

Low levels of air pollution induce changes of lung function in a panel of school children

H. Moshammer¹, H.-P. Hutter¹, H. Hauck², M. Neuberger^{1,2}

¹*Institute of Environmental Health, Medical University of Vienna, Austria*

²*Austrian Academy of Sciences—Clean Air Commission, Postgasse 7-9, Vienna, Austria*

Address correspondence to

Manfred Neuberger

Institute of Environmental Health

Kinderspitalgasse 15

A-1095 Vienna, Austria.

Telephone: ++43-1-4277-64711

Fax: ++43-1-4277-64799

e-mail: Manfred.neuberger@meduniwien.ac.at

Running title: Air pollution and lung function in children

Key words: lung function, particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide, resistance, school children

Abbreviations:

AUPHEP	Austrian Project on Health Effects of Particulates
FVC	Forced vital capacity
FEV ₁ , FEV _{0.5}	Forced expiratory volume in the first second, first 0.5 seconds
MEF75, MEF50, MEF25	Maximal expiratory flow at 75/50/25% of FVC left
PEF	Peak expiratory flow
R(0,c)	oscillatory resistance at 0 Hz, calculated

Abstract

In search of sensitive screening parameters for assessing acute effects of ambient air pollutants in young school children the impact of 8-hour average air pollution before lung function testing was investigated by oscillatory measurements of resistance and spirometry with flow volume loops.

At a central elementary school in the capital of Upper Austria 163 children aged 7-10 years underwent repeated examinations at the same time of day during one school year, yielding a total of 11 to 12 lung function tests per child. Associations to mass concentrations of particulate matter and nitrogen dioxide measured continuously at a nearby monitoring station were tested, applying the Generalized Estimating Equations model.

Reductions per $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (both for particles and for NO_2) were in the magnitude of one percent for most lung function parameters. The most sensitive indicator for acute effects of combustion related pollutants was a change in maximal expiratory flow in small airways. NO_2 at concentrations below current standards reduced (in the multi-pollutant model) FEV_1 by 1.01%, MEF50 by 1.99% and MEF25 by 1.96%. Peripheral resistance increased by 1.03% per $10\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ of $\text{PM}_{2.5}$. Resistance is less influenced by the child's cooperation, should be utilized more often in environmental epidemiology when screening for early signs of small airway dysfunction from urban air pollution, but cannot replace the measurement of MEF50 and MEF25 . In the basic model the reduction of these parameters per $10\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ was highest for NO_2 , followed by PM_1 , $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} while exposure to coarse dust ($\text{PM}_{10} - \text{PM}_{2.5}$) did not change endexpiratory flow significantly. All acute effects of urban air pollution found on the lung function of healthy pupils were evident at levels below current European limit values for NO_2 . Planned reduction of NO_2 emission (Euro V) of 20% in 2010 seems to be insufficient.

Introduction

Suspended particles have recently received much interest because of increasing epidemiological and experimental evidence of their health impact. Notwithstanding the fact that the exact biological mechanisms are not entirely clear, both mass and number of very fine particles have been shown to correlate with acute health effects and measurable functional changes in the cardiovascular and respiratory system [1-4]. Numerous panel studies investigating short term changes in lung function in children have been performed. Meta-analyses indicated adverse effects of ozone [5] and particulate matter [6] on lung function. But most have focused on daily mean levels of air pollution [7] and – if investigating effect on lung function at all – have concentrated on parameters that are easily collected in children like PEF.

Fine particles of different origin and chemical composition are supposed to differ in their health impact. Nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) serves as an indicator of a whole range of pollutants (including very fine particles) originating from incineration sources. Previous studies [8] on the total population of school children from Linz, the capital of Upper Austria, have shown the good predictive value of NO_2 especially for MEF25 and MEF50 in children's lung function testing.

We had the opportunity to monitor various measures of particulate matter in the course of a joint Austrian project (AUPHEP) [9] in the school-year 2000-2001 at the central air monitoring site in Linz. In this town of 200 000 inhabitants with steel industry and heavy motor traffic chronic respiratory health effects on children had been found [8] and improvements in lung function were related to improvements of air quality [10]. Current levels of particulate matter (PM10) and nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) are in a range typical for Middle European urban areas. We hypothesised that acute respiratory effects could still be observed on days with elevated concentrations. Therefore we chose the elementary school

next to the AUPHEP monitoring station for repeated lung function testing throughout the school-year. The aim of this time series study was to quantify short term effects of air pollutants on lung functions measured by spirometry and forced oscillation technique. We expected that peak levels of air pollution shortly before the lung function testing would have the strongest impact [11, 12]. Although respirable particulate air pollution in general is known to affect lung function, previous findings [10] indicate that particulate matter from combustion (for which NO_2 is a good proxy) is of prime importance.

This study aimed at three targets: To investigate short term effects of air pollution on lung function (by choosing 8 hour mean values instead of daily mean values for this panel study), to find lung function parameters which are both sensitive to the acute impact of air pollution and suitable for screening of young school children, and to study these associations in an environmental setting that is typical for the current air pollution situation in many European towns.

Material and Methods

Air monitoring:

The air of the city of Linz (located north of the Alps on the Danube) is predominantly affected by industrial emissions (steel plant) and motor traffic (high proportion of diesel trucks and cars) which can build up elevated concentrations during stagnant conditions. The monitoring site situated within a central living area was operated between September 2000 and August 2001. The PM concentration at this site reflects the typical PM-burden in urban Linz and lies within the upper third of 9 monitoring sites in and around the city. Details of the air monitoring project have been described by Hauck et al. [9]. For this study we chose the half hour mean values of PM_{1} , $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, PM_{10} (measured by Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance

- TEOM), and NO₂ (measured by chemoluminescence) to calculate the 8-hour means between 0:00 and 8:00 o'clock on all days before lung function testing was conducted.

Lung function:

At the school adjacent to the monitoring station every second day (on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Friday) about 20 of 164 children (age 7-10 years) underwent lung function tests, so that each of the 69 girls and 95 boys had spirometry about every fortnight at about the same time of day from September to May. FVC, FEV₁, FEV_{0.5}, FEF₂₅, FEF₅₀, FEF₇₅, and PEF were obtained following the protocol of the American Thoracic Society [13], except for the minimum exhalation time of 6 sec which is not feasible for children. The lung function tests were conducted between 8:30 and 10:30 a.m. in a fixed sequence.

Before performing routine spirometry (in standing position) the respiratory resistance was measured (in sitting position) using the impulse oscillation system (IOS; Erich Jaeger, Hoechberg, Germany). IOS was applied according to the producer's specifications and the resistance at oscillation frequencies between 4 and 30 Hz were registered [14]. To collapse these 27 data points per examination into one meaningful number the hypothetical resistance at frequency = 0 was calculated R(0,c) as the point where a line cut the ordinate, serving as a proxy for peripheral airway resistance [15]. This line was calculated by linear regression from all data points between the frequency of 4 and the frequency with the lowest resistance.

It was planned to test each child 11 to 12 times. Each child was assigned to a fixed day of the week to minimise bias from weekly cycles. But due to holidays, sick leaves or other organisational problems most of the children on 1 to 3 occasions had to be tested on another day of the week. Due to longer sick leave one child could only be tested 10 times. Two children who changed school during the school year could only be tested 3 respectively 6 times.

Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis was performed with STATA 8.2. Lung function values were log-transformed because multiplicative effects were expected. Also gender and the logs of age, height, and weight were entered into the models as explanatory variables. The health impact of air pollution was studied applying the Generalized Estimating Equations model GEE assuming an auto-correlation structure with lag 1. In order to visualise the association between air pollutants and lung function the same model was calculated without air pollution data and the residuals (of the logs of the lung function parameters) were plotted against the pollutant's concentration.

Results

The 8-hour mean values from 0:00 till 8:00 o'clock included both the low concentrations of the night and the high concentrations of morning rush hour. So on average the 8-hour mean levels were comparable to the daily mean levels (table 1). Annual average concentrations were mostly within current European and US limit values. Only PM_{2.5} exceeded the US limit value of 15 µg/m³ for the annual mean, but not the cap of 25 µg/m³ proposed by the European Commission in its Thematic Strategy on Air Pollution, 2005. (There is no legal limit value for PM_{2.5} in Europe yet). The European PM₁₀ limit value for the daily average (50 µg/m³) was exceeded on 46 days. At the time of the study only 35 excess days were legally permitted. This non-compliance with the allowable excess days for PM₁₀ is a problem Linz shares with most of the European urban areas.

Table 1: Concentrations of particulate matter and NO₂ in Linz during the study period

percentiles	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	PM ₁	NO ₂
24h mean 25%	16.54	10.46	8.92	13.75
24h mean 50%	25.73	15.79	13.20	17.50
24h mean 75%	37.08	23.07	19.07	21.48
Max. 24 mean	127.44	76.39	58.20	40.73
US EPA	150	65	-	-
NAAQS				
EU limit values	50	-	-	-
8h mean 25%	14.39	8.64	6.90	11.57
8h mean 50%	24.85	15.70	12.30	15.49
8h mean 75%	38.82	24.46	17.82	21.97
IQR (8h mean)	24.43	25.82	10.92	10.40
Annual average	30.28	19.06	15.03	18.07
US EPA	50	15	-	100
NAAQS				
EU limit values	40	(25)	-	40

The indicators of air pollution under investigation were positively and significantly correlated with each other (table 2). Because of the high correlation between PM₁ and PM_{2.5} only PM_{2.5} was entered into the multi-pollutant model.

Table 2: Correlation of ambient air pollution indicators in Linz during the study period

Pearson's r of 8h means	NO ₂	PM ₁	PM _{2.5}
PM ₁	0.53		
PM _{2.5}	0.54	0.95	
PM ₁₀	0.62	0.91	0.93

(all p < 0.001)

All pollutants studied in the single pollutant models had adverse effects on most of the lung function parameters. The only exceptions were FVC for the particle parameters and PEF for which the effect estimate for NO₂ did not reach significance. Overall effects were rather small. After re-transforming the log values of the lung function the changes per 10 µg/m³ or per inter-quartile range (IQR) were in the magnitude of 1 percent only. The strongest changes per IQR were seen for NO₂ as a pollution indicator and - after particle exposure - for R(0,c) as an outcome variable (table 3). (Contrary to the other lung function parameters a positive association with the resistance indicates an adverse effect). Dose response relationships for

NO_2 are shown in figure 1, using residuals of log FEV1 (fig. 1a), MEF25 (fig. 1b) and $R(0,c)$ (fig. 1c).

Table 3: Lung function changes with 3 indicators of ambient air pollution

	PM ₁		PM _{2.5}		PM ₁₀		NO ₂	
	p	% change per 10 µg/m ³	p	% change per IQR	p	% change per 10 µg/m ³	p	% change per IQR
FEV ₁	0.006	- 0.38	- 0.41	0.019	- 0.23	- 0.59	0.049	- 0.11
FVC	0.276	- 0.14	- 0.15	0.383	- 0.08	- 0.2	0.577	- 0.03
FEV _{0.5}	0.003	- 0.50	- 0.54	0.006	- 0.33	- 0.85	0.005	- 0.19
MEF ₇₅	0.003	- 0.85	- 0.93	0.021	- 0.49	- 1.25	0.012	- 0.30
MEF ₅₀	0.005	- 0.82	- 0.89	0.007	- 0.58	- 1.48	0.004	- 0.36
MEF ₂₅	0.006	- 1.17	- 1.27	0.008	- 0.83	- 2.14	0.027	- 0.41
PEF	0.015	- 0.63	- 0.68	0.027	- 0.41	- 1.06	0.038	- 0.22
R(0,c)	<0.001	1.43	1.56	<0.001	1.45	3.78	0.001	0.91

Cursive: trend only

Grey: no association

Coarse particles (calculated as the difference between PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) were only weakly associated with all lung function outcomes (data not shown). In the multi-pollutant model together with PM_{2.5} and NO₂ coarse particles did not exhibit any significant effect and were therefore not further investigated. Thus the multi-pollutant model focused on PM_{2.5} and NO₂ (table 4). Most effect estimates for NO₂ remained significant and some even grew larger after controlling for PM_{2.5}. Only the effect of NO₂ on PEF and R(0,c) was reduced and no longer significant. Resistance R(0,c) on the other hand was influenced by PM_{2.5} but this was the only association with PM_{2.5} that remained significant after controlling for NO₂.

Table 4: Lung function changes in the multiple pollutants' model

	PM _{2.5}		NO ₂	
	p	% change per 10µg/m ³	p	% change per IQR
FEV ₁	0.40 1 0.06	0.10 0.27 <0.00	1 <0.00	- 1.01 - 1.05
FVC	0.70 4 0.57	0.21 0.54 <0.00	1 1 <0.00	- 0.85 - 0.88
FEV _{0.5}	0.6 0.57	0.06 - 0.15 0.057	1 - 0.39 - 0.97	- 1.16 - 1.20 - 1.01
MEF ₇₅	0.86 0.86	0.04 - 0.54	1 0.01	- 1.99 - 1.96
MEF ₅₀	0.59 0.59	0.11 - 0.21	1 - 0.54	- 2.07 - 2.04
MEF ₂₅	0.6 0.6			

PEF	0.43 4 0.00	- 0.18	- 0.47	0.328	- 0.44	- 0.46
R(0,e)	4	1.03	2.69	0.161	0.97	1.00

Cursive: trend only
Grey: no association

Figure 1: selected outcomes for NO₂: Linear and quadratic fit and LOWESS plot on the residuals of the logs of FEV₁, MEF25, and R(0,c)

[figure1a.tiff; figure1b.tiff; figure1c.tiff]

In contrast to the morning values the 8-hour mean values (NO₂, PM) of the previous evening (4 p.m. till midnight) were not associated with the lung function results (data not shown).

Discussion

This study examined short term effects of urban air pollution in morning hours on school children and could therefore disregard fluctuations in ozone concentrations. Earlier studies in Linz [8, 10] had shown relatively low ambient O₃ during school years and no possibility to examine elementary school children in the afternoon and during summer vacation when O₃ was higher, but children less localizable. From morning tests of lung functions during the school year no negative associations with O₃ had been found (and some positive ones were spurious and caused by the inverse relationship between O₃ and NO₂). Mean daily concentrations of SO₂ ranged from 0.3 to 30.5 µg/m³ (median 4 µg/m³). From earlier results at higher concentrations [8] we consider the present SO₂ exposure to be negligible for changes in function of small airways.

The strong correlation between the 3 measures of particle mass and also with NO₂ made it difficult to separate the impact of each exposure metric. But in the multi-pollutant model only NO₂ remained consistently associated with adverse health effects. This is noteworthy because in previous studies NO₂ was only inconsistently associated with lung function decrements [16]. This is true both for NO₂ measured outdoors (where it often serves as an indicator of

exposure to road traffic pollutants) and indoors (where gas cooking and unvented heaters are relevant sources). This led to the conclusion that it is not NO₂ itself that causes the adverse effects but that in many (but not all) settings it serves as a good proxy for the relevant pollution mixture. Other good proxies might be some volatile organic compounds [17, 18] or carbon monoxide. In the same environmental setting of Linz NO₂ also proved to be a valuable predictor of long term lung function growth [10].

Selection bias is unlikely to play an important role in this study which attempted to examine all children who were healthy enough to come to school. Pupils on sick leave or with a respiratory infection on the day scheduled for spirometry were tested at a later date, and all children were tested repeatedly. If children with asthma stayed at home on days with high pollution, this would lead to an underestimation of the effect on lung function.

Effects of particulate matter and NO₂ on lung function decrements were in the same magnitude as reported by other studies (e.g. [19]). Small average changes on the population level can result in a relevant increase in the number of children with clinically poor lung function. Small changes are difficult to assess with spirometry in young children, because the results depend heavily on the children's cooperation. Respiratory resistance (measured with an impulse oscillation system at different frequencies) is less influenced by that. It was therefore expected to be a better indicator of small lung function changes than routine spirometric data. This expectation was met (in the single pollutant model for most parameters and in the multi-pollutant model for changes induced by PM_{2.5}) and therefore it is suggested to use the oscillation technique more frequently in future environmental studies on children's respiratory health, particularly to assess short term effects of PM_{2.5}.

With regard to indicators of ambient urban air pollution as assessed at monitoring stations the results of this study indicate that PM_{2.5} and NO₂ predict early decrements of lung function in

children better than PM₁₀. Because of lower taxes for diesel compared to gasoline in Austria diesel cars increased from 2.7% in 1980 to 49.2% in 2004. Stricter emission standards and the introduction of catalysts was counterbalanced by increase of motor traffic and at kerbside monitoring stations in Linz and other Austrian towns a slight increase of NO₂ was seen in recent years. It seems unlikely that the foreseen reduction of emission concentration of only 20% in 2010 (Euro V) will solve that problem. Our findings suggest that exposure levels below EU standards have a health effect and that more stringent limitations of ambient peak NO₂ concentrations are necessary to prevent lung function impairment in children. Traffic-related NO₂ concentrations were also associated with wheezing and asthma medication of children [20] and with long term effects, increasing respiratory mortality by 16 (6-26)% per 10µg/m³ of NO_x [21]. These findings contribute to the evidence for serious health effects of urban air pollution indicated by NO₂ and the necessity to lower it.

The results of this lung function study also questions the cap for ambient PM_{2.5} concentrations of 25 µg/m³ proposed as annual mean by the European Commission in its Thematic Strategy on Air Pollution, 2005. In lack of an indication for a threshold, adverse effects of urban air pollution should be reduced as much as possible by minimizing emissions of primary and secondary fine particulates, especially from combustion.

Acknowledgements:

This study was supported by the Clean Air Commission of the Austrian Academy of Science. We thank the Municipality of Linz (Departments of Health and Environment) and the AUPHEP team for their basic work. AUPHEP (lead by O. Preining) was a project of the Clean Air Commission of the Austrian Academy of Sciences in collaboration with the Medical University of Vienna (Institute of Environmental Health, University Childrens Hospital), the University of Vienna (Institute for Experimental Physics), the Vienna University of Technology (Institute for Chemical Technologies and Analytics) and Austrian Environmental Agencies (Federal and Provincial), funded by the Ministry for the Environment, Youth and Family Affairs; Ministry for Science and Traffic; Austrian Academy of Sciences and the Ambient Air Monitoring Networks of Vienna, Lower Austria, Upper Austria and Styria. The coordinator H. Hauck was supported by B. Gomiscek, Organizational Sciences of University Maribor.

References

1. Penttinen P, Timonen KL, Tiittanen P, Mirme A, Ruuskanen J, Pekkanen J. Number concentration and size of particles in urban air: Effects on spirometric lung function in adult asthmatic subjects. *Environ Health Perspect* 2001; 109: 319-323.
2. Peters A, Dockery DW, Heinrich J, Wichmann HE. Short-term effects of particulate air pollution on respiratory morbidity in asthmatic children. *Eur Respir J* 1997; 10: 872-879.
3. Pope CA III, Dockery DW, Spengler JD, Rainzenne ME. Respiratory health and PM₁₀ pollution – a daily time series analysis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991; 144: 668-674.
4. Schwartz J, Slater D, Larson T, Pierson WE, Koenig JQ. Particulate air pollution and hospital emergency visits for asthma in Seattle. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993; 147: 826-831.
5. Lippmann M. Effects of ozone on respiratory function and structure. *Annual Review of Public Health* 1989; 10: 49-67.
6. Dockery DW, Pope CA 3rd. Acute respiratory effects of particulate air pollution. *Annual Review of Public Health* 1994; 15: 107-132.
7. Ward DJ, Ayres JG. Particulate air pollution and panel studies in children: a systematic review. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 2004; 61: e13.
8. Neuberger M, Kundi M, Haider M. Combined effects of outdoor and indoor air pollution on lung functions of school children. *Arch Complex Environ Studies* 1995; 7: 7-11.
9. Hauck H, Berner A, Frischer T, Gomiscek B, Kundi M, Neuberger M, Puxbaum H, Preining O, AUPHEP-Team.. AUPHEP—Austrian Project on Health Effects of Particulates—general overview. *Atmospheric Environment* 2004; 38: 3905–3915.
10. Neuberger M, Moshammer H, Kundi M. Declining ambient air pollution and lung function improvement in Austrian children. *Atmospheric Environment* 2002; 36: 1733-1736.
11. Delfino RJ, Zeiger RS, Seltzer JM, Street DH, McLaren CE. Association of asthma symptoms with peak particulate air pollution and effect modification by anti-inflammatory medication use. *Environ Health Perspect* 2002; 110: A607-A617.
12. Moshammer H. Indoor- and outdoor-generated particles and children with asthma. *Environ Health Perspect* 2005; 113: A581.
13. American Thoracic Society. Standardization of spirometry - 1987 update. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1987; 136: 1286-1298.
14. Clement J, Dumoulin B, Gubbelmans R, Hendriks S, van de Woestijne KP. Reference values of total respiratory resistance and reactance between 4 and 26 Hz in children and adolescents aged 4-20 years. *Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir* 1987; 23: 441-448.
15. Delacourt C, Lorino H, Herve-Guillot M, Reinert P, Harf A, Housset B. Use of forced oscillation technique to assess airway obstruction and reversibility in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000; 161: 730-736.
16. WHO. Effects of air pollution on children's health and development: a review of the evidence. World Health Organization Special Programme on Health and Environment, European Centre for Environment and Health, Bonn Office. 2005.
17. Delfino RJ, Gong H, Linn WS, Pellizzari ED, Hu Y.. Asthma symptoms in Hispanic children and daily ambient exposures to toxic and criteria air pollutants. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2003; 111: 647-656.
18. Delfino RJ, Gong H, Linn WS, Hu Y, Pellizzari ED.. Respiratory symptoms and peak expiratory flow in children with asthma in relation to volatile organic compounds in exhaled breath and ambient air. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* 2003; 13: 348-363.

19. Timonen KL, Pekkanen J, Tiittanen P, Salonen RO. Effects of air pollution on changes in lung function induced by exercise in children with chronic respiratory symptoms. *Occup Environ Med* 2002; 59: 129-134.
20. Gauderman WJ, Avol E, Lurmann F, Kuenzli N, Gilliland F, Peters J, McConnell R. Childhood Asthma and Exposure to Traffic and Nitrogen Dioxide Epidemiology 2005; 16: 737-43
21. Nafstad P, Håheim LL, Wisløff T, Gram F, Oftedal B, Holme I, Hjermann I, Leren P. Urban Air Pollution and Mortality in a Cohort of Norwegian Men. *Env Health Persp* 2004; 112: 610-615.



