Search for agents causing atypical pneumonia in HIV-positive patients by inhibitor-controlled PCR assays
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ABSTRACT: Pneumonia is one of the most frequent complications in acquired immunodeficiency syndrome-patients with Pneumocystis carinii as the leading cause. The true prevalence of atypical agents such as Chlamydia pneumoniae, C. trachomatis, Legionella pneumophila and Mycoplasma pneumoniae in this population of patients is unknown as the currently used method for diagnosing these agents is measurement of antibody levels. However, this method is of limited value in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive patients who may have a compromised antibody response.

To evaluate the prevalence of Chlamydia spp., Legionella spp. and M. pneumoniae in HIV-infected patients with pulmonary disease, this retrospective study has applied inhibitor-controlled polymerase chain reaction analyses on 103 bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluids representing 103 episodes of pneumonia in 83 HIV-positive patients.

L. pneumophila was detected in 1% of the BAL fluids and M. pneumoniae was found as a coexisting pathogen in 2% of the samples. Chlamydia spp. could not be detected in any of the BAL fluids. By culture and staining methods 106 other microorganisms were detected with P. carinii and Streptococcus pneumoniae as the most frequently occurring.

Pneumonia due to Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumophila or Mycoplasma pneumoniae seems to be rare in Danish human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients, but might be considered as a possible cause in cases of treatment failure.


Pneumonia is the most frequent complication in acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) patients, with Pneumocystis carinii as the leading cause being involved in 20–80% of cases [1–3]. The empirical antibiotic treatment in AIDS patients with pneumonia is therefore directed against P. carinii and consists of trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole (TMP-SMX). Although high-doses of TMP-SMX have been shown to be effective in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive patients infected with Legionella pneumophila [4], this treatment is, however, not optimal for other agents causing atypical pneumonia such as Chlamydia spp. and Mycoplasma pneumoniae. The recommended drugs for treatment of these micro-organisms are primarily macrolides or tetracyclines. Thus, an exact diagnosis of organisms causing atypical pneumonia is needed in order to establish the optimal antibiotic treatment in AIDS patients with pneumonia, especially since the ratio of agents causing atypical pneumonia/P. carinii pneumonia (PCP) may increase as a consequence of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) which may reduce the number of PCP cases.

A diagnosis of Chlamydia spp., L. pneumophila or M. pneumoniae pneumonia cannot be obtained on a clinical or radiographical basis [5] and direct detection of the micro-organisms is associated with great difficulties [6, 7]. The antibody response is compromised in AIDS patients and measurements of antibody levels is therefore of limited value [8, 9]. Chlamydia pneumoniae has been detected in 8–10% of bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluids from HIV-positive patients [10, 11]. In BAL fluids or sputum from HIV-positive patients with pulmonary infections, L. pneumophila has been infrequently detected, but when it is detected it is frequently as a coexisting pathogen or nosocomial infection [8, 12]. M. pneumoniae is rare in adults as well as children with HIV-infection [13, 14]. The prevalence of atypical agents causing pneumonia in HIV-infected patients is unknown as no evaluated routine methods for diagnosing these agents in HIV-positive patients currently exists.

In a retrospective study, an inhibitor-controlled polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analyses for Chlamydia spp., Legionella spp. and M. pneumoniae has been applied on consecutively obtained BAL fluids from HIV-infected patients with pneumonia in order to evaluate the prevalence of these agents.

Materials and methods

Patient samples

BAL fluids from HIV-positive patients undergoing fiberoptic bronchoscopy for suspected pneumonia between March 1991 to December 1995 at the Department of Infectious Diseases, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus,
Denmark were included. One hundred and three BAL fluids representing 103 episodes of pneumonia were obtained from 83 patients. The median age of the patients was 35 yrs (range: 27–62 yrs). The median CD4 count was 47 × 10⁶·L⁻¹ (range: 1–297). Thirty-eight per cent of the patients received azidothymidine (AZT), 7% dideoxynucleosine (DDI) and the remaining 55% did not receive any type of antivirals. Twenty patients underwent BAL more than once. The BAL was performed in accordance with standard techniques by instillation of three aliquots of 60 mL 0.9% sodium chloride, preheated to 37°C, into the radio graphically abnormal region of the lung. In cases with or without diffuse infiltrates, lavage was performed in the middle lobe and/or lingula. The BAL fluids were immediately stored at -40–-80°C until analysed.

Sample preparation for M. pneumoniae, chlamydia and legionella PCR

At the Mycoplasm Laboratory, Statens Serum Institut, the samples were prepared as follows: 300 μL of the BAL fluid was centrifuged at 30,000 × g for 15 min. Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) from the pellet was released by thorough vortexing with 300 μL 20% (w/v) Chelex 100 slurry (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) [15] followed by boiling of the suspension for 10 min.

At the Research Laboratory, Department of Infectious Diseases, Aarhus University Hospital, the samples were prepared as follows: the BAL fluid was centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 30 min. The supernatant was removed and DNA was extracted by use of the Amplicor HVC reverse transcription (RT)-PCR specimen preparation kit (Roche Diagnostics, Branchburg, NJ, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

General PCR conditions

All PCR assays were used with a "Hot Start" protocol [16] performed by adding 10 μL 1 × PCR reaction buffer (withheld from the master mix) containing the appropriate amount of Taq DNA polymerase to the tubes after heating to 80°C.

An internal processing control (IPC) consisting of a 616 base pairs (bp) phage lambda DNA flanked by the PCR primers was used in order to detect inhibition of the PCR. If inhibition was observed by lack of amplification of the IPC, smaller volumes of the pretreated sample were tested in the PCR, however, at least 5 μL sample was tested, otherwise the assay was deemed to be inhibited. Strict precautions were taken throughout all procedures in order to minimize the risk of PCR product carryover as previously described [17]. All surfaces in the PCR set-up laboratory were regularly wiped with a 4% Diversol solution containing hypochlorite [18] and exposed to ultraviolet (UV)-light between sessions to destroy contaminating DNA.

Chlamydia PCR

The pretreated sample (10 μL) was subjected to a PCR using the primer pair 1A/1B [19] and corresponding mixed primers matching the 16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) sequence of other Chlamydia spp. A "touchdown" procedure [20] was used with a 1°C decrement of the annealing temperature for the first 10 cycles. A total of 50 cycles were performed. Amplicons were visualized by staining with ethidium bromide after agarose gel electrophoresis. Amplified material was labelled during PCR with digoxigenin-11-deoxyuridine triphosphate (dUTP) (Boehringer-Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany) at a molar ratio to unlabelled dUTP of 1:312. In order to lower the detection limit and to differentiate between the three chlamydial species, a liquid hybridization assay using biotinylated species specific probes was used. This assay is consistently capable of detecting <0.2 inclusion forming units of all three chlamydial species.

The presence of C. pneumoniae and C. trachomatis was further assessed by use of nested PCR for detection of C. pneumoniae and C. trachomatis as previously described [21, 22].

M. pneumoniae PCR

The pretreated sample (25 μL) was tested with primers P1-178/P1-331 [23] deduced from the M. pneumoniae P1 adhesin gene in a 40 cycle two-step PCR. Amplicons were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis. Positive results were confirmed with a second primer pair detecting another part of the M. pneumoniae P1 gene. Both assays had a detection limit of <5–10 genome copies.

Legionella PCR

For the detection of Legionella spp. two PCR assays were used. A legionella genus-specific assay amplified a fragment of the legionella 16S rRNA gene [24], whereas a L. pneumophila specific sequence of the mip gene was amplified with primers PT69, PT70 and PT181 available from the EnviroAmp Legionella PCR Amplification Kit (Perkin Elmer Cetus, Foster City, CA, USA). Pretreated sample (10 μL) was tested in each of the two assays. The cycling conditions were identical to those described for the chlamydia PCR. Amplicons were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis. Both PCR assays had a detection limit of <5–10 colony-forming units (cfu).

M. pneumoniae, chlamydia and legionella PCR was performed at the Mycoplasma Laboratory, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark. Nested-PCR for detection of C. pneumoniae and C. trachomatis was performed at the Research Laboratory, Department of Infectious Diseases, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark.

Other microbiological examinations

Cultures for bacteria inclusive of Legionella spp. and fungi in BAL fluid were performed at the Department of Clinical Microbiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark. Cultures of M. pneumoniae and Chlamydia spp. were not performed.

The detection of P. carinii was performed by use of immunofluorescence or Gomori methenamine silver stain at the Laboratory of Parasitology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark and the Department of Pathology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark.
Results

Detection of Chlamydia species

Inhibition of these methods was found in three of 103 BAL fluid samples representing 103 episodes of pneumonia. Of the 100 eligible BAL fluid samples no Chlamydia spp. could be detected by either of the three chlamydia PCR methods.

Detection of Legionella species

No samples showed inhibition in the legionella PCR. Of the 103 samples, L. pneumophila was detected in only one (1%). This sample was obtained from a male patient with a CD4 count of $6 \times 10^3\,\text{L}^{-1}$. This patient received DDI as an antiviral. At the time of admission the patient was treated with ampicillin and itraconazole due to chronic sinusitis and oral candidiasis. The patient had high fever (39.9°C), cough but no clinical signs of pneumonia or radiographic infiltrates. From the BAL fluid of this patient Candida albicans and cytomegalovirus (CMV; 500 virus particles-mL$^{-1}$) were cultured. The culture of legionella was negative and P. carinii was not detected, but owing to the suspicion that P. carinii could be the aetiological agent, treatment with TMP-SMX and prednisone was introduced, resulting in some recovery. After one month, however, the patient still had fever and an infiltrate had appeared. Ceftazidime was given without effect and 7 weeks later the patient died. No autopsy was performed. The detection of L. pneumophila by PCR was not performed until after the patient had died.

Detection of M. pneumoniae

Inhibition of the M. pneumoniae PCR was found in eight of the samples, but after testing of a lower sample volume, all samples could be evaluated. Of the 103 samples, M. pneumoniae was detected in two (2%) male patients with CD4 counts of $50 \times 10^3\,\text{L}^{-1}$ and $32 \times 10^3\,\text{L}^{-1}$, respectively. Both of the patients received AZT as antivirals. Before admission the first patient was treated with penicillin without any effect. The patient had fever (39.6°C), cough and was slightly hypoxic and a chest radiograph showed small-spotted, bilateral infiltrates. In addition to M. pneumoniae both P. carinii, Staphylococcus aureus and CMV were detected in the BAL fluid. Treatment with high-dose TMP-SMX was given and within 12 days the patient recovered. The other patient had had fever, cough and shortness of breath for the last three weeks prior to the admission. At the time of admission the symptoms were still present but there was no stetoscopical signs of pneumonia or radiographic infiltrates. S. pneumoniae was also isolated from the BAL fluid and treatment with roxithromycin was started and the patient recovered. Thus, M. pneumoniae was present as a coexisting pathogen in two of 103 samples. Both cases occurred in a period of no epidemics in Denmark (fig. 1).

Detection of other agents

A total of 106 organisms were detected in 103 BAL fluids. The BAL fluids were not examined for viruses except for CMV in a few cases. In 23 of the BAL fluids more than one organism were detected. In 35 cases (34%) no organisms were found. The prevalence of various organisms are shown in table 1.

Discussion

C. pneumoniae has been detected by PCR and culture in 8–10% of the BAL fluids from HIV positive patients [10, 11]. However, the authors were unable to confirm these findings, although two different C. pneumoniae PCR methods were used to demonstrate Chlamydia spp. in 100 BAL fluids from HIV-positive patients with signs of lower respiratory tract infection. It is difficult to explain these differences, but these studies do not support the assumption that C. pneumoniae should be a common cause of pneumonia in Danish HIV-positive patients or that C. pneumoniae should colonize the respiratory tract in these

---

Fig. 1. – Quarterly number of cold agglutinin and anti-Mycoplasma pneumoniae complement fixation test positive sera received at Statens Serum Institut in the period 1986–1997. These data represent serum specimens from most parts of Denmark since testing has been centralized. In each year the four bars represent the four quarters of the year. Arrows indicate the occurrence of the two cases of M. pneumoniae.
patients. Furthermore, neither C. psittaci nor C. trachomatis could be detected in this population. Former studies have shown the prevalence of antibodies to C. pneumoniae in HIV-1 infected subjects to be significantly higher than in HIV-negative subjects [25, 26], but interpretation of antibody titres in immunocompromised patients may be difficult.

Despite being a well-known intracellular pathogen in immunocompromised patients, L. pneumophila has infrequently been found in HIV-infected patients. BLATT et al. [4] identified eight episodes (1.7%) of L. pneumophila pneumonia in a population of 401 HIV-positive patients. Four of these patients had a coexisting infection with P. carinii. This was also demonstrated by BANGSBORG et al. [8], who by direct immunofluorescence found 4 of 161 BAL fluids (2.5%) from HIV-infected patients to be positive for Legionella spp. In two of these BAL fluids coexisting pathogens were also demonstrated. The findings of the present study are in accordance with the above mentioned studies, as L. pneumophila was detected in only one patient (1%). The BAL fluid from this patient also contained C. albicans and CMV. The patient was treated with TMP-SMX and ceftazidime, but did not receive any specific legionella treatment failure.

M. pneumoniae was only found in the present study in two patients (2%), both of whom had symptoms of lower respiratory tract infection. In both cases M. pneumoniae was present as a coexisting pathogen. One patient was treated with TMP-SMX and the other with a macrolide. Both patients recovered. As it appears from figure 1, Denmark had an M. pneumoniae epidemic in the last half of 1991, i.e. in the beginning of the period of the study, but both these cases were detected in periods without epidemics and thus, the findings were not expected. WITT et al. [27] found M. pneumoniae in 1 of 21 episodes of community-acquired pneumonia in AIDS patients while ÖSTERMANN et al. [13] were unable to detect M. pneumoniae in transbronchial biopsies from 30 HIV-positive patients with pneumonia. Among nonimmunocompromised patients the highest incidence of M. pneumoniae is found among schoolchildren [14], but in children with AIDS relatively few cases of M. pneumoniae pneumonia have been described [28]. Thus, M. pneumoniae does not seem to play a major role in lower respiratory tract infections in either children or adults with HIV-infection.

The patient group in the present study was profoundly immunosuppressed with a median CD4 count below 50 × 10^6 L^{-1} and the range of pathogens detected in the BAL fluids were typical of those causing lower respiratory tract disease in advanced HIV infection. Coexisting pathogens were found in 22% of the BAL fluids and, in accordance with a study by MUNDY et al. [29], no aetiological agent was identified in 34% of the BAL fluids. The inability to detect organisms in spite of strong evidence of infection may be due to antibiotic treatment prior to and during the BAL procedure, it may be due to viral infections, as such infections are not uncommon in immunocompromised patients [30], or it may be due to insensitive methods in the detection of the agents. In the immunocompromised patients this may be particularly crucial, as atypical agents such as Legionella spp., C. pneumoniae and M. pneumoniae are commonly diagnosed by serological methods which are less reliable in this patient population. In these cases a rapid diagnosis can be obtained by means of PCR.

In conclusion, pneumonia due to Chlamydia spp., Legionella spp. or Mycoplasma pneumoniae seems to be rare in Danish human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients, but might be considered as a possible cause in cases of treatment failure.
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