



Treatment of human disease due to *Mycobacterium bovis*: a systematic review

To the Editor:

Mycobacterium bovis, a member of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex, is an important cause of disease in cattle, but it can also cause disease in humans [1]. Transmission to humans generally occurs after close contact with infected animals or consumption of unpasteurised contaminated dairy products [1, 2]. The symptoms of human disease due to *M. bovis* are similar to those of disease caused by *M. tuberculosis*, although *M. bovis* is more likely to cause extrapulmonary disease [3]. In clinical practice, *M. bovis* can only be differentiated from *M. tuberculosis* using biochemical or genetic tests [3, 4].

Although human *M. bovis* disease is now rare in high-income countries, it is believed to be a more important health issue in low-income countries due to the lack of veterinary control measures and pasteurisation of milk [2, 3]. It has been estimated that *M. bovis* accounts for <1.5% of all human tuberculosis (TB) in regions outside Africa and ~2.8% of all TB disease in Africa [5]. However, the incidence of *M. bovis* TB may be underestimated, because of the similarity of clinical features to TB caused by *M. tuberculosis* and because testing for *M. bovis* is not performed routinely.

As recommended by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, treatment of disease due to *M. bovis* usually consists of rifampicin, isoniazid and ethambutol [6]. Treatment duration is generally extended to 9 months due to the exclusion of pyrazinamide, since all strains of *M. bovis* are resistant to it. In the past two decades, while several reviews have investigated the epidemiology of *M. bovis* in humans [2, 5, 7], no review has evaluated the treatment regimens and outcomes of disease due to *M. bovis*. Therefore, we conducted a systematic review of all published articles that reported drug regimens and results of treatment of human disease due to *M. bovis*.

The search was conducted in three electronic databases: MEDLINE (through OVID), Embase (through OVID) and the Cochrane Library, from the start date of each database until the date of the search (September 8, 2015). Medical subject heading terms and keywords (in title and abstract) related to *M. bovis*, drugs of interest and treatment outcomes were used for the search. The following inclusion criteria were used: 1) there was no language restriction in the search, but the full-text had to be in English, French, Chinese, Portuguese or Spanish; 2) *M. bovis* disease that was confirmed by genetic tests or biochemical tests, but not disease caused by *M. bovis* bacille Calmette-Guerin; 3) cohort or randomised trial; 4) end-of-treatment outcomes, as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO), were reported [8].

Study characteristics and treatment outcome information were abstracted, including country, study period, study participant number, age, sex, HIV prevalence, extrapulmonary TB, drug resistance pattern, drug regimen, treatment duration and end-of-treatment outcomes. End-of-treatment outcomes were recorded according to WHO categories: success (cure and complete), failure, death, loss to follow-up and relapse. Authors of the studies included were contacted to obtain additional information.

Due to differences in regimens, results were not pooled. The treatment success rate of each cohort was calculated as: success/(success + fail + relapse) or success/(success + fail + death + relapse + loss to follow-up).

985 publications were identified through the initial database search, of which 17 were selected for full-text review. Of these, 14 were excluded. Six did not describe treatment regimens, two were conference abstracts and one was in Russian; five reported regimens and outcomes but were excluded for different reasons, as follows. CICERO *et al.* [9] reported 6-month status, rather than end-of-treatment outcomes; KATARIA [10] and O'DONOHUE *et al.* [11] reported time to culture conversion; ESTEBAN *et al.* [12] included multidrug-resistant *M. bovis* cases (seven out of 13) and used seven different regimens without reporting results by regimen; and SAURET *et al.* [13] reported treatment durations of isoniazid-rifampicin-ethambutol that varied from 4 to 12 months without stratifying results.

This left three studies which reported 439 patients with *M. bovis* disease from the USA, Argentina and the Netherlands, of whom 54.4% were male, and median ages were 42 years, 45 years and 62 years, respectively (table 1). In the two studies that reported HIV status, 39 out of 74 subjects and eight out of 37 subjects tested were HIV-positive. More than half of the patients (59%) had extrapulmonary disease, among whom lymph node disease was the most common form.

TABLE 1 Summary of the demographic and clinical information, and end-of-treatment outcomes with isoniazid-rifampicin or isoniazid-rifampicin-ethambutol regimens in the three eligible studies

| | First author [ref.] | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--------------------|
| | LoBue [14] | Cordova [15] | MAJOR [16] | | |
| Country | USA | Argentina | The Netherlands | | |
| Study period | 1994–2003 | 1996–2008 | 1993–2007 | | |
| Method of confirmation | Biochemical | Biochemical | Genotype | | |
| Subjects | 167 | 39 [#] | 231 | | |
| Males:females | 106:61 | 28:11 | 105:126 | | |
| Age years (median) | 42 | 45 | 62 | | |
| HIV (HIV*/tested) | 39/74 | 8/37 | NA | | |
| Extrapulmonary TB (only or with pulmonary) | 87/167 | 10/39 | 163/231 | | |
| Major extrapulmonary form | N/A | Lymph node | Lymph node | | |
| Resistance to isoniazid or rifampicin % tested | 7% isoniazid, 1% rifampicin | 3% rifampicin, 3% isoniazid-rifampicin | 5% isoniazid, 1% isoniazid-rifampicin [¶] | | |
| End-of-treatment outcomes | | | | | |
| Subjects in cohort | 167 | 23 | 40 | 110 | 81 |
| Drug regimen | 9 months isoniazid-rifampicin ⁺ | 8–12 months isoniazid-rifampicin-ethambutol [§] | Isoniazid-rifampicin ^f | Isoniazid-rifampicin- ethambutol ^{##} | Others and unknown |
| Success | 129/167 | 14/23 | 25/40 | 91/110 | 35/81 |
| Failure | 0 | 1/23 | 1/40 | 7/110 | 6/81 |
| Death | 25/167 | 5/23 | 12/40 | 9/110 | 25/81 |
| Loss to follow-up | 12/167 | 3/23 | 2/40 | 3/110 | 15/81 |
| Relapse | 1/167 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |
| Success rate (<i>versus</i> fail + relapse) % | 99 | 93 | 96 | 93 | |
| Success rate (<i>versus</i> fail + relapse + death + loss to follow-up) % | 77 | 61 | 63 | 83 | |

Data are presented as n or n/N, unless otherwise stated. NA: not available. [#]: no information on treatment outcomes was available for 14 out of the 39 patients; of the remaining 25 patients, two received streptomycin-ethambutol-pyrazinamide-ethionamide and died and the other 23 received isoniazid-rifampicin-ethambutol. [¶]: the resistant patterns of 196 patients were unknown in this study. Of the 35 patients tested, 12 (5% of all patients) were resistant to isoniazid, and two (1% of all patients) were resistant to isoniazid-rifampicin. ⁺: for those resistant to isoniazid or rifampicin in this study, individualised regimens were used. [§]: many patients received pyrazinamide as well, but this was discontinued in all cases in which *Mycobacterium bovis* was diagnosed before 2 months. ^f: 10 out of 40 patients died within ≤5 months. Of the remaining 30 patients, one received isoniazid-rifampicin and 29 received isoniazid-rifampicin-pyrazinamide; treatment duration was 6–9 months (n=22), ≥9 months (n=6) and unknown (n=2). ^{##}: eight out of 110 patients died within ≤5 months. Of the remaining 102 patients, 88 received isoniazid-rifampicin-pyrazinamide-ethambutol and 14 received isoniazid-rifampicin-ethambutol; treatment duration was 6–9 months (n=69), ≥9 months (n=26) and unknown (n=7).

After excluding the patients who died, were lost or received other or unknown treatment regimens, two cohorts with 156 patients received the isoniazid-rifampicin regimen for 6–9 months and two cohorts with 113 patients received the isoniazid-rifampicin-ethambutol regimen for 6–12 months (table 1). When the denominator for success rate included all poor outcomes (fail + death + relapse + loss to follow-up, which might be considered equivalent to an “intention to treat” analysis), success was 74% for the isoniazid-rifampicin regimen and 79% for the isoniazid-rifampicin-ethambutol regimen. When the denominator included only success + fail + relapse (equivalent to efficacy), success rates were 99% and 93% for the isoniazid-rifampicin regimen and the isoniazid-rifampicin-ethambutol regimen, respectively. The reasons for the differences between these two methods of calculation of success rate were the high death rates (overall 15%) with rates of loss to follow-up contributing the remainder (6%). The impact on treatment outcomes of 6 months’ or 9 months’ duration of use, or from the added use of ethambutol could not be estimated due to the limited number of studies and patients. The major reason for low success rates when all outcomes were considered was the high mortality in all three studies. In two studies (LoBUE and MOSER [14] and CORDOVA *et al.* [15]), this high mortality could have been due to the high rates of HIV co-infection in the patients.

The current study has several limitations, the most important of which is that only three studies could be identified for this review, reflecting the rarity of this condition, at least in settings where testing for *M. bovis* is performed routinely. In addition, we could not pool results across studies, due to differences in regimen and duration, allowing only a simple comparison of rates. Fluoroquinolones were used in only one study (MAJOOR *et al.* [16]) and only for a small number of patients, preventing us from estimating results with these agents. The large proportion of patients treated for extrapulmonary disease meant that treatment completion, rather than cure, was measured in the majority of patients; this may have resulted in an overestimation of treatment success rate. An additional limitation was that some patients had underlying drug-resistant strains, which could have resulted in higher failure and mortality rates. HIV co-infection may also have contributed to the higher mortality rates, compounding the limitations in the interpretation of treatment outcomes with different treatment regimens.

In summary, there is very limited evidence supporting current recommendations for the treatment of human disease due to *M. bovis*. However, these limited data suggest that currently used regimens of isoniazid-rifampicin or isoniazid-rifampicin-ethambutol are adequate, although the benefit gained by adding ethambutol to isoniazid-rifampicin remains unclear. There were inadequate data to support a shorter duration of treatment of <9 months. Although better evidence to inform treatment recommendations for *M. bovis* would be welcome, a greater research priority would be to correct the paucity of accurate epidemiological and surveillance data in order to define the importance of *M. bovis* as a cause of human disease.



@ERSpublications

Only limited evidence exists to support current recommendations for the treatment of human disease due to *M. bovis* <http://ow.ly/h92K3023fLu>

Zhiyi Lan¹, Mayara Bastos² and Dick Menzies¹

¹Respiratory Epidemiology and Clinical Research Unit, Montreal Chest Institute, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada. ²Dept of Infectious Diseases, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Correspondence: Dick Menzies, Respiratory Epidemiology and Clinical Research Unit, Montreal Chest Institute, Room 419, 2055 Guy St, Montreal, Canada. E-mail: dick.menzies@mcgill.ca

Received: March 29 2016 | Accepted after revision: June 23 2016

Support statement: This work was funded by a grant from the World Health Organization, which received funding from the United States Agency for International Development. Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Open Funder Registry.

Conflict of interest: Disclosures can be found alongside this article at erjersjournals.com

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Philip A. LoBue (Division of Tuberculosis Elimination, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA), Christof J. Majoor (Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) and Ezequiel Cordova (Infectious Diseases Unit, Hospital Cosme Argerich, Buenos Aires, Argentina) for providing additional information.

References

- 1 Grange JM. *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in human beings. *Tuberculosis* 2001; 81: 71–77.
- 2 Cosivi O, Grange JM, Daborn CJ, *et al.* Zoonotic tuberculosis due to *Mycobacterium bovis* in developing countries. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1998; 4: 59–70.
- 3 Michel AL, Müller B, van Helden PD. *Mycobacterium bovis* at the animal–human interface: a problem, or not? *Vet Microbiol* 2010; 140: 371–381.

- 4 Grange JM, Yates MD, de Kantor IN. Guidelines for Speciation within the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Complex. 2nd Edn. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1996.
- 5 Müller B, Dürr S, Alonso S, *et al.* Zoonotic *Mycobacterium bovis*-induced tuberculosis in humans. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2013; 19: 899–908.
- 6 American Thoracic Society, CDC, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Treatment of tuberculosis. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2003; 52: 1–77.
- 7 de Kantor IN, Ambroggi M, Poggi S, *et al.* Human *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in ten Latin American countries. *Tuberculosis* 2008; 88: 358–365.
- 8 World Health Organization. Treatment of Tuberculosis Guidelines. 4th Edn. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2010.
- 9 Cicero R, Olivera H, Hernández-Solis A, *et al.* Frequency of *Mycobacterium bovis* as an etiologic agent in extrapulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-positive and -negative Mexican patients. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2009; 28: 455–460.
- 10 Kataria YP. Observations on human infection with *Mycobacterium bovis*. *Tubercle* 1969; 50: 14–21.
- 11 O'Donohue WJ Jr, Bedi S, Bittner MJ, *et al.* Short-course chemotherapy for pulmonary infection due to *Mycobacterium bovis*. *Arch Intern Med* 1985; 145: 703–705.
- 12 Esteban J, Robles P, Soledad Jiménez M, *et al.* Pleuropulmonary infections caused by *Mycobacterium bovis*: a re-emerging disease. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005; 11: 840–843.
- 13 Sauret J, Jolis R, Ausina V, *et al.* Human tuberculosis due to *Mycobacterium bovis*: report of 10 cases. *Tuber Lung Dis* 1992; 73: 388–391.
- 14 LoBue PA, Moser KS. Treatment of *Mycobacterium bovis* infected tuberculosis patients: San Diego County, California, United States, 1994–2003. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2005; 9: 333–338.
- 15 Cordova E, Gonzalo X, Boschi A, *et al.* Human *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in Buenos Aires: epidemiology, microbiology and clinical presentation. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2012; 16: 415–417.
- 16 Majoor CJ, Magis-Escurra C, van Ingen J, *et al.* Epidemiology of *Mycobacterium bovis* disease in humans, the Netherlands, 1993–2007. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011; 17: 457–463.