HISTORICAL NOTE

The birth and development of the forced expiratory manoeuvre: a tribute to Robert Tiffeneau (1910–1961)

J.C. Yernault

The birth and development of the forced expiratory manoeuvre was first described by Tiffeneau and Pinelli working in Paris (France), in December 1947, who proposed measurement of the "pulmonary capacity usable on exercise" (capacité pulmonaire utilisable à l'effort) (CPUE), the maximal volume expelled in one second after a deep inspiration. It was intended to replace the measurement of the maximum breathing capacity, a difficult and tiring manoeuvre. A similar approach was later followed in the USA by Gaensler, who proposed the "timed vital capacity" in 1951. The name CPUE was changed to "volume expiratoire maximum seconde" (VEMS) by a group of European experts, who met in Paris on February 13, 1954, whereas the expression "forced expiratory volume" was adopted by the British Thoracic Society in 1957. Despite numerous attempts to examine the forced expiration in a different manner, the VEMS and/or forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) remain, after 50 yrs the main variables used daily by the respiratory physician. Although primarily a pharmacologist, Robert Tiffeneau (1910–1961) undoubtedly deserves to figure among the pioneers of respiratory medicine.

normal (mean 14.9 kPa (112 mmHg)) in respiratory diseases.

While researchers from the other side of the Atlantic became interested in the volume-time relationships, French scientists were trying to find a substitute for the maximum breathing capacity, which they disliked. The reasons that they did not appreciate this manoeuvre were summarized by Cara and Sadoul [16]: the directly measured maximal ventilation is tiring and cannot be repeated more than three to five times during the same session, it is difficult to measure correctly and needs a certain degree of training. They could have added that in asthmatics it can induce an attack of asthma, as already shown by Héreve in 1946 [17].

Although Strohl, professor of physics at the Paris Faculty of Medicine, may have been interested in forced respiratory manoeuvres [16], the first publication on the topic dates back to Tiffeneau and Pinelli [1]. They had noticed that, during exercise, both the circulating air (now called the tidal volume (V'T)) and the respiratory frequency (fR) tend to increase, the latter being around 30 breaths·min⁻¹. They therefore proposed to measure the maximal volume that can be expired in a space of time corresponding to the usual duration of an expiratory phase during exercise. The CPUE was born and was proposed to be equal to the largest volume that can be expired during one second. For this purpose the recording spirometer (a Benedict type in this case) had to be connected to a fast rolling cylinder with a speed of >2 to 3 cm·s⁻¹. Tiffeneau and Pinelli [1] proposed to repeat the CPUE measurements immediately after aerosol administration of either a bronchodilator (a 0.5–1% albuterol solution) or a bronchoconstrictor (a 1% acetylcholine solution). At that time, bronchomotor tests were already commonly used, but only the VC could be measured. This was still the case for Curry in 1948, who used histamine in asthmatics, and noted that some respiratory distress could occur without change in VC, but with a flattened expiratory phase. Apparently ignoring the work of Gross [15], Hamburger et al. [19] proposed to evaluate in asthmatics "the air circulation through the bronchi during expiration" by relating the time of a full rapid expiration to the volume of air expelled (Q), calculating the Q/T ratio they called the mean expiratory flow ("débit expiratoire moyen").

Thanks to their wide use of bronchomotor tests, Tiffeneau and Pinelli [20] soon realized that variations in bronchial calibre exert a major effect on CPUE; an index was now available that could characterize the respiratory diseases affecting the lungs. It is remarkable that, at the same time, Baldwin et al. [21] without referring to Tiffeneau’s work, proposed their classification, still in common use, of ventilatory insufficiency into two categories, one characterized by "narrowing or partial obstruction of the pulmonary airways", and the other by "restriction in pulmonary expansion and contraction".

Tiffeneau and Pinelli [20] recognized three phases during a CPUE manoeuvre:

1) The first phase, at the very beginning of expiration (it is erroneously written inspiration instead of expiration in the original text!), characterized by a rapid increase in speed which reaches its maximum in a very short time (a few hundredths of a second).

2) The second phase having seemingly a uniform speed, expressing itself as a straight line on a spirogram.

3) The third phase, where the speed decreases progressively and tends to zero.

They finally observed that during the recording of a spiographic graph the end-expiratory level remains stable, but that it increases during induced bronchial constriction, which results both in an increase in functional residual capacity and residual volume.

Oliver and Drutel [22], also analysing the forced expiratory curve, considered it to consist of two segments. Since they regarded the first segment as a straight line, they concluded that the initial flow was both constant and maximum. They proposed to call this initial straight segment the "volume maximum utilisable" (VMU) (maximum volume that can be used) or "vitesse maxima expiratoire" (VME) (maximal expiratory speed). They also proposed to calculate a coefficient of ventilation (kV = VMU/CV, where CV is capacité vitale (vital capacity)). However, their proposal was not very successful and Drutel himself soon measured the CPUE, as reported in his thesis [23]. However, he first considered the relationship between the CPUE and CV and calculated the CV/CPUE ratio. Cara and Sadoul challenged his views (Sadoul P, personal communication), so that he accepted returning to the CPUE/ CV ratio he actually described with Tiffeneau et al. [24].

Tiffeneau's group made several important observations, which are still largely valid today:

1) The CPUE increases with growth in children, but in adults decreases with ageing; it is 15–30% lower in females than in males.

2) The CPUE/CV ratio ranges 76–92% in normals.

3) The expiratory phase can be altered by bronchitis, influenza, or even the common cold.

4) The severity of ventilatory disorders can be classified according to the CPUE level (table 1).

To facilitate the CPUE reading on the spiographic graph, Tiffeneau et al. [24] recommended the use of a rectangular ruler (1.5 cm wide, which corresponded to 1 s), graduated in litres.

Despite the brilliant observations described above, the contribution of French workers remained ignored for a time in the USA. When a handful of North American physiologists met in Atlanta City on April 19, 1950, to standardize the definitions and symbols used in respiratory physiology under the chairmanship of Pappenheimer [25], they did not mention the forced expiratory manoeuvre, and nor did Corson [26], in his review paper. In their book on emphysema, Segal and Delany [27] noticed that the timed VC did change with treatment, but they ignored Tiffeneau’s work. In fact, from their 176 references, there were only two in German, one in Spanish and one in French.

Table 1. – Classification of the degree of impairment according to the pulmonary capacity (CPUE) level (from Tiffeneau et al. [24])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPUE mL</th>
<th>Degree of impairment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;700</td>
<td>Very severe (très important)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700–1000</td>
<td>Severe (important)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000–1500</td>
<td>Moderate (moyen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500–2000</td>
<td>Slight (léger)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000–2500</td>
<td>Very slight (très léger)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In the USA, it is to Gaensler's credit that he devoted much work to the analysis of forced expiratory and maximal ventilation. Following the capacity ratio described by Moberg et al. [12], he proposed to calculate the air velocity index (AVI) by dividing the percentage predicted MBC by the percentage predicted VC (AVI = MBC %/pred/VC % pred), and made measurements in 435 patients [28, 29]. In 23 normal subjects, he found an AVI above 1 (1–1.36, mean 1.07), and similar results after a lobectomy. However, in 36 asthmatics the AVI was reduced (from 0.19 to 0.88). He also noted that the slope of the expiratory tracings was so steep during the first seconds that an accurate measurement of the volume of air could not be obtained. He interposed a spigot between the mouth and the spirometer, which was turned after 3 (or 6) s [30]. By modifying the wheel of the spirometer, he was then able to precisely introduce a time element into the ordinary VC test, and so the timed VC was born [31].

Meanwhile in Europe, Tiffeneau's work was creating much interest, both inside and outside France [32–34]. Among others, workers in Lorraine started measuring lung function in working coal miners [36–38]; for compensation purposes, it was important to make sure that the examined subject was co-operating, and Sadoul and Guillet [36] recommended a close examination of the spirographic graphs instead of a simple reading on a spirometer. To perform a correct manoeuvre, Cara [39] specified that a subject must breathe out as quickly, as strongly and as completely as possible; he also insisted on applying correction factors to barometric pressure and temperature. At that time, he called the forced expiratory manoeuvre the "debit moyen de Tiffeneau"; (Tiffeneau's mean flow) and expressed it in litres per second. The index was multiplied by 30 to derive an indirect estimation of the maximal ventilation.

Herbes and Van Nieen [33] correctly observed that the first part of expiration was nearly straight until a critical point C was reached, after which the expiration slowed down. Since the duration of this first part was usually very close to 1 s, they accepted Tiffeneau's proposal and called this volume the "usable part of VC" and expressed it as a percentage of VC. They insisted that there might be differences between apparatus, an observation taken up by Bernstein [40] who clearly emphasized the possible mechanical limitation of the recording spirometers.

The timed VC became progressively popular, with Segal et al. [41] proposing a ruler with parallel lines, 1 cm apart, to facilitate the reading of the spirographic graphs, and Kennedy and Stock [42], as well as Girard et al. [43], showing a correlation between the timed VC and the maximal voluntary ventilation. An important ob-servation was that of Leslie [44] who found that in emphysema the expiratory VC was sometimes lower than the inspiratory VC. The door was now open for one of the still unresolved controversies in spirometry: to which measured VC should the forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) be related?

Tiffeneau and Dubert [45, 46] realized that the difference between inspiratory and expiratory flows, already noticeable in healthy subjects, became even more pronounced in cases of emphysema. They advocated recording a whole maximum respiratory cycle, the maximal inspiration following the expiration. Their proposal was followed by Bernstein and Kazantiks [47]. They also tried to evaluate the calibre of the airways, drawing the "bronchometric chart" [48, 49]; their subjects were brea-thing out through variable calibre diaphragms, until an alteration of the tracing occurred, indicating a reduction in expiratory flow. For any given moment of the expiration, when this phenomenon was detected, they hypothesized that the calibre of the intrathoratic airways was equal to the calibre of the diaphragm. Their method did not stimulate much enthusiasm!

Dubert and Demouy [50] were the first to report a progressive reduction in the CPUE/CV ratio in adults with ageing; quoting the values obtained by Boura [51] in his thesis, they found that the ratio decreased from a mean value of 84.5% in the 20–30 yrs age group, to 74% in older subjects (50–65 yrs). After the age of 65 yrs, the mean value given by Girard et al. [43] was 68.5%. Bécqard and Dubert [52] proposed a minimum normal value of 75% in adolescents and 70% in adults. Grandprey [53] emphasized that there is a wide range of normal values for the CPUE, and that a drop of at least 20% of the mean predicted should be present before deciding that a value is abnormal. It is amazing that his proposal is still frequently followed today, even though it has been repeatedly shown that such an attitude is not supported by hard data [54].

While the "timing of the VC" was progressively overcoming the MBC, much controversy remained about the most convenient time measurement; advocates of 0.75 s [55] or 2 s [56, 57] could still be found. Sider et al. [58] suggested that the 1 s VC was less sensitive than the MBC to demonstrate bronchodilator changes. Fowler et al. [59, 60] using pneumotachograms, provided convincing evidence that there was no initial period of sustained constant flow rate, except occasionally in normal persons during somewhat submaximal effort. The acceleration during the first several tenths of a second was, in fact, so large, that an accurate recording of this portion of the trace with a spirometer was considered uncertain. Therefore, they advocated measurement of the average flow over the middle of a rapid maximal expiration: the maximal mid-expiratory flow rate was born [61]. These authors were among the first US scientists to acknowledge the contribution of Tiffeneau and his co-workers. Candier and Combe [62] also proposed to ignore the first 200 mL of expiration and measured the maximum expiratory flow rate between 200 and 1,200 mL of expiration.

Two more series of observations merit special emphasis. Firstly, Schiller and Lowell [63] found in asthma and emphysema that a slow VC is larger than a fast one. Secondly, Franklin et al. [64] observed this apparent paradox: in patients with obstructive disease a maximal effort sometimes yields a slightly slower expiratory rate than a submaximal effort. In Europe, Sadoul [65] emphasized that forced expiratory manoeuvres should be performed "en soupléesse" (flexible). Franklin et al. [64] also pointed out that, in asthma, physical findings are often misleading and that many patients are poor judges of their condition, and they recommended the spirogram as a useful objective method. As Sadoul and Guillet [36] before them, they confirmed the superiority of tracings over timers, so that malingering could be easily detected.
On February 13, 1954, a group of French investigators (table 2), inspired by Denolin*, met in the Hôpital Saint-Antoine in Paris, with a view to establish a common nomenclature. This group, chaired by Sadoul (personal communication) went to a lot of troubles to come to an agreement, but ultimately a new term won: the CPUE was replaced by the VEMS, the "volume expiratoire maximum (ou maximal) seconde", and the VEMS/CV × 100 ratio was recommended. These recommendations, which were accepted on the 15 September 1955 by a further group of experts (table 3), were published by Brille and Cara [66]. The term VEMS became progressively accepted, except by some physiologists, like Dejours [67], who continued to call the volume expired over the first second of expiration the maximal expiratory flow (DEM in French) and to express it in litres per second. In their classical monograph, also published in 1955, Courtois et al. [68], proposed to express the "1 s VC" as a percentage of the total volume or the predicted VC; their proposal was, however, not successful.

Despite all these efforts, famous investigators such as Courtois et al. [69], Richards [70, 71], Drale et al. [72], Hirsch et al. and co-workers [73, 74], Woodruff et al. [75], Frank et al. [76], and Bate and co-workers [77, 78] did not yet mention the forced expiration, whereas some timing of the VC was recommended by the American Medical Association [79] and the 3 s VC was still receiving some interest [80]. Pemberton and Flanagan [81] emphasized how difficult it may be to separate healthy from diseased subjects. They stated that a 1 s timed VC/VC ratio below 65% was not necessarily a proof of respiratory disease if not corroborated by other evidence, and that higher values were sometimes found in people "diagnosed on other grounds as having obstructive pulmonary disease".

The year 1957 was another important step in the history of lung function testing. Firstly, ten years after his first work on the subject, Tiffeneau [82] published his monograph "Examen pulmonaire de l'asthmatique", which summarizes all his work not only on the "VEMS", but also on bronchial provocation. Those interested in the subject can still find important information there; Tiffeneau pointed out that the VEMS absolute level gives information about the degree of ventilatory defect, whereas the "VEMS/CV" ratio gives information about its origin. Secondly, the British Thoracic Society adopted recommendations on terminology for measurements of ventilatory capacity, prepared mainly by 30 British workers, called together by Hugh Jones in December 1956 [83]. They objected to the term "timed VC", because it did not indicate the nature of expiration, and replaced it with the expression "forced expiratory volume over a stated interval of time", and hence the FEV1 and the FEV0.25–0.75. They accepted the FEV1 rather than the FEV0.75 because it seemed more appropriate for clinical purposes. To calculate a ratio, both the forced VC and the vital capacity (always measured during expiration as done by Hutchinson) were judged acceptable.

*: Prof. Henri Denolin was, with Prof. Paul Sadoul, among the founders and most active members of the former Societas Europea Physiologiae Clinicae Respiratoriae (SEPCR). Throughout his life, he has stimulated exchanges between researchers, mainly in the field of pulmonary circulation and rehabilitation, all around the world. It is interesting to see him already stimulating the Paris meeting. The author of this Historical Note is indebted to this great figure for introducing him to the world of respiratory research.

---

Table 2. – List of the participants at the 1954 Hôpital Saint-Antoine meeting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>B. Ranson-Bitker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M. Traemé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. Brille</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C. Hatzfeld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M. Cara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. Dejours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. Drutel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R. Tiffeneau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R. Laurent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A. Pinolli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M. Puisvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G. Trinquet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. Jousset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N. Bonfante</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. Pillot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. Sadoul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Dechoux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. Galy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L. Roche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B. Baudras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.M. Galetti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. Van Cutsem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. Schmitz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H. Lavenne</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. – List of the members of the Working Group "Normalisation of lung function tests", who met in Luxembourg on 15 September 1955

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>D Brille</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M Cara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P Sadoul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>W Bolt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland</td>
<td>AVM Mey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>M Parsagikian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>F Lavenne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECCS</td>
<td>A Claass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fiftieth anniversary of Tiffeneau and Pinelli's original paper is a appropriate occasion to review how the concepts of forced expiration developed to finally arrive at a now universally accepted nomenclature, the VEMS in French and the FEV1 in English. It remains strange to see how poorly the French literature seems to have been known, particularly in North America. For instance, in Knowles' monograph, published in 1959, containing 426 references, not a single French paper is mentioned [84]. The French language may have been (and perhaps still is) a barrier: however, Tiffeneau published only one paper in English [85], as well as one in German [86], and in fact they concerned another aspect of his work: the cough reflex induced by the inhalation of acetylcholine [87]. Tiffeneau was basically a pharmacologist and not a respiratory physiologist, working throughout his life on the bronchomotor effects of acetylcholine or histamine and allergens, as well as adrenaline, in asthmatics. Among other major achievements he recognized that adrenaline,
but not cortisone, protected the asthmatics against their hypersensitivity to acetylcholine and histamine, whereas cortisone reduced their sensitivity to allergens [82, 88]. His observations still form the basis of modern asthma therapy: add a beta-mimetic inhaler to a corticosteroid!

After 50 years, the VEMS and the FEV1 have become an instrument that is used daily by the respiratory physician, whereas other approaches such as the study of the relation between flow (instead of volume) and time [88] have failed. Similarly, more sophisticated analyses of forced expiration within the time domain [89], with a possible revival of FEV3 %, have not become clinical tools. An extensive amount of work has followed to establish the range of values that can be found in healthy subjects and to try to harmonize the procedures among investigators. In Europe they have largely benefited from the continuous support of the European Coal and Steel Community [91]. Despite all these efforts, some controversies are still present, which will only be solved after a proper evaluation. Among others, at least two problems merit attention:

1) The two terms VEMS and FEV1 are in fact not equivalent: measuring the VEMS sometimes needs submaximal, "en souplesse" (flexible), performances, whereas measuring FEV1 implies that a maximal forced expiratory pressure has been generated, whatever the final volume measured. We don't know yet which method is the most informative.

2) The ratios VEMS/CV or FEV1/forced vital capacity (FVC) are commonly reported, but the method of measuring VC may greatly affect the result. In Europe, a preference is now given to a slow inspiratory VC manoeuvre [54], which is in contradiction with Hutchinson's original description [2] of an expiratory manoeuvre which was also adopted by Gandevia and Hugh Jones [83].

Acknowledgements: Lively oral and written discussions took place with P. Sadoul and P. Hugh Jones who gathered together their souvenirs, as well as several significant papers; their contribution to this historical note has been invaluable. P. Druel was kind enough to offer a copy of his thesis. G. Huchon has been instrumental in furnishing photocopies of French papers, published in journals that are no longer easily accessible.
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