The haemodynamic response to exercise in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: assessment by impedance cardiography


ABSTRACT: This study aimed to determine the differences in haemodynamic responses to a standard incremental exercise test between outpatients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and age-matched controls and to discover the relationship between severity of airflow obstruction and exercise haemodynamics in COPD.

Twenty-two male patients with COPD (forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1)/vital capacity (VC) was <50% predicted) and 20 age-matched male controls performed an incremental exercise test (10 W·min⁻¹) with ventilatory function and changes in stroke volume (ΔSV) and cardiac output (ΔCO) measured by means of electrical impedance cardiography (EIC).

Submaximal ΔSV and ΔCO were lower in COPD patients. Peak exercise ΔSV were equal in patients and controls (128±33 versus 129±29%, p=0.98), whereas peak ΔCO was lower in patients (COPD versus controls: 232±71 versus 289±54%, p<0.005). In COPD patients, FEV1 (% pred) was significantly correlated to ΔSV at all submaximal exercise intensities, to peak exercise ΔSV and to peak exercise ΔCO. FEV1/VC (%) pred was significantly correlated to ΔSV at 30 and 60 W.

In conclusion, in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease an aberrant haemodynamic response to exercise was found, especially in patients with severe airflow obstruction. This aberrant response is related to the degree of airflow obstruction and may limit exercise performance in patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.


Subjects and methods

Study subjects

Twenty-two male COPD patients and 20 age-matched male healthy control subjects participated in this study. Anthropometric and pulmonary function data are summarized in table 1. Selection of patients was based on the following criteria: 1) clinical diagnosis of COPD; 2) forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) <80% predicted (reference values from [9]); and 3) oxyhaemoglobin saturation (Sao2, measured with a pulse oximeter) >90% at rest. All patients were outpatients, who were stable at the time of investigation and used inhaled bronchodilators and corticosteroids. All control subjects had a normal pulmonary function. Additional inclusion criteria for both groups were: 1) no clinical evidence of cardiovascular disease; 2) no cardiovascular medication; 3) no pathology possibly interfering with the ability to perform exercise (e.g. diseases of the locomotor or central nervous system and malignancy); 4) normal resting electrocardiogram (ECG); and 5) no regular participation in endurance exercise training, defined as more than 30 min of such activity more than three times a week. The investigation was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Academic Hospital VU, Amsterdam, and informed consent was obtained from all participants.
Study design

After evaluation of pulmonary function, all subjects performed a symptom-limited exercise test during which standard gas-exchange measurements were combined with haemodynamic measurements by means of EIC.

Methods

Pulmonary function was evaluated by an assessment of static and dynamic lung volumes (Masterlab; Jaeger, Würzburg, Germany) and measured values were compared to the predicted values derived from [9]. Stroke volume measurement was performed by means of EIC (IPG-104 impedance Mini-lab; RJL Systems, Detroit, MI, USA, and Sanofi Santé, Maassluis, The Netherlands). This technique relates changes in thoracic impedance to changes in thoracic blood volume. A constant sinusoidal alternating current of 0.8 mA and 60 kHz is introduced through one spot electrode (Red Dot; 3M, St Paul, MN, USA) on the forehead and four electrically connected electrodes on the lower abdomen (fig. 1). Changes in voltage are detected between one pair of electrodes in the midaxillary lines at the base of the neck and another at a fixed distance \( L = 0.17 \times \text{height} \) below. This electrode configuration has been developed and validated in the authors' own laboratory. It is a modification of the Kubicek electrode configuration [10], is easier to apply and gives comparable results [11].

The signal that is thus acquired is depicted in figure 2. The A-wave of the \( \frac{dZ}{dt} \) recording follows the P-wave of the ECG and corresponds to atrial systole. The B-point represents the opening of the aortic valves. The C-wave appears after the QRS-complex and reflects the rate of blood flow ejection from the left ventricle. The C-wave terminates at the X-point, the closure of the aortic valves. The time between B and X is the left ventricular ejection time (LVET). The O-wave occurs after the T-wave of the ECG and corresponds to rapid ventricular filling during diastole. Stroke volume (SV) is calculated according to the formula of Kubicek [10]:

\[
SV = \rho \times \left( \frac{L}{Z_0} \right)^2 \times \frac{dZ}{dt_{\text{max}}} \times \text{LVET}
\]

where \( \rho \) = resistivity of blood (calculated as 53.2 \( \text{g} / \text{ml} \times \text{hematocrit} \) [12]), \( Z_0 \) = baseline thoracic impedance and \( \frac{dZ}{dt_{\text{max}}} \) = the maximum change in impedance during systole. Measurements are made continuously and processed by a computer. SV is calculated with a computer-derived averaged signal of 20 consecutive heart beats. Cardiac output (CO) is calculated as SV \times \text{cardiac frequency (fC)}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>COPD</th>
<th>% pred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age yrs</td>
<td>53.4±4.14</td>
<td>51.3±13.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight kg</td>
<td>79.3±13.0</td>
<td>78.8±11.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC L</td>
<td>5.21±0.70</td>
<td>123±18</td>
<td>4.08±0.83</td>
<td>94±17-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 L</td>
<td>3.82±0.42</td>
<td>110±13</td>
<td>2.07±0.83</td>
<td>56±17-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/VC %</td>
<td>74±9</td>
<td>95±12</td>
<td>50±16</td>
<td>64±21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wmax W</td>
<td>217±40</td>
<td>144±47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{O2\text{max}} ) L·min(^{-1})·m(^{-2})</td>
<td>1.39±0.27</td>
<td>0.94±0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are shown as mean±SD. VC: vital capacity; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in one second; Wmax: workload at peak exercise intensity; \( V_{O2\text{max}} \): oxygen consumption at peak exercise intensity; % pred: predicted values, derived from Quanjer et al. [9]. \(^{-}p<0.0001\), significant difference from controls.

Study design

After evaluation of pulmonary function, all subjects performed a symptom-limited exercise test during which standard gas-exchange measurements were combined with haemodynamic measurements by means of EIC.
The validity of SV measurements by means of EIC has been extensively shown in healthy subjects and cardiac patients [13, 14]. Comparisons have been made with a wide range of invasive techniques, e.g., the direct Fick method [15, 16], dye-dilution [17, 18] and with the technique of CO₂ rebreathing [19, 20]. Correlation coefficients between SV measurement using EIC and the reference method ranged 0.87–0.94. During strenuous exercise, however, motion and respiratory artefacts can become a large technological impediment. With recently developed computer averaging techniques random artefacts are removed and the signal-to-noise ratio is increased [21–23]. Further improvement is obtained by replacing band electrodes by spot electrodes [11, 23].

As a result of the invasive nature of reference methods, validation during exercise has been restricted to patients for whom invasive diagnostic methods were already indicated because of suspected cardiac disease. Recently, Poxon [6] showed accurate EIC measurements of CO in a group of children with cystic fibrosis. Using the method described in this paper, we reported in exercising COPD patients a mean difference between EIC and the reference method of 0.5 mL with a limit of agreement (2 s) of 20 mL for measurements during exercise [7] and an intraobserver and interobserver variability of <5% [8]. Nevertheless, as the validation of EIC in COPD is limited, our analyses in this study were based on changes in SV (ΔSV) and CO (ΔCO), (both expressed as percentages of the resting value) rather than on absolute values. In COPD, cardiac reserve is reduced, whereas resting cardiac performance is normal [5]. Therefore, differences in ΔSV and ΔCO between normal subjects and COPD patients are equally important as differences in absolute values.

The exercise test was performed on a bicycle ergometer (Ergoline 900; Blitz, Germany) in the upright position. The exercise protocol consisted of 3 min of unloaded pedalling followed by a stepwise load increase of 10 W·min⁻¹ until maximal tolerable load was reached (symptom limited). Measurements of VO₂, carbon dioxide output (V'CO₂), minute ventilation (V'E) and tidal volume (V'T) were made breath-by-breath using an open system (Oxycon Gamma; Mijnhardt, Bunnik, The Netherlands). Calculations were made of ventilatory equivalents for oxygen (EqO₂=V'E/V'O₂), ventilatory equivalents for carbon dioxide (EqCO₂=V'E/V'CO₂) and oxygen pulse (O₂ pulse=V'O₂/fC). Haemoglobin oxygen saturation was recorded continuously by pulse-oximetry (Datascop; Datex, Helsinki, Finland). Electrocardiographic measurements (Hellige, Freiburg, Germany) were performed continuously and blood pressure was determined by sphygmomanometry every 3 min.

Statistical analyses

Analysis of the patterns of change in the haemodynamic variables during exercise. Repeated measurements analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to detect an overall exercise effect in fC, ΔSV and ΔCO. Post hoc testing comprised unpaired Student's t-tests. Differences in resting and peak exercise values between the two groups were also analysed by unpaired Student's t-tests. In the case of nonparametric distributions of variables, the Friedman test was used instead of repeated measurements ANOVA, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test instead of the paired Student's t-test and the Mann-Whitney test instead of the unpaired Student's t-test. Whenever multiple comparisons were made, a Bonferroni correction was applied to the significance level.

Analysis of the influence of disease severity (reflected by pulmonary function) on the haemodynamic response. Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated between FEV₁ (% pred) and FEV₁/VC (% pred) on the one hand, and ΔSV and ΔCO at different exercise intensities on the other hand.

Assessment of the relationship of the haemodynamic variables ΔSV and ΔCO to peak exercise performance. Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated between peak VO₂ and ΔSV and ΔCO at different exercise intensities.

Results

The two groups were comparable with respect to age, height and weight, while significant differences were found in pulmonary function, peak exercise intensity (Wmax) and peak VO₂ (table 1). Of all subjects studied, only two COPD patients had SaO₂ <90% towards the end of exercise. Differences in group means were not significant.

Haemodynamic responses

fC was higher in COPD patients than in controls at 90 W and at peak exercise (fig. 3). ΔSV was significantly less in patients than in controls at 30, 60 and 90 W. At maximum exercise, ΔSV decreased compared to submaximal exercise in controls, whereas in patients ΔSV remained constant. Therefore, no differences in ΔSV were found between the two groups at this level (COPD versus controls: 128±33 versus 129±29%, fig. 4). At all submaximal, as well as at peak exercise intensity, ΔCO was higher in controls than in COPD patients (peak exercise, COPD versus controls: 232±71 versus 289±54%, p<0.005, fig. 5). No differences were found in blood pressure responses.
Relationship between haemodynamics and peak VO₂

ΔSV was not correlated to peak VO₂ at any exercise intensity. Peak exercise ΔCO was significantly correlated to peak VO₂ (r=0.66, p<0.001). No correlations between submaximal exercise ΔCO and peak VO₂ were found.

Discussion

A clear difference was found in the haemodynamic response to exercise between patients and controls. The increase in SV in COPD during exercise was diminished, which was insufficiently compensated for by an augmentation in f/c. Therefore, the increase in CO was reduced in patients. At peak exercise, no differences in ΔSV were found. This was due to a decrease in SV towards the end of exercise in controls, which is a normal exercise response in healthy elderly subjects [24, 25]. With the onset of exercise, venous return is increased, as well as left ventricular end-diastolic volume (EDV). SV only rises in the initial phase of exercise until V'O₂ is approximately 40–60% of peak V'O₂. Because of the increase in f/c, the rise in EDV is limited or EDV may even decrease. When SV has to be maintained or increased, end-systolic volume (ESV) has to be diminished [26]. The magnitude to which these changes in EDV and ESV can take place depends on total blood volume, heart size and muscle mass, contractility and neuroendocrine function [27]. These factors can all be influenced by deconditioning and training [28, 29], ageing processes [30] and cardiovascular disease. In the COPD patients in this study, left ventricular filling probably did not become critical towards the end of exercise, simply because the test was terminated by dyspnoea, in advance of significant demands on haemodynamic function.

In the group of COPD patients in this study, the degree of airflow limitation was significantly correlated to submaximal ΔSV and peak exercise ΔCO. This suggests that with advancing airflow limitation, cardiocirculatory performance is reduced. Several pathological mechanisms are responsible. During exercise in patients with moderate-to-severe COPD, loss of vascular surface area and alveolar hypoxia (which induces vasoconstriction) may both result in an elevation of mean pulmonary artery pressure [4], thereby increasing right ventricular afterload [31–33]. Large intrathoracic pressure swings augment right ventricular afterload even further [34, 35]. The increased right ventricular afterload may lead to right ventricular distension, which in turn distorts the geometry of the interventricular septum. Thus, left ventricular pumping activity may also be impeded [36]. In addition, the inspiratory drop in pleural pressure, which is larger in COPD, increases left ventricular transmural pressure [37]. Finally, in most patients with mild airflow obstruction, deconditioning,
which has mainly cardiovascular effects, is an important factor limiting exercise [38–40].

In the present study, patients with clinical or ECG evidence of cardiovascular disease were excluded. In daily practice, coexisting cardiac and pulmonary pathology will frequently be encountered, and it may be difficult to point out the most important factor in exercise limitation without direct information about cardiac function. Several authors have reported concurrent coronary artery disease in COPD patients [41, 42]. Moreover, in the situation of increased right ventricular wall stress as a result of pulmonary hypertension, myocardial perfusion will be reduced while oxygen need is augmented [43].

In normal subjects, exercise is considered to be limited mainly by the central circulation [27]. In COPD, ventilatory factors are considered critical in exercise performance. Accordingly, no correlations were found between submaximal $\Delta SV$ and $\Delta CO$. The positive correlation between peak exercise $\Delta CO$ and peak $V'\text{O}_2$ was probably due to the fact that a better ventilatory response allows a higher peak $f_c$ and hence a higher peak $\Delta CO$. Interestingly, after subgroup analysis of those COPD patients with a FEV1/VC <55% of predicted, a positive correlation was found between submaximal $SV$ and peak $V'\text{O}_2$ ($r=0.64$, $p<0.01$ at 60 W). Therefore, in some patients with severe COPD, exercise performance may be limited by cardiovascular factors.

In conclusion, in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, an aberrant haemodynamic performance can be assessed by means of electrical impedance cardiography. This aberrant response is related to disease severity and may limit exercise performance in severe airflow obstruction.
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