Bronchodilator response to salbutamol after chronic dosing with salmeterol or placebo
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ABSTRACT: It has been hypothesized that regular inhaled \( \beta_2 \)-agonist therapy causes desensitization of \( \beta_2 \)-receptors. The aim of this study was to define whether \( \beta_2 \)-receptor desensitization occurs after treatment with the long-acting \( \beta_2 \)-agonist salmeterol, assessed by measuring the bronchodilator response to cumulative repeated doses of inhaled salbutamol before and after treatment.

Forty nine stable adult patients with asthma were randomized to receive either salmeterol 50 \( \mu \)g b.d. or placebo b.d. from an Accuhaler® for 4 weeks after an initial 2 week run-in period without \( \beta_2 \)-agonists. All patients were receiving inhaled corticosteroids. Bronchodilator responsiveness to cumulative repeated doses of inhaled salbutamol were measured before and 12 and 36 h after the last dose of study treatment. The primary efficacy endpoint was the peak forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV\(_1\)) response before and after treatment.

There were no significant differences between the two treatment groups in the absolute peak FEV\(_1\) or maximal peak expiratory flow (PEF) results 12 or 36 h after the last dose of study treatment. Significantly higher clinic lung function and diary card parameters were noted in the salmeterol group when compared to the placebo-treated patients, demonstrating the beneficial effects of regular salmeterol.

Regular salmeterol usage did not lead to reduced efficacy of usual or higher than usual doses of salbutamol.

(Allen and Hanburys, Uxbridge, Middlesex, UK) correctly and have a forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) of 50–90% of the predicted value. Patients also had to demonstrate a reversibility in FEV1 of at least 15% following inhalation of salbutamol, having ensured bronchodilator was withheld prior to the clinic visit.

Patients were excluded if they had unstable asthma and had been hospitalized or received oral, depot or parenteral corticosteroids in the preceding 4 weeks, or had suffered a respiratory tract infection in the preceding 4 weeks. Patients were also excluded if they had a known hypersensitivity to inhaled steroids, had received salmeterol in the 2 weeks prior to the study or were smokers of >20 cigarettes-day⁻¹ and had a smoking history of >20 pack-years.

Eligible patients entered a 2 week run-in period during which they were issued with ipratropium to use on an as required basis. Patients were not permitted to take oral xanthines, short-acting or long-acting β₂-agonists or oral/parenteral corticosteroids during the study period. Urine samples were taken before each dose-response curve to assay for the presence of salbutamol to check patient compliance for this requirement, and the dose counter on the Accuhaler® was used to assess compliance with study treatment. Patients were given a mini-Wright peak flow meter to take home for recording of morning and evening peak expiratory flow (PEF) (best of three blows) on a daily record card (DRC). Symptoms of asthma and the use of rescue ipratropium were also recorded on the DRC.

After a 2 week run-in period, patients returned to the clinic after having withheld their ipratropium for 8 h. Patients were randomized to receive study medication if they had completed the DRC satisfactorily and had taken ipratropium on at least 4 of the last 7 days of the run-in period at a dose <240 µg·day⁻¹.

**Dose response curves**

A salbutamol dose response curve was performed in eligible patients at Visit 2 using a Sensor Medics Vmax20 spirometer (Yorbahinda, CA, USA). FEV1, forced expiratory flow at 25–75% of vital capacity (FEF25–75%) and PEF were measured three times, prior to and 15 min after salbutamol inhalation, with the best value being recorded. Cumulative doubling doses of salbutamol (delivered from a metered dose inhaler and Volumatic®, Allen and Hanburys) were used, starting with a dose of 200 µg increasing to 3,200 µg. This salbutamol dose response curve was repeated 12 and 36 h after the last dose of study medication.

**Study medication**

Eligible patients were randomized to receive either salmeterol 50 µg b.d. or placebo b.d. administered by the Accuhaler® for a 4 week treatment period. Patients were instructed to take one puff from the study inhaler each morning and evening.

**Study design**

Patients attended the clinic on six scheduled occasions during the study: a screening visit (Visit 1); a randomization visit at the end of the 2 week run-in period (Visit 2); two visits at 2 week intervals (Visits 3, 4); a visit 36 h after the last dose of study medication (Visit 5); and an optional 1 week follow-up visit (Visit 6). Visit 4 was scheduled at least 12 h after the last dose of study medication. Lung function was measured at each clinic visit.

The study was approved by the local ethics committee and written informed consent was obtained from each patient before their participation in the study.

**Analysis**

All analyses were performed on the intent-to-treat population. Patients who had data from at least 1 day of the run-in and treatment periods were included. Data obtained from previous studies showed an estimated standard deviation of peak FEV1 of 0.25 L. To detect a difference between the two treatments of 0.2 L with 80% power when tested at the 5% significance level it was calculated that a total of 48 patients was required.

The primary efficacy variable, peak FEV1, was the maximum FEV1 value measured in each patient during the cumulative salbutamol dose-response curve, irrespective of the dose used. For clinic visit lung function measurements, the changes in peak FEV1, FEF25–75 and PEF from Visit 2 to Visits 3 and 4 were determined using analysis of covariance with terms for treatment and baseline included in the model. Data from the diary card was analysed at Visit 4 only. The PEF was analysed by covariance analysis using terms for baseline and treatment in the model. For all other diary card variables, the Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to assess the differences between treatment groups in the change from baseline (Visit 2) to Visit 4.

Adverse events were summarized by treatment group and compared using the chi-square test.

**Results**

A total of 67 patients entered the study of whom 49 were randomized to receive treatment. The main reasons for withdrawal before randomization were failure to fulfil the entry criteria and failure to return to the clinic. Two patients were withdrawn following randomization, one in each treatment group. The treatment groups were well matched with respect to their demographic data except for age, with the salmeterol group having a higher median age (table 1).

**Salbutamol dose response**

Analysis of the primary efficacy variable, the peak FEV1 recorded during the salbutamol dose response, irrespective of the dose used when tested at the 5% significance level it was calculated that a total of 48 patients was required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. – Patient demographic characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients randomized n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex M/F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline FEV1 L·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 % pred</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as absolute number, median (range) or mean±SEM. M: males; F: females; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in one second; % pred: percentage predicted.
of dose, showed that there was no significant difference between the changes in peak FEV1 after 4 weeks treatment and 36 h after the last dose of study medication in both treatment groups when compared to baseline (table 2). In addition, there was no between-group difference in the change in peak dose from pretreatment levels at either visit (p=0.088 and p=0.067, respectively).

There was also no significant difference between the change in peak PEF in the two treatment groups at either visit following treatment (table 2).

The FEV1 results for the cumulative salbutamol dose response are shown in figure 1 and the PEF results in figure 2. The FEV1 results prior to the start of treatment (Visit 2), at the end of 4 weeks of treatment and 36 h after the end of treatment are shown. There was a small nonsignificant difference in FEV1 between the treatment groups before the start of treatment, (salmeterol 2.29±0.54 L; placebo 2.4±0.64 L) which was taken into account during the analysis, with the Visit 2 data being included as a covariate in the statistical analysis.

Baseline FEV1 at Visit 3 (after 2 weeks of treatment) showed a significantly greater improvement in the salmeterol group (p=0.039) when compared to values at Visit 2 (pretreatment). This did not reach significance after 4 weeks of treatment (p=0.55). The PEF was also significantly different between the two treatment groups after 2 weeks of treatment (p=0.012), but did not reach significance after 4 weeks of treatment (p=0.65).

Diary card data

Diary card data in the salmeterol-treated group showed a significant increase in mean morning and evening PEF after 4 weeks of treatment when compared to the placebo group (table 3). A difference in mean morning PEF of 32.7±6.8 L·min⁻¹ was observed between the salmeterol and placebo groups, with both groups having a similar pre-treatment baseline value (p=0.001) (table 3). Other diary card variables, including daytime symptom scores and percentage symptom-free days, were significantly lower in the salmeterol-treated patients when compared to placebo. At baseline the daytime symptom score was 1 in both groups, which decreased to 0 in the salmeterol group and increased to 1.5 in the placebo group (p=0.004). The percentage of symptom free days increased from a baseline value of 30.3% to 69.4% in the salmeterol-treated group, compared to 19.8% and 29.4%, respectively in the placebo-treated group (p=0.002). Differences were also noted in the night-time scores which did not quite reach significance. Similarly, the median daytime usage of ipratropium was also reduced in the salmeterol group when compared to placebo, although this did not reach significance.

Table 2. – Salbutamol dose response: peak forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and peak expiratory flow (PEF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Peak FEV1 L</th>
<th>Peak PEF L·s⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visit 4</td>
<td>Visit 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmeterol</td>
<td>3.01±0.05</td>
<td>2.98±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>3.05±0.03</td>
<td>3.04±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±SEM, adjusted for baseline. The p-values were calculated using analysis of covariance using Visit 2 data as baseline. Visit 4 was performed 12 h after the last dose of study medication and Visit 5 36 h after the last dose of study medication.

Fig. 1. – Salbutamol dose response, measuring forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1): a) before treatment with either salmeterol 50 µg b.d. or placebo for 4 weeks; b) after 4 weeks of treatment; and c) 36 h after the end of treatment. Values are presented as mean±SEM. –– : salmeterol; ●●●●● : placebo.
Safety

There were no serious adverse events reported in either treatment group during treatment. The incidence of nonserious adverse events was similar between the two groups. Fifty six per cent of patients reported an event during the treatment period in the salmeterol-treated group, compared to 50% in the placebo group. The most commonly reported events were headache and rhinitis, with a similar incidence between the groups.

Discussion

In this study, patients receiving salmeterol (50 \( \mu \text{g b.d.} \)) for 4 weeks showed a reduction in asthma symptoms (diary card daytime scores) and an improvement in peak flow measurements, manifested by an increase of >30 L·min\(^{-1}\) in the mean morning values when compared to the placebo-treated group. In addition, there was no change in the salbutamol dose-response relationship after chronic dosing with salmeterol.

These results are in keeping with those obtained from two previous studies [8, 9], both of which also showed no change in salbutamol response after chronic treatment with salmeterol. However, both of these were open studies with no control group. In one of the studies [8], salmeterol treatment lasted just 2 weeks, whilst in the other [9], the duration of treatment was 12 months. In both of these studies, patients continued using short acting \( \beta_{2} \)-agonists as rescue medication. The design of the present study was similar to that used by Grove and Lipworth [11], in that a 2 week run-in period without \( \beta_{2} \)-agonists was used, all patients were receiving inhaled corticosteroids and patients received treatment for 4 weeks. The present study differed in two important respects: 1) a parallel group design was used instead of a crossover study; and 2) the subjects were moderate rather than severe asthmatics. The average (SEM) FEV\(_{1}\) values at randomization for the present study were 73.9 (10.6%) and 73.4 (11.3%) for the salmeterol and placebo groups, respectively, whilst in the study of Grove and Lipworth [11] the mean value at randomization for the whole group was 64 (2.7%). Finally, in the present study the maximum dose of concomitant inhaled steroid was 1,000 \( \mu \text{g} \) of beclomethasone as opposed to 2,400 \( \mu \text{g} \).

The results of the two studies are very similar, but interpretation is different. Grove and Lipworth [11] expressed the results of the dose-response curves as the \( \delta \) response. This method of interpreting the results has since been criticized [12–14]. We have expressed our results as absolute values of lung function rather than the change from baseline as, clinically, the former are more relevant for the patient, i.e. the value of lung function (or alternatively the percentage of their personal best) that they achieve at each dose of salbutamol on the dose response curve. In this study we demonstrated no significant difference between treatment groups for the peak (or the gradient) of the dose response curves using analysis of covariance (allowing for baseline adjustments). Re-analysis of the data of Grove and Lipworth [11] using absolute values also shows no change in salb-

**Table 3. – Diary card measurements of peak expiratory flow (PEF)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Morning PEF L·min(^{-1})</th>
<th>Evening PEF L·min(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visit 2</td>
<td>Visit 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmeterol</td>
<td>368±70</td>
<td>395±70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>370±90</td>
<td>361±84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±SEM, adjusted for baseline. Difference between the treatment means and the p-values at Visit 4 were calculated using analysis of covariance. Visit 2 was the baseline value. Visit 4 data was collected at the end of 4 weeks of treatment.

![Salbutamol dose response, measuring peak expiratory flow (PEF): a) before treatment with either salmeterol 50 µg b.d. or placebo for 4 weeks; b) after 4 weeks of treatment; and c) 36 h after the end of treatment. Values are presented as mean±SEM. – – – – : salmeterol; ● ● ● ● : placebo.](image-url)
SALBUTAMOL DRC FOLLOWING SALMETEROL TREATMENT

utamol response after salmeterol and in particular the peak FEV1 achieved with salbutamol is unaltered after salmeterol treatment.

One way in which the results of the two studies do appear to differ is the shape of the dose-response curves. After 4 weeks of treatment with either salmeterol or placebo, over 50% of the improvement in both FEV1 and PEF following salbutamol was achieved after the first dose (200 µg), and a plateau of bronchodilation was achieved in both patient groups. This is in contrast to the study of Grove and Lipworth [11] where, despite a dose of 3,200 µg, a plateau was not reached with either FEV1 or PEF in either treatment group. This may, in some way, reflect the different patient groups included in the two studies, as alluded to above. It might, therefore, be argued that in this study any degree of subsensitivity may have been missed, as the steep part of the dose-response curve was achieved with a single dose. More information may have been gained if we had started with doses of 25, 50 and 100 µg salbutamol, to explore the lower parts of the curve. However, in practical terms, doses of 25 and 50 µg are not commercially available, and it is reassuring that most of a patient’s bronchodilation can be achieved with quite modest doses of rescue β2-agonist.

Although this and other studies have failed to show tolerance to the bronchodilator response (to either salmeterol itself or salbutamol) following long-term use of salmeterol, there appears to be more evidence suggesting that tolerance to the anticholinergic effects of salmeterol does occur after chronic use. Previous studies have demonstrated a loss of protection against methacholine [15, 16] and exercise [17], but interestingly, not to adenosine monophosphate (AMP) [18], another indirect constriction agent. However, loss of bronchoprotection to AMP is seen with short acting β2-agonists [19]. Furthermore, the tolerance that occurs to the effect of methacholine is not prevented by inhaled corticosteroids [20, 21].

These findings, along with the results from the present study suggest that different mechanisms are involved for the tachyphylaxis that occurs to bronchodilator and bronchoconstrictor protector effects of β2-receptors. The exact reasons remain unclear, although it has been suggested that the β2-receptors situated on mast cells are more resistant to the effects of tolerance than those in smooth muscle cells, which may give rise to the apparent difference in clinical effect.

Further studies may help to clarify the difference in tolerance seen with bronchodilator and the protection against bronchoconstrictor agents. Furthermore, studying subpopulations with different polymorphisms of β2-receptors [22], may demonstrate a differential phenotypic response. However, in conclusion, we found no evidence of a desensitization of β2-receptors (manifested as a reduced bronchodilator response to repeat doses of salbutamol) following 4 weeks treatment of patients with mild/moderate asthma with inhaled salmeterol.
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