maintained constant by adding pure CO2 to the admission filter of the respirator to increase FETCO2 without changing the delivered ventilation.

Local anaesthesia of the nasal mucosa. In four subjects (1–3 and 7), the consequences of nIPPV with a 1.2 L tidal volume on Rint were studied before and after local anaesthesia of the nasal mucosa using nebulization plus instillation of 1 mL of a 5% xylocaine solution. Baseline Rint values were measured 5 min after anaesthesia, and then the nIPPV trial begun immediately. No adjustment of FETCO2 value was performed.

Oral inhalation of a cholinergic antagonist. In six subjects (1–3, 5, 6 and 10) nIPPV challenges with 1.2 L tidal volume were repeated 30 min after oral inhalation of a cholinergic antagonist (40 μg of ipratropium bromide; Atrovent, Boehringer Ingelheim, Paris, France). As JOUNIEUX et al. [13] considered that nIPPV induced glottis narrowing, this method was used to determine whether or not laryngeal constriction participated in the nIPPV induced changes in Rint.

Statistical analysis. After assessment of data normality (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test), a one-way repeated-measures analysis of variance was used, which allowed us to test for differences in the effects of a series of experimental conditions on the same group of subjects, by examining the changes in each individual. To determine whether Rint values measured during periods of nasal or oral positive pressure breathing differed significantly from the corresponding control values measured during the room air breathing periods preceding the tests, Dunnett’s method was used, as a post-ANOVA multiple comparison test, when ANOVA indicated the existence of a significant difference (p<0.05) within these experimental conditions.

Results

Changes in amplitude of breaths, end-expiratory volumes and FETCO2 during mechanical ventilation

Table 2 shows the mean values of nasal and mouth inspiratory pressures, ribcage and abdominal tidal volumes and the changes in end-expiratory volumes given by the Respi-trace just prior to measuring Rint. No significant variations were found. Thus, Rint measurements during nIPPV and oIPPV were always performed at a constant lung volume. FETCO2 fell significantly during nIPPV, and this effect was proportional to the stroke volume (fig. 1). oIPPV accentuated the fall in FETCO2.
Rint clearly shows that nIPPV significantly increased PHAGOO (table 1) were in the range of those measured by the fall in FET,CO2 not induce any significant change in Rvolumes was inverted, as shown in figure 1, oIPPV did when the order of presentation of 0.8- and 1.2-L stroke
Rint changes were never significant. VT: tidal volume.

Discussion

The present study shows in normal subjects that nIPPV with a relatively high but commonly used stroke volume (17 mL·kg⁻¹) induced a modest (+22%) but significant and persistent increase in Rint. By contrast, Rint did not vary significantly during oIPPV, despite the fact that the reduction in expired CO2 concentration was even more accentuated in this situation compared to nIPPV. The response to nIPPV was abolished after correction of the associated hypocapnia. It also disappeared after local anaesthesia of the nose as well as after oral inhalation of a cholinergic antagonist.

These data indicate that the bronchoconstrictor response cannot be solely attributed to the fall in expired CO2 concentration, which is well-documented during hyperventilation [19, 20]. Indeed, oIPPV elicited a marked fall in FET,CO2 but never increased the Rint values. Secondly, the nasal anaesthesia abolished the nIPPV-induced Rint changes, though hypocapnia was present in this experimental condition. In addition, the nIPPV-induced increase in Rint did not result from any vocal cord adduction because the response was abolished or markedly reduced by the cholinergic antagonist, a substance that did not affect the

**Fig. 1.** Interrupter resistance (Rint; ○) measured during a) nasal or b) oral eupnoic breathing of room air (C), then during 10-min periods of nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (nIPPV; a) or oral intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (oIPPV; b) with a tidal volume (VT) at 0.8 or 1.2 L, and after 10 min of spontaneous room air breathing (C). Values are mean±SEM (n = 7). *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001. Rint values differing significantly from baseline values prior to nIPPV or oIPPV.

**Fig. 2.** In five individuals, interrupter resistance (Rint; ○) measurements were performed in the control (C) condition then during nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (nIPPV; a) or oral intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (oIPPV; b) as end-tidal carbon dioxide fraction (FET,CO2) was maintained constant. Values are mean±SEM. Rint changes were never significant. VT: tidal volume.
striated laryngeal muscles. Thus, the nIPPV-induced $R_{\text{int}}$ increase was attributed to a nasopulmonary bronchoconstrictor reflex, which seems to be potentiated by the associated fall in expired CO$_2$ concentration.

In the literature, only one animal observation was found, which showed that hypercapnia attenuated the response of nasal trigeminal cold receptors [21]. Tsubone [3] observed in rats that trigeminal thermoreceptors, which are markedly activated by cold air in the temperature range 0–15°C, also operate as flow receptors. Although the effects of hypocapnia on the trigeminal afferents are not documented, it is tempting to speculate that hypocapnia may exert reverse effects from those of hypercapnia and potentiate the response of nasal flow-sensitive endings to mechanical stimulation. The present observation that the nIPPV-induced $R_{\text{int}}$ increase persisted for four breaths (the 16-s period needed for two successive interruptions) after the subject was disconnected from the ventilator, favours the existence of residual changes in the CO$_2$ content in the nasal mucosa.

Another explanation for the reflex bronchomotor response to nIPPV may be the activation of cold and/or osmoreceptors in the nose. Indeed, increasing the air flow stream through the nose, a condition realized during 1.2-L nIPPV trials, accentuates both the convective heat loss and the water loss in the nasal mucosa. In fact, during nIPPV, the subjects in the present study sometimes reported the sensation of cold or fresh air breathing during nIPPV and also of drying of the oropharyngeal cavity. However, cooling or drying the nasal mucosa in healthy subjects as well as in asthmatic patients [6, 7] elicited a reflex bronchospasm, irrespective of the expired CO$_2$ concentration. Thus, the present observation of the nIPPV-induced $R_{\text{int}}$ increase may not simply result from the stimulation of nose receptors detecting the changes in respiratory heat loss.

These results clearly showed that the airway response to nose stimulation involved the constriction of the airway smooth muscle and not the sole activation of adductor laryngeal muscles, as reported by Jounieaux et al. [13, 14]. This corroborates other results obtained in laryngectomized patients by Nolte and Berger [22], who found a nasopulmonary bronchoconstrictor reflex in response to cold stimulus (freon) applied to the nasal mucosa. The same response was found in one animal study on tracheotomized cats, which established that nose stimulation by irritants (direct touching, chemicals) elicited an increase in $R_{\text{int}}$ [2].

The present data suggest that this method of mechanical ventilation, often used in patients with neuromuscular diseases, and sometimes in subjects presenting with a sleep apnoea syndrome, may alter pulmonary mechanics. It is suspect that a modest increase in ventilatory loading during nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation with a high stroke volume, instead of the reduced mechanical load, which is supposed to exist during assisted ventilation, may be the cause. It must be underlined that the present data were obtained in healthy subjects, and thus, the authors' have no idea of the magnitude of this nasopulmonary reflex in subjects suffering from asthma or rhinitis.

Fig. 3. - Change (Δ) in interrupter resistance ($R_{\text{int}}$) values during nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation (nIPPV) challenge in control condition versus Δ$R_{\text{int}}$ values during nIPPV after a) nasal anaesthesia or b) inhalation of the cholinergic antagonist (atropinic agent). — — : identity lines.
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